



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT*

2023-2024 SCHOOL YEAR

FOR

**TEACH PREPARATORY MILDRED S. CUNNINGHAM & EDITH H. MORRIS ELEMENTARY
SCHOOL - 2452**

Name and Location Code of Charter School

LAUSD Vision

L.A. Unified will be a progressive global leader in education, providing a dynamic and inspiring learning experience where all students graduate ready for success.

CSD Mission

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities and outcomes for students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

CSD Core Values

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, professionalism, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Continuously learning as a dynamic organization.
- Building and sustaining a healthy workplace culture where high performance, diversity, and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with our charter schools and all stakeholders.

* Charter School shall comply in a timely manner with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, as they may change from time to time, including but not limited to matters related to the school's governance, programs, facilities, operations, and/or fiscal management. Elements of the oversight process may be modified as LAUSD continues to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. To support the well-being of all at a school site, all are expected to follow applicable COVID-19 public health guidelines, including related District requirements for operations at District facilities. The assigned CSD Administrator will provide any updated information reach out with more details, as needed.



Charter School Name:	TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary			Location Code:	2452
Current Address:	City:	ZIP Code:	Phone:	Fax:	
8505 S. Western Avenue	Los Angeles	90047	(323) 872-0708	(323) 351-2330	
Current Term of Charter¹:		LAUSD Board District:	LAUSD Region:		
July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2026		1	South		
Number of Students Currently Enrolled:	Enrollment Capacity Per Charter:	Number Above/Below Enrollment Capacity (day of visit):		Below by 126	
274	400				
Grades Currently Served:	Grades To Be Served Per Charter:	Percent Above/Below Enrollment Capacity (day of visit):		Below by 31.5%	
TK-5	TK-5				
Norm Enrollment Number:	272				
Total Number of Staff Members:	27	Certificated:	10	Classified:	17
Charter School's Leadership Team Members:	Sharon Rhee- Principal; Dr. Raul Carranza- CEO/Executive Director of TEACH Public Schools; Shawna Lawson- Business Operations Manager; Brianna Williams- Human Resources Manager; Luis Ramirez- Director of Enrollment & Recruitment; Antonio Caballero- Assistant Principal; Enrique Robles- Director of Operations & Data Strategy; Suzette Torres- Director of Academic Data & Assessment				
Charter School's Contact for Special Education:	Daniela Garcia- Director of Special Education	SELPA & Option:		LAUSD- Option 2	
CSD Assigned Administrator:	José Salas	CSD Fiscal Oversight Manager:		Joseph Daee	
Other School/CSD Team Members:	James Perreault				
Oversight Visit Date(s):	2/16/2024		Fiscal Review Date (if different):	1/31/2024	
Is school located on a District facility? If so, please indicate the applicable program (e.g., Prop 39, PSC, conversion, etc.):	No		LAUSD Co-Location Campus(es) (if applicable):	N/A	
Certificate of Occupancy (COO) or Temporary Certificate of Occupancy Type: (if a TCO, please note expiration date)	COO		COO/TCO Approved Grade Levels and Occupancy Loads:	TK-5; Max Occupancy - 359	

SUMMARY OF RATINGS			
(4)=Accomplished (3)=Proficient (2)=Developing (1)=Unsatisfactory			
Governance	Student Achievement and Educational Performance	Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations	Fiscal Operations
3, Proficient	2, Developing	3, Proficient	4, Accomplished

¹ Education Code section 47607.4 provides that "all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2022, and June 30, 2025, inclusive, shall have their term extended by two years, and all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2024, and June 30, 2027, inclusive, shall have their term extended by one additional year."

**CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA**

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605, 47607, and 47607.2, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met specific renewal criteria prescribed in statute. .

REPORT GUIDE

LAUSD's oversight procedures are intended to balance a charter school's autonomy of operation with its accountability to the public. LAUSD utilizes a holistic, performance-based approach to evaluate all charter schools, guided principally by making decisions in the best interest of students. The CSD observes and monitors each charter school in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, LAUSD policy, memoranda of understanding, and the school's operative charter. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the charter school's ongoing record for the District to make informed decisions about charter school authorization, renewal, material revisions, sharing of promising practices, and if need be, revocation. While LAUSD is responsible to provide oversight of its charter schools and the entities managing charter schools, the primary oversight of each charter school must first and foremost be performed by the charter school's own Governing Board. The Governing Board of a charter school has an ongoing responsibility to oversee the operations of its charter school(s), ensuring that every charter school it oversees is providing a high-quality educational program for students enrolled, is successfully fulfilling the terms of their charter, is fiscally sound, and complies with applicable laws, regulations, and court orders. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law, as well as the *LAUSD Policy and Procedures for Charter Schools*, California State Board of Education's criteria for evaluating charter schools, and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers' *Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing*. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance as captured during the annual oversight visit process in these four categories:

Governance – demonstrating fulfillment of the Governing Board's fiduciary responsibility to effectively direct and provide oversight for the charter public school, including but not limited to enactment and monitoring of policies and procedures to ensure the school's full compliance with applicable law, policy, and the terms of the charter approved by the LAUSD Board of Education

Student Achievement and Educational Performance – demonstrating positive academic achievement and growth for all students

Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations – demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the governing board's policies and procedures, as well as the school's educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

Fiscal Operations – demonstrating sound fiscal management, appropriate use of public funds, and compliance with regulatory requirements

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school representatives and stakeholders. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the *Annual Performance Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide 2023-2024*. The "Sources of Evidence" sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school's performance in each category: (4) *Accomplished*, (3) *Proficient*, (2) *Developing*, and (1) *Unsatisfactory*. In addition, the *Summary of School Performance* section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress (Note: potential "promising practices" are identified within this section with an asterisk [*]); (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under "Corrective Action Required," the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school's approved charter. **If the report includes any findings under "Corrective Action Required," the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern.** In accordance with its "tiered intervention" approach to charter school non-compliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of *Accomplished* in any category is encouraged to submit to the CSD a summary of those "promising practices" that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD's ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across all LAUSD schools.



GOVERNANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	3, Proficient
<u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u> G1: Governance Structure and Compliance Monitoring- The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter, including all committees/councils; and has a system in place to ensure it consistently complies with the applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies as evidenced by: ➤ The provided organizational chart which aligns with the operative charter indicating: ○ The CEO of TEACH Public Schools reports to the TEACH Public Schools Board of Directors ○ The Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer supervises the Director of Governance and Compliance, Director of Operations and Data Systems, Director of Human Resources, Business Operations Manager, and Director of Student Recruitment and Parent Engagement ○ There are three LAUSD-authorized charter schools that are part of TEACH Public Schools ➤ TEACH Inc. adopted its bylaws on November 13, 2017, which state that the number of directors shall be no less than five and no more than nine. ➤ The documentation provided noted the Board has scheduled nine meetings for the 2023-2024 school year. ➤ The Compliance Monitoring Certification of Board Compliance Review form was certified by the TEACH Public Schools Board on December 19, 2023. G2: Due Process- The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public, including but not limited to: ➤ 2023-2024 Student and Parent Handbook (English/Spanish); Employee Handbook 2023-2024; Anti-Discrimination and Anti-Harassment Policy; Title IX Sexual-Harassment Policy and Grievance Procedure; Anti-Intimidation and Anti-Bullying Policy; Due Process Rights for Students Facing Disciplinary Action; Uniform Complaint Procedure Policy (English/Spanish), and a General Complaints Policy.	
<u>Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement</u> None at this time.	
<u>Corrective Action Required</u> None noted that require immediate action to remedy concerns indicated in this report.	
Notes: TEACH Prep MSC & EHM ES cannot receive a rating greater than 3 in this category, as the school has received an overall rating of 2 in the Student Achievement and Educational Performance category (see the highlighted note below).	
<u>*NOTE:</u> • A charter school may receive a rating of 1 in this category for any of the following reasons: (1) Evidence of conflict (s) of interest within the organization (i.e. Governing Board, staff, contracted external parties, etc.), (2) School is in breach of the operative charter, including Federal, State, and District	



LAUSD CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

SCHOOL NAME: TEACH Prep MSC & EHM ES

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: 2/16/2024

Required Language, (3) School is “Not in Good Standing,” and/or (4) If there are serious concerns related to fiscal matters (e.g., negative financial condition, fiscal mismanagement, and/or significant audit findings, etc.).

- *A charter school cannot receive a rating greater than 3 in this category if it receives an overall rating of 2 or 1 in any other category (Student Achievement and Educational Performance; Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations; or Fiscal Operations).*

**G1: GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING – QUALITY INDICATOR**

The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure, roles, and responsibilities set forth in the approved charter and applicable law, including:

- Governing Board composition, structure, roles, and responsibilities as set forth in the approved charter
- Governing Board committees/councils are implemented as described in the school's charter (e.g., Finance, Human Resources, Academics, etc.)
- The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure compliance with the public-integrity statutes including laws requiring open meetings and laws forbidding financial and other conflicts of interest.
- The Governing Board reviews and certifies the *Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review* form

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter, including all committees/councils; and has a system in place to ensure it consistently complies with the applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) ☒ The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter, including committees/councils; and has a system in place to ensure it complies with the applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) ☐ The Governing Board has partially implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter; and/or has an ineffective system in place to ensure it is complying with applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) ☐ The Governing Board has not implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter; and/or has no system in place to ensure it is complying with applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.)	☒ Organizational chart in approved charter ☒ Organizational chart (current) ☒ Board member roster ☒ Bylaws (current) ☒ Board Committee(s) Calendar(s) ☒ Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review ☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) ☒ Discussion with leadership ☐ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify)

G2: DUE PROCESS – QUALITY INDICATOR

The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the school's charter, and LAUSD charter school policy, to honor and protect the rights of students, employees, parents, and the public in the following areas:

- Student discipline
- Employee grievances and discipline
- Stakeholder complaint resolution pursuant to the Uniform Complaint Procedures (UCP)
- Parent/stakeholder complaint resolution for complaints outside regulatory scope of UCP



Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The Governing Board has well-developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public ☒ The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public ☐ The Governing Board has partially developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public ☐ The Governing Board has minimal or no systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public	☒ Student Discipline Policy ☒ Employee Grievance and Discipline Policy ☒ Uniform Complaint Procedures Policy and form(s) ☒ Stakeholder complaint procedures and form(s) ☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) ☒ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify)

G3: RESPONSIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE GOVERNANCE - EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM - QUALITY INDICATOR***The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure ongoing:***

- Review and use of academic performance data (e.g., CA School Dashboard, internal assessments, etc.) and other school data and information to ensure sound Governing Board decision-making in support of continuous improvement of student achievement, fiscal viability, compliance, and overall public school excellence
- Monitoring of the school's implementation of its Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and additional school plans (e.g., Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), and action plans for making progress toward LCAP goals)
- Transparent governance and accountability to stakeholders, including compliance with the LAUSD BOE's Charter School Transparency Resolution, as well as consideration of input from the school's committees/councils and stakeholders

Rubric	Sources of Evidence
--------	---------------------



Performance	☐ The Governing Board regularly considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data, and takes appropriate action to achieve positive measurable pupil outcomes (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.)	☒ Board meeting agendas, minutes, and/or documentation
	☒ The Governing Board considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.)	☒ Board member and executive leadership training
	☐ The Governing Board inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.)	☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s)
	☐ The Governing Board seldom or does not consider school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.)	☒ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Stakeholder focus group
		☐ Other: (Specify)

G4: RESPONSIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE GOVERNANCE – STAFFING AND EVALUATIONS - QUALITY INDICATOR***The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure ongoing:***

- Monitoring of staffing needs and the school's compliance with all applicable credentialing, clearance, and training requirements
- Evaluation procedures with clear performance standards for all school-based staff, including but not limited to administrators, certificated staff, and classified staff
- Evaluation of executive level leadership (including, but not limited to, those positions reporting to the Governing Board, as indicated in Element 4 of the approved charter, such as Executive Director, Area Superintendent, Principal, etc.)

Rubric	Sources of Evidence
--------	---------------------



Performance	☐ The Governing Board regularly monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and has implemented a well-developed system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and executive level leadership staff	☒ Human Resources Policies and Procedures
	☒ The Governing Board monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and has implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and executive level leadership staff	☒ Board meeting agendas, minutes, and/or documentation
	☐ The Governing Board inconsistently monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and/or has partially implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and/or executive level leadership staff	☒ Evaluation of Executive Leadership
	☐ The Governing Board seldom monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and/or has not implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and/or executive level leadership staff	☒ Evaluation of all school-based staff
		☒ Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review
		☒ <i>Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2023-2024</i> form (“ESSA Grid”)
		☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s)
		☒ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Stakeholder focus group
		☐ Other: (Specify)

**G5: FISCAL CONDITION - QUALITY INDICATOR*****The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure fiscal viability:***

- The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports.
- If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal condition-related benchmark(s) are met by the required deadline(s).

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☒ The school is fiscally strong with positive net assets and meets the four (4) recommended financial ratios (identified as part of the Supplemental Criteria within the Fiscal Operations Rubrics section below for the rating of 4, <i>Accomplished</i>) in the most current two independent audit reports, and, if applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal-condition related benchmark(s) are met by the required deadline(s) ☐ The school is fiscally stable, with positive net assets in the most current independent audit report* ☐ The school is fiscally weak or unstable**, net assets are negative in the most current independent audit report, or the school does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division* ☐ The school is consistently fiscally weak, net assets are negative in the prior two independent audit reports, or the school does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division* *Additional considerations that could influence the rating may include: inadequate cash flow; financial condition and/or enrollment reflecting a downward trend and/or beginning to show signs of deteriorating financial health potentially leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years; multi-year reliance on financing resources for the school's operations (e.g., factoring of receivables, intraorganizational loans, third party loans, continuing deficit spending, etc.); or that the school may not be able to carry out quality educational programs when the student enrollment drops to a certain level. **For example, the school's financial condition fluctuates from year to year, with significant net losses, leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years.	☒ Board meeting agendas and minutes ☒ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances ☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting ☒ Discussion with leadership ☒ Independent audit report(s) ☒ Other financial information submitted by the school ☒ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)

**G6: FISCAL MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY - QUALITY INDICATOR**

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure sound fiscal management and accountability:

- The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement.
- The two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings.

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☒ The school consistently adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, does not have any areas noted for improvement, and the two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings ☐ The school generally adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, but has areas noted for improvement, and the most current annual independent audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings ☐ The school is not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has areas noted for improvement, or has significant fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, unresolved recurring issues, potential conflicts of interest, etc.) ☐ The school is continuously not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, or has significant and recurring fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, etc.)	☒ Board meeting agendas and minutes ☒ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances ☒ Observation of Governing Board meeting ☒ Discussion with leadership ☒ Independent audit report(s) ☒ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):

N/A



STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	2, Developing
California Department of Education's (CDE) Charter School's Performance Category (as published in 2024)	Middle Performing
The state identified the charter school as qualifying for Differentiated Assistance (DA)? ☒ YES ☐ NO Differentiated Assistance is technical assistance provided to Local Education Agencies (LEA) that the state has identified for underperformance. DA is targeted support that is available to LEAs that meet the eligibility requirements set by the State Board of Education (SBE).	
The charter school is a state-identified school under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). ☒ YES ☐ NO If yes, the school's identification: (See additional information within "Notes" section below) ☐ Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ☒ Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)	
<u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u> A10: Dashboard Schoolwide Suspension Rate ➤ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Blue, as compared to the state's color of Orange. The school's 2023 percentage of students suspended at least one day (0.0%) is lower than the state average (3.5%).	
<u>Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement</u> A1: Dashboard Schoolwide ELA and A2: Dashboard Student Group ELA ➤ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Red, as compared to the state's color of Orange. The school's 2023 schoolwide ELA DFS (-81.7 DFS) is lower than the state average (-13.6 DFS). ➤ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ○ The 2023 average DFS in ELA for the school's African American students (-84.7 DFS) is lower than the state average (-59.6 DFS) ○ The 2023 average DFS in ELA for the school's English Learner students (-101.7 DFS) is lower than the state average (-67.7 DFS) ○ The 2023 average DFS in ELA for the school's Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students (-82.3 DFS) is lower than the state average (-42.6 DFS) ○ The 2023 average DFS in ELA for the school's Hispanic students (-78.1 DFS) is lower than the state average (-40.2 DFS) In light of these results, school leaders report implementing the following to improve ELA outcomes schoolwide and for its numerically significant student groups: ○ Incorporation of the academic platforms Achieve 3000 and i-Ready for grades 3 rd and 4 th , and Smarty Ants for grades TK-2. School leadership reported that these supplemental online literacy programs provide nonfiction reading content to students and focus on building phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, reading comprehension, vocabulary, and writing skills. ○ Teachers increase one-on-one instruction to students who are struggling on diagnostic tests. In addition, students are invited and encouraged to attend after-school tutoring to address low performance on FIAB, i-Ready, and/or NWEA performance.	



- o School leadership reported that the use of Writers Workshop's graphic organizers, focused and intentional teacher check-ins, and Achieve 3000 helps teachers implement a wide variety of nonfiction reading materials, including diverse authors, genres, and formats.
- o The school reported that continuous monitoring, the adjustment of instructional strategies, and targeted interventions based on data allow teachers to support students effectively and more efficiently in their classrooms.

A3: Dashboard Schoolwide Math and A4: Dashboard Student Group Math

- The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Orange, as compared to the state's color of Orange. The school's 2023 schoolwide Math DFS (-91.5 DFS) is lower than the state average (-49.1 DFS).
- Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS
 - o The 2023 average DFS in Math for the school's Hispanic students (-80.5 DFS) is higher than the state average (-80.8 DFS)
 - o The 2023 average DFS in Math for the school's African American (-105.2 DFS) is lower than the state average (-104.5 DFS)
 - o The 2023 average DFS in Math for the school's Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students (-91.5 DFS) is lower than the state average (-80.8 DFS)
 - o The 2023 average DFS in Math for the school's English Learner students (-94.6 DFS) is lower than the state average (-93.4 DFS)

In light of these results, school leaders report implementing the following to improve Math outcomes schoolwide and for its numerically significant student groups:

- o The mathematics curriculum is aligned with the California State Standards and math intervention programs such as i-Ready and Aleks are used as targeted support for struggling math students. Teachers continuously assess students via formative assessments, which allows them to adjust their strategies promptly.
- o Teachers receive ongoing professional development to refine their instructional strategies, focusing on incorporating technology, differentiating instruction, and addressing common mathematics misconceptions.
- o Teachers utilize research-based approaches and small-group instruction in the classroom to improve instruction for all students. The integration of hands-on mathematics games in the classroom, along with Kahoot, an online platform, is incorporated into mathematics lessons to make learning enjoyable and enhance conceptual understanding.
- o School leadership encourages and cultivates a positive math culture, valuing both effort and achievement and creating a dynamic environment aimed at improving student outcomes in mathematics. Parental involvement is encouraged through communication about the school's math curriculum and resources to support learning at home.

A8: Dashboard Schoolwide Chronic Absenteeism- (Grades K-8)

The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Red, as compared to the state's color of Yellow. The school's 2023 percentage of chronically absent students (56%) is higher than the state average (24.3%).

The school leader reports the following efforts to reduce schoolwide chronic absenteeism:

- o The school's main office sends notifications and makes phone calls to inquire about the reasons for the absence and how they may be able to support.
- o The school's Director of Parent Engagement communicates continuously with families and collects data on school community needs.
- o The school has implemented a transportation system that picks up its students at designated locations and drops them off at TEACH school sites, which has improved attendance this school year.



- o Teachers communicate with families and parents regularly through Parent Square and also conduct wellness calls to determine why students are not in school.

Corrective Action Required

None noted that require immediate action to remedy concerns indicated in this report.

Notes:**Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)**

TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary was identified in 2023 as an Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) school. Per CDE requirements, it is the expectation that ATSI plans be fully developed and approved at the local level (school and LEA) no later than the first day of the 2024–25 school year. In partnership with educational partners, the school shall develop and implement a school-level targeted support and improvement plan to improve student outcomes based on the indicators in the statewide accountability system for Black or African American students that were the subject of the notification. This will ensure that students and the school receive a full year of intervention and support consistent with the ATSI plan.

English Learner Reclassification

As of the time of the issuance of this Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report, the state has not published the “Annual Reclassification (RFEP) Counts and Rates” for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 academic years. Please note that, depending on the release date of the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 RFEP counts and rates, the District may elect to update and reissue a revised 2023-2024 Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report.

****NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than a 1 if the school has been identified as a Low-performing charter school based on the state’s published list.***

**A1: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE ELA - QUALITY INDICATOR**

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- California School Dashboard Schoolwide ELA data (CDE)

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Blue ☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide Distance From Standard ("DFS") ☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Yellow and below statewide DFS; or Orange ☒ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Red ☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the ELA Indicator on the Dashboard	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)

A2: DASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP ELA - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- Performance of all numerically significant student groups (30 or more students) on the California School Dashboard ELA (Students with Disabilities, English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, etc.)(CDE)

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☒ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)

A3: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE MATH - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- California School Dashboard Schoolwide Math data (CDE)

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
--------	--	---------------------



Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Blue	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide DFS	
	☒ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Yellow and below statewide DFS; or Orange	
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Red	
	☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the Math Indicator on the Dashboard	

A4: DASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP MATH - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- Performance of all numerically significant student groups (30 or more students) on the California School Dashboard Math (Students with Disabilities, English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, etc.) (CDE)

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS	
	☒ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS	
	☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS	
	☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator	

A5: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE ENGLISH LEARNER PROGRESS (ELPI) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- California School Dashboard Schoolwide ELPI data (CDE)

Rubric	Sources of Evidence
--------	---------------------



Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Blue	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide percentage	☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set
	☒ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Yellow and below statewide percentage; or Orange	☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Red	
	☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the ELPI on the Dashboard	

A6: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE COLLEGE/CAREER (CCI) - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard Schoolwide CCI data (CDE)		
Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Very High	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is High; or Medium and at/above the statewide percentage	☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Medium and below the statewide percentage; or Low	☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Very Low	
	☐ Not Available – No assessment of performance for this indicator	
	☒ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	

A7: DASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP COLLEGE/CAREER (CCI) - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
Performance of all numerically significant student groups (30 or more students) on the California School Dashboard CCI (Students with Disabilities, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, etc.)(CDE)		
Rubric		Sources of Evidence



Performance	☐ All numerically significant student groups have “Status/percent” scores above the statewide averages	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ Office of Data & Accountability’s Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have “Status/percent” scores above the statewide averages	
	☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have “Status/percent” scores above the statewide averages	
	☐ None of the school’s numerically significant student groups have “Status/percent” scores above the statewide averages	
	☐ Not Available – No assessment of performance for this indicator	
	☒ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	

A8: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM - (GRADES K-8) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard Schoolwide Chronic Absenteeism Indicator data (CDE)		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Blue	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability’s Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/below statewide percentage	
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Yellow and above statewide percentage; or Orange	
	☒ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Red	
	☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the Chronic Absenteeism Indicator on the Dashboard	
	☐ Not Applicable - The Chronic Absenteeism Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	

A9: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE GRADUATION RATE - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard Schoolwide Graduation Rate Indicator data (CDE)		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide percentage	☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Yellow and below statewide percentage; or Orange	☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Red	
	☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the Graduation Rate Indicator on the Dashboard	
	☒ Not Applicable - The Graduation Rate Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	

A10: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE SUSPENSION RATE INDICATOR - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard Schoolwide Suspension Rate Indicator data (CDE)		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	☒ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Blue ☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/below statewide percentage ☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Yellow and above statewide percentage; or Orange ☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Red ☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the Suspension Rate Indicator on the Dashboard	☒ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)

A11: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT – VERIFIED DATA IMPLEMENTATION – QUALITY INDICATOR

The information provided in this report on internal assessments is to determine the charter school's verified data implementation, as applicable. As part of renewal, as applicable, for charter schools that are identified by the California Department of Education (CDE) as Middle or Low Performing, the LAUSD Board shall consider schoolwide performance and performance of all student groups on the California School Dashboard and shall also consider clear and convincing evidence, demonstrated by verified data, showing either a) the school achieved measurable increases in academic achievement, as defined by at least one year's progress for each in school or b) strong postsecondary outcomes equal to similar peers.

The LAUSD Board shall only consider verified data adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to Education Code section 47607.2(c) (Link: [Approved List](#)). In addition, staff's review of the charter school's submitted materials will be based on the verified data sources and related information adopted by the State Board of Education (Ed. Code, § 47607.2(c)(3).) Charter schools submitting verified data for this purpose must adhere to the state-approved criteria.

The information below is based on charter school's self-reported data and will not be scored.



The charter school did provide Verified Data for consideration.

Academic Progress Indicator(s) for the 2022-2023 School Year:

Academic Progress Indicator (ELA): i-Ready K-8 by Curriculum Associates, Grades K-8	Grade Levels: K-4	Assessment Administration: Fall/Spring	95% Participation Met*: ☒ Met ☐ Not Met
Academic Progress Indicator (Math): i-Ready K-8 by Curriculum Associates, Grades K-8	Grade Levels: K-4	Assessment Administration: Fall/Spring	95% Participation Met*: ☒ Met ☐ Not Met

*If the charter school did not meet the 95% participation, the charter school's plan to address the participation is included in the Notes below.

- ☐ The charter school disaggregated student performance data for the following student groups:
☒ The charter school did not disaggregate student performance data by student groups.
- The charter school affirmed that the assessments were administrated as intended, consistent with the test publishers' administration and test security procedures.
- The charter school provided the following verified data report(s):
 - ☒ i-Ready K-8 by Curriculum Associates: *Diagnostic Growth*
 - ☐ MAP Growth by NWEA: *Student Growth Summary Report*
 - ☐ Star Assessment by Renaissance: *Star Growth Report*
 - ☐ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.
 - ☐ The charter school did not provide the correct report from the publisher to determine one year's growth.

Notes:

The school reported that since the permissions for i-Ready to filter by student group were not in place last year, it was not able to provide a report disaggregated by student group. However, the school noted that it is in place this school year, and moving forward, it will be able to provide subgroup data starting next school year.

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE (if applicable):

N/A

LOCAL CONTROL ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN 2023-2024 (For Informational Purposes Only)

The CSD reviewed the Local Control Accountability Plan.

All requested template information and descriptions were provided:

Sources of Evidence

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit ReportDATE OF VISIT: 2/16/2024

☒ LCFF Budget Overview for Parents ☒ Plan Summary ☒ Engaging Educational Partners ☒ Goals and Actions ☒ Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students ☒ Action Tables	☒ Local Control Accountability Plan ☒ Board Agenda and Minutes
Notes:	
None at this time.	



ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS		RATING*
Summary of School Performance		3, Proficient
<u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u>		
O4: School Climate and Student Discipline- The school has a school climate and student discipline system that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights, as demonstrated by schoolwide suspension event rate data less than 4.5%, and suspension disproportionality rates that do not reach or exceed 14.5% for the Students with Disabilities or African American student groups. Additionally, as evidenced by observations during the oversight visit and documents submitted in preparation for the visit, the school reported their effective school climate and student discipline to the following: ➤ TEACH Preparatory Elementary School uses the Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) framework to establish behavioral supports and social culture needed for all students at TEACH Elementary to achieve social, emotional, and academic success. It educates the whole child by introducing Character Pillars each month to support and enhance students' learning. Through the Character Pillars, along with the incorporation of Restorative Justice strategies, the school guides students to communicate effectively about how they are feeling, develop problem-solving skills, and build positive relationships with peers and staff so learning can take place. ➤ School leadership reported that regular data collection and analysis occur to assess the effectiveness of interventions and make informed decisions for continuous student behavioral improvement. Moreover, all the TEACH Prep teachers use some type of behavior chart and/or rewards system in the classroom, and positive character displays are celebrated with verbal praise and recognized with awards. ➤ Community partnerships provide community resources or referrals to students and/or families requiring additional support. ➤ Certificated and Classified staff receive regular professional development to support student behavior and social-emotional learning. ➤ Additional evidence of the schools' effectiveness in this area includes: ➤ Per the LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Sets for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality, the school's 2022-2023 suspension event rate was 0.00%, and suspension disproportionality rates for the school's Students with Disabilities and African American student groups were 0.00% and 0.00%, respectively. O5: Stakeholder Engagement and Involvement- The school provided evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns; and, as applicable, implements an SSC, ELAC, and/or PAC in accordance with all legal requirements as evidenced by documents submitted in preparation for the visit including but not limited to: ➤ A Stakeholder Engagement Calendar that includes Coffee with the Principal, Back to School Night, Understanding Student Data Workshop, Wellness Saturday, Parent Conferences, Parent-Teacher Potluck, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) agendas and sign-ins, and School Site Council agendas and sign-ins. ➤ Board meeting Calendar, Board agendas and Board minutes; Fiscal reports; Student Accountability Report Card; and LCAP meeting and updates.		
<u>Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement</u>		
None at this time.		
<u>Corrective Action Required</u>		
None noted that require immediate action to remedy concerns indicated in this report.		

**Notes:**

None.

***NOTE:**

- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 1 for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to complete criminal background clearances for any new staff and/or sole proprietor (as defined on the Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Training) prior to employment; and/or failed to obtain DOJ clearance certification, as appropriate, from a vendor; (2) Failed to have Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan; (3) Failed to have an appropriate Certificate of Occupancy, or equivalent; and/or (4) The school is in breach of the operative charter, including Federal, State, and District Required Language related to this section.
- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to conduct child abuse mandated reporter training in accordance with Education Code 44691; (2) Any teacher of the instructional program is not appropriately credentialed and assigned per legal requirements and the school's operative charter at any time during the academic year; and/or (3) Failed to provide evidence of any item on the "Review of Health and Safety Compliance Items" checklist below.
- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 3 for the following reason: Failed to provide evidence of any item on the "Review of Transparency and Stakeholder Information Compliance Items" checklist below.

O1: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM – QUALITY INDICATOR

The school has systems in place to ensure alignment to the curricular and educational program outlined in the approved charter by:

- Implementing key features of the educational program described in the charter
- Implementing standards-based instruction in accordance with the California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served and aligned with the needs of students
- Implementing assessments to measure the development of grade-level appropriate academic and non-academic skills
- Reviewing and analyzing school and student progress towards annual goals (schoolwide and for all student groups that the school serves) that are consistent with the educational performance measured by the California School Dashboard and state assessments
- Providing teacher, staff, and administrator professional development specific to supporting desired student outcomes and key features outlined in the school's charter
- Implementing a system to monitor student progress toward, and completion of, graduation and A-G requirements (**high schools only**)

Rubric**Sources of Evidence**



Performance	☐ The school has fully implemented the educational program, including key features, outlined in the school’s charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served; and has achieved an overall rating of “3” or “4” in the Student Achievement and Educational Performance category of this year’s oversight report	☒ Key Features of the Educational Program
	☒ The school has implemented the educational program, including key features, outlined in the school’s charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served	☒ Standards-Based Instructional Program
	☐ The school has partially implemented the educational program, including key features, outlined in the school’s charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served	☒ Master Schedule/Course Schedule
	☐ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the educational program, including key features, outlined in the school’s charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served	☒ Student Achievement Data Analysis
		☒ Professional Development documentation
		☒ Classroom/site Observation
		☒ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Other: (Specify)
		High School:
		☐ System for monitoring student progress toward and completion of graduation and A-G Requirements
		☐ WASC Accreditation Notification Letter
		☐ UC Doorways course approval
		☐ Graduation Requirement/Policy
		☐ Math Placement Assessment Policy
		☐ Advanced Placement Exam: Participation Rate and Passage Rate
		☐ College acceptance and enrollment rates, and other postsecondary indicators

O2: MEETING THE NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS; STUDENT GROUP DATA ANALYSIS - QUALITY INDICATOR***The school has a system in place to ensure:***

- Implementation of differentiated instructional strategies and approaches described in the charter designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including all numerically significant student groups identified in the school’s LCAP and by the CDE
- Implementation of internal student assessments aligned with instructional outcomes to determine student mastery of California State Content Standards
- Disaggregation and analysis of data on a regular basis to address individual student needs and guide instructional planning and use of interventions
- Implementation, review, and modification, as appropriate, of its Master Plan for English Learners (EL identification, standards-based designated and integrated ELD instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)

Rubric	Sources of Evidence
--------	---------------------



Performance	☐ The school has a well-developed system to implement and monitor the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups; provides standards-aligned designated and integrated ELD for English Learners; evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis; and has achieved an overall rating of "3" or "4" in the Student Achievement and Educational Performance category of this year's oversight report	☒ Implementation of differentiated instructional strategies
	☒ The school has a system to implement and monitor the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups; provides standards-aligned designated and integrated ELD for English Learners; and evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	☒ Intervention/Enrichment Program during the instructional day
	☐ The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups, and/or limited evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	☒ Student Group data analysis
	☐ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups, and/or no evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	☒ Professional Development documentation
		☒ Classroom/site Observation
		☒ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Other: (Specify)
		English Learners
		☒ Master Plan for English Learners
		☒ Designated English Language Development (ELD) schedule
		☒ Documentation of implementation of the school's Master Plan for English Learners
		☒ Implementation of a data analysis system

**O3: SPECIAL EDUCATION - QUALITY INDICATOR*****The school has a system in place to ensure that the school:***

- Provides special education programs and services in accordance with students' IEPs
- Provides special education training for staff
- Conducts a special education self-review annually, using the Special Education Self-Review Checklist
- Maintains mandated IEP timeline records and accurate service provision records in Welligent

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The school has a well-developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements; provides staff with regular and ongoing professional development/training to support implementation of its special education program; and, as documented in Welligent, adheres to mandated IEP timelines and maintains accurate service provision records ☒ The school has a system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements; provides staff with professional development/training to support implementation of its special education program; and, as documented in Welligent, adheres to mandated IEP timelines and maintains accurate service provision records ☐ The school has a partially developed system in place for implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements ☐ ☐ The school has a minimal or no system in place for implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements ☐ Not Applicable - Charter school participates in LAUSD's Option 1 SELPA ☐ Charter school does not participate in LAUSD's SELPA	☒ Welligent IEP Timeline and Service Tracking Reports ☒ District Validation Review (DVR) ☒ Annual Self-Review Checklist ☒ Professional Development documentation ☒ Discussion with school leadership

**O4: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE - QUALITY INDICATOR**

The school has a school climate and schoolwide student discipline system in place to ensure that the school's practices:

- Align with principles of the District's Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights Resolution, including but not limited to, tiered behavior intervention, alternatives to suspension, schoolwide positive behavior support, and data monitoring
- Provide positive opportunities for student wellness, growth, and success, aimed at making the school safe, welcoming, supportive, and inclusive
- Minimize discretionary suspensions and expulsions
- Reduce or eliminate suspension disproportionality for student groups
- Minimize chronic absenteeism for all students and student groups
- Procedures for preventing acts of bullying, including cyberbullying, in accordance with the requirements of Ed. Code, §§ 32283.5 and 234.4

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The school has a well-developed and effective school climate and student discipline system that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights, as demonstrated by schoolwide suspension event rate data less than 3%, and suspension disproportionality rates that do not reach or exceed 4.5% for the Students with Disabilities or African American student groups ☒ The school has a school climate and student discipline system that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights, as demonstrated by schoolwide suspension event rate data less than 4.5%, and suspension disproportionality rates that do not reach or exceed 14.5% for the Students with Disabilities or African American student groups ☐ The school has a partially developed and/or minimally effective school climate and student discipline system that is only partially aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights ☐ The school has a minimally developed school climate and student discipline system as demonstrated by one or more of the following: the issuance of repeated CSD Notices to Cure (tiered intervention) related to suspension/expulsion; noted concerns related to ensuring due process rights when implementing suspension/expulsion practices; violation of law or policy.	☒ Positive school climate system and Restorative Justice documentation ☒ Documentation of systems to prevent acts of bullying ☒ Professional Development documentation ☒ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Sets for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality ☒ Classroom/site Observation ☒ Discussion with school leadership ☒ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify)

**O5: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND INVOLVEMENT - QUALITY INDICATOR**

The school has a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, facilitating, and encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns, which:

- Provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school's charter, and the school LCAP
- Implements a School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and/or Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) in accordance with applicable legal requirements (e.g., member composition of committee/council, legally required topics, etc.), if applicable
- The liaison for students experiencing homelessness has identified this population through outreach and coordination activities with other organizations, and provided related referral of services to families, children, and youth experiencing homelessness

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The school provided evidence of a well-developed and effective system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns; and, as applicable, implements an SSC, ELAC, and/or PAC in accordance with all legal requirements	☒ Yearlong Calendar of stakeholder engagement events/meetings.
	☒ The school provided evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns; and, as applicable, implements an SSC, ELAC, and/or PAC in accordance with all legal requirements	☒ Stakeholder Engagement
	☐ The school provided limited evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	☒ Stakeholder Consultation
	☐ The school provided little to no evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	☒ School Site Council (SSC) documentation
		☒ Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) documentation
		☒ English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) documentation
		☒ Foster Youth/Students Experiencing Homelessness Designee
		☒ School website
		☒ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Stakeholder focus group
		☐ Other: (Specify)

O6: CLEARANCES AND CREDENTIALING COMPLIANCE - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school is in compliance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter regarding clearances and credentialing:

- All certificated staff are fully credentialed, including EL authorizations, and appropriately assigned as authorized by their credentials at all times
- Individuals who have been continuously employed in a teaching position since the 2019–20 school year obtain the appropriate certificate, permit, or other document for their certificated assignment no later than July 1, 2025 (Ed. Code § 47605.4(a))
- The school has obtained all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- The school has obtained all necessary vendor clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances as applicable, prior to the provision of service, and keeps all clearances current



- School employees and other mandated reporters working on behalf of the school receive annual training on child abuse awareness and reporting, in accordance with the requirements of Ed. Code, § 44691
- Staff receive annual training in Pupil Suicide Prevention and Awareness (as applicable) pursuant to Ed. Code §215
- Staff receive annual training in bloodborne pathogens, per 8 California Code of Regulations (“CCR”), § 5193
- The school has conducted volunteer clearances in accordance with applicable law and policy, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform school site services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	☐ The school has implemented an effective system which includes procedures to continually monitor and maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements at all times, which has led to clear and accurate record keeping/documentation of its compliance	☒ <i>Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2023-2024</i> form (“ESSA Grid”) ☒ Staff roster ☒ School master schedule ☒ Custodian(s) of Records documentation ☒ Criminal Background Clearance Certifications ☒ Teaching credential/authorization documentation ☒ Vendor clearances and credentialing certifications ☒ Volunteer (TB) risk assessment/clearance certification ☒ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Other: (Specify)
	☒ The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements ☐ The school has partially implemented and/or intermittently monitors systems and procedures leading to inconsistent compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements ☐ The school has not implemented and/or does not monitor systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements Note: The rating of this indicator incorporates the ESSA Grid and evidence provided by the charter school in its Triannual submission and at the time of the oversight visit for those staff and/or vendors not included in the Triannual submission.	

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS (if applicable):

N/A

**Review of Health and Safety Compliance Items**

The items below are expected to be evident at the charter school to ensure the protection of student and staff health and safety. Failure to provide evidence of any of the applicable items below may lead to tiered intervention, as appropriate, and restrict the overall rating in the Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations category as indicated below.

A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 1 if the items below are not evident.

Item	Evident	Not Evident
A current, comprehensive, site-specific comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency School Safety Plan per requirements of Ed. Code, §§ 47605(c)(5)(F), 32282(a)(2)(A)(J), and 35179.4, as applicable. (Note: For schools co-located with a District school, the charter school participates in and complies with the District school's Integrated Safe School Plan)	☒	☐
A current site-specific Certificate(s) of Occupancy or equivalent that authorizes the current use of the site	☒	☐

A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 if any of the items below are not evident.

If several (i.e., two or more) items below are not evident, charter school may receive an overall rating of 1 in this category.

Item	Evident	Not Evident
School has sufficient emergency supplies in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency (Ed. Code § 32280)	☒	☐
School conducts annual emergency drills and trainings as legally required to prepare for a natural disaster or other emergency, per Ed. Code §§ 32001 and 32282	☒	☐
Provision and documentation of health screenings (e.g., vision, hearing, and scoliosis) per current applicable law and terms of the charter (Ed. Code § 49450 et seq, as referenced in FSDRL)	☒	☐



Stock and maintenance of the required number and type of emergency epinephrine auto-injectors (“epi-pen”) onsite and training has been provided to volunteer staff member(s) in the storage and emergency use, per Ed. Code § 49414 and § 4119.2 of the Business and Professions Code	☒	☐
Provision of at least two meals free of charge (breakfast and lunch) during each school day to students requesting a meal, regardless of their free or reduced-price meal eligibility, per Ed. Code § 49501.5	☒	☐
A Board adopted Pupil Suicide Prevention Policy (grades 1-6 and/or grades 7-12, as applicable), requirements per of Ed. Code § 215. Suicide Prevention Lifeline and National Domestic Violence Hotline on at least one side of Student ID cards, as specified in Ed. Code § 215.5	☒	☐
For schools offering an interscholastic athletic program, at least one automated external defibrillator (AED) is onsite, and available at on-campus athletic activities or events per Ed. Code §§ 35179.4 and 35179.	N/A	N/A
Notes: Based on the 2023-2024 TEACH Prep MSC & EHM ES Emergency Drills Calendar. The school has conducted and/or scheduled 3 Fire Drills, 3 Lockdown/Active Shooter Drills, and 3 Earthquake drills. The school noted that for the remainder of the school year, they will conduct a fire drill and an earthquake drill consistent with Ed. Code. Moving forward to next school year, when the school calendars its emergency drills, the school will make sure each month there is a fire drill and each quarter there is an earthquake drill in place. Per Ed. Code §§ 32001 “Every person and public officer managing, controlling, or in charge of any public, private, or parochial school, other than a two-year community college, shall cause the fire alarm signal to be sounded not less than once every calendar month and shall conduct a fire drill at least once every calendar month at the elementary level.” Ed. Code §§ 32282 specifies, “A drop procedure [earthquake] practice shall be held at least once each school quarter in elementary schools” (a.2.B.II)		

**Review of Transparency and Stakeholder Information Compliance Items**

The items below are expected to be evident at the charter school to ensure that it operates in a transparent manner and keeps all stakeholders informed. Failure to provide evidence of any of the applicable items below may lead to tiered intervention, as appropriate, and impact the overall rating in the Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations category.

A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 3 if any of the items below are not evident.

Item	Evident	Not Evident
The following information posted to the school's website: ☒ LCAP, per Ed. Code § 47606.5(h) ☒ Current Board agenda in compliance with Brown Act, per Gov. Code, §54954.2(a)(1) ☒ Policy on Pupil Suicide Prevention per Ed. Code § 234.6 ☒ Title IX information, including a link to CDE's Title IX website per Ed. Code § 234.6 ☒ Policies on anti-discrimination, anti-harassment, anti-intimidation, anti-bullying, and sexual harassment policies, including: anti-cyberbullying procedures, social media anti-bullying procedures, and a link to statewide resources including community-based organizations compiled by CDE, per Ed. Code § 234.6	☒	☐
Provides all stakeholders with appropriate, accessible, and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance Ed. Code § 35256	☒	☐
Access to approved charter	☒	☐
Documentation of informing parents/guardians about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (high schools only), per Ed. Code § 47605	N/A	N/A
Informing parents/guardians of human trafficking prevention resources (grades 6-12), per Ed. Code § 49381	N/A	N/A



Notification of access to available mental health services, per Ed. Code § 49428. Additionally, charter schools serving any of the grades 6 to 12, shall prominently and conspicuously display a poster that identifies approaches and shares resources regarding pupil mental health in appropriate public areas that are accessible to, and commonly frequented by, pupils, per Ed. Code § 49428.5	☒	☐
Notes: None.		



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: **2/16/2024**

2452	2019-2020					2020-2021					2021-2022				
TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary School	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials
Cash and Cash Equivalents		211,987	94,981	82,441	82,441		29,140	196,506	175,033	175,032		550,385	742,657	1,355,792	1,355,793
Accounts Receivable		195,889	252,192	442,639	442,639		1,085,922	1,115,630	1,065,278	1,065,278		105,071	691,990	983,825	1,440,544
Other Current Assets		166,682	156,198	111,190	111,189		134,247	121,922	146,813	146,813		962,105	141,873	375,099	117,043
Total Current Assets		574,558	503,371	636,270	636,269		1,249,309	1,434,058	1,387,124	1,387,123		1,617,561	1,576,520	2,714,716	2,913,380
Fixed and Other Assets		77,080	103,470	103,471	103,469		111,816	127,076	164,501	164,503		160,317	156,409	199,139	200,725
Total Assets		651,638	606,841	739,741	739,738		1,361,125	1,561,134	1,551,625	1,551,626		1,777,878	1,732,929	2,913,855	3,114,105
Deferred Outflows of Resources		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Current Liabilities		222,365	44,570	131,246	131,246		223,841	347,832	329,311	325,252		182,191	327,029	662,273	714,480
Other Long Term Liabilities		143,233	45,755	40,002	40,002		40,000	40,002	20,004	20,004		20,004	0	0	0
Unfunded OPEB Liabilities		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Total Liabilities		365,598	90,325	171,248	171,248		263,841	387,834	349,315	345,256		202,195	327,029	662,273	714,480
Deferred Inflows of Resources		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Net Assets		286,040	516,516	568,493	568,490		1,097,284	1,173,300	1,202,310	1,206,370		1,575,683	1,405,900	2,251,582	2,399,625
Total Revenues	2,416,887	2,208,336	2,263,513	2,345,034	2,345,034	2,775,393	2,847,335	2,872,241	2,996,314	2,819,662	4,396,996	4,597,808	4,355,550	4,757,348	4,956,012
Total Expenditures	2,215,035	2,263,982	2,086,857	2,116,401	2,116,404	2,723,830	2,318,544	2,267,431	2,362,495	2,358,434	4,153,713	4,228,493	4,156,018	3,712,134	3,762,757
Net Income / (Loss)		201,852	(55,646)	176,656	228,633	51,563	528,791	604,810	633,819	461,228	243,283	369,315	199,532	1,045,214	1,193,255
Operating Transfers In (Out) and Sources / Uses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	176,652	0	0	0	0	0
Extraordinary Item - Transfer of Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inc / (Dec) in Net Assets	201,852	(55,646)	176,656	228,633	228,630	51,563	528,791	604,810	633,819	637,880	243,283	369,315	199,532	1,045,214	1,193,255
Net Assets, Beginning	307,100	568,493	568,493	568,493	568,490	516,516	568,493	568,493	568,493	568,490	1,173,300	1,202,309	1,202,309	1,202,309	1,206,370
Adj. for restatement / Prior Yr Adj	0	0	(1,826)	(1,826)	0	91,653	0	(3)	(2)	0	50,501	4,059	4,059	4,059	0
Net Assets, Beginning, Adjusted	307,100	568,493	566,667	566,667	568,490	608,169	568,493	568,490	568,491	568,490	1,223,801	1,206,368	1,206,368	1,206,368	1,206,370
Net Assets, End	508,952	512,847	743,323	795,300	797,120	659,732	1,097,284	1,173,300	1,202,310	1,206,370	1,467,084	1,575,683	1,405,900	2,251,582	2,399,625
Unrestricted Net Assets		286,040	516,516	465,023	568,490		1,097,284	1,173,300	1,037,808	1,206,370		1,575,683	1,405,900	2,251,582	2,399,625
Restricted Net Assets		0	0	103,470	0		0	0	164,502	0		0	0	0	0

2452	Audited Financials					2022-2023					2023-2024				
TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary School	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials
Cash and Cash Equivalents	82,441	175,032	1,355,793	3,201,462	0		1,397,166	2,023,202	3,172,553	3,201,462		3,553,076	3,251,447	0	0
Accounts Receivable	442,639	1,065,278	1,440,544	2,304,273	0		1,555,903	1,358,286	1,016,940	2,304,273		2,173,796	2,369,831	0	0
Other Current Assets	111,189	146,813	117,043	142,155	0		99,833	111,328	323,362	142,155		104,733	1,630,148	0	0
Current Assets	636,269	1,387,123	2,913,380	5,647,890	0		3,052,903	3,492,816	4,512,855	5,647,890		5,831,605	6,551,426	0	0
Fixed and Other Assets	103,469	164,503	200,725	11,979,333	0		218,897	217,426	216,822	11,979,333		175,911	11,954,774	0	0
Total Assets	739,738	1,551,626	3,114,105	17,627,223	0		3,271,800	3,710,242	4,729,677	17,627,223		6,007,516	18,506,199	0	0
Deferred Outflows of Resources	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Current Liabilities	131,246	325,252	714,480	3,396,102	0		550,033	1,195,669	1,666,413	3,396,102		2,684,599	3,501,391	0	0
Other Long Term Liabilities	40,002	20,004	0	11,573,926	0		6	6	0	11,573,926		0	11,765,174	0	0
Unfunded OPEB Liabilities	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Total Liabilities	171,248	345,256	714,480	14,970,028	0		550,039	1,195,675	1,666,413	14,970,028		2,684,599	15,266,565	0	0
Deferred Inflows of Resources	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Net Assets	568,490	1,206,370	2,399,625	2,657,195	0		2,721,761	2,514,567	3,063,264	2,657,195		3,322,917	3,239,635	0	0
Total Revenues	2,345,034	2,819,662	4,956,012	4,674,258	0	4,939,962	4,914,496	4,749,969	5,056,687	4,674,258	5,926,689	6,458,883	6,812,883	0	0
Total Expenditures	2,116,404	2,358,434	3,762,757	4,416,688	0	4,734,571	4,444,317	4,486,984	4,393,049	4,416,688	5,636,167	6,205,547	6,230,443	0	0
Net Income / (Loss)	228,630	461,228	1,193,255	257,570	0	205,391	470,179	262,985	663,639	257,570	290,522	253,336	582,440	0	0
Operating Transfers In (Out) and Sources / Uses	0	176,652	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extraordinary Item - Transfer of Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inc / (Dec) in Net Assets	228,630	637,880	1,193,255	257,570	0	205,391	470,179	262,985	663,639	257,570	290,522	253,336	582,440	0	0
Net Assets, Beginning	339,860	568,490	1,206,370	2,399,625	0	1,405,900	2,251,582	2,251,582	2,251,582	2,399,625	2,514,567	3,063,264	3,063,264	0	0
Adj. for restatement / Prior Yr Adj	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	148,043	0	(45,618)	6,317	(406,069)	0	0
Net Assets, Beginning, Adjusted	339,860	568,490	1,206,370	2,399,625	0	1,405,900	2,251,582	2,251,582	2,399,625	2,399,625	2,468,949	3,069,581	2,657,195	0	0
Net Assets, End	568,490	1,206,370	2,399,625	2,657,195	0	1,611,291	2,721,761	2,514,567	3,063,264	2,657,195	2,759,471	3,322,917	3,239,635	0	0
Unrestricted Net Assets	568,490	1,206,370	2,399,625	2,657,195	0		0	204,139	3,063,264	2,657,195		3,322,917	3,239,635	0	0
Restricted Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0		0	204,139	0	0		0	0	0	0

**FISCAL OPERATIONS**

You have been assessed by the Fiscal Oversight team and you are receiving the rating of **4, Accomplished**.

Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and are noted in this evaluation.

Financial Highlights

TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary's fiscal condition is positive and has been upward trending since the 2019-2020 fiscal year.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS					
TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary	2019-2020 (Audited Actuals)	2020-2021 (Audited Actuals)	2021-2022 (Audited Actuals)	2022-2023 (Audited Actuals)	2023-2024 (Second Interim)
Net Assets	\$568,490	\$1,206,370	\$2,399,625	\$2,657,195	\$3,239,635
Net Income / (Loss)	\$228,630	\$461,228	\$1,193,255	\$257,570	\$582,440
Transfers In / Out	\$0	\$176,652*	\$0	\$0	\$0
Prior Year Adjustment(s)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$82,441	\$175,032	\$1,355,793	\$3,201,462	\$2,551,447
Unrestricted Net Assets	\$568,490	\$1,206,370	\$2,399,625	\$2,657,195	\$3,239,635
Norm Enrollment Reported by the School	148	194	259	254	272
FINANCIAL RATIO ANALYSIS					
Fund Reserve (Reserve for Economic Uncertainty) (Unrestricted Net Assets /Total Expenditures) <i>3% - 5% and greater is recommended (depending on the school's ADA)</i>	26.86%	51.15%	63.77%	60.16%	52.00%



Cash Reserve Level (Cash Balance/Total Expenditures) <i>5% and greater is recommended</i>	3.90%	7.42%	36.03%	72.49%	40.95%
Current Ratio (Working Capital Ratio) (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) <i>At least 1.2 or 120% is recommended</i>	484.79%	426.48%	407.76%	166.31%	187.11%
Debt Ratio (Total Liabilities/Total Assets) <i>Lower than 1.0 or 100% is recommended</i>	23.15%	22.25%	22.94%	84.93%	82.49%

*The inbound transfer of \$176,652 for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 was primarily due to the realignment of the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loan forgiveness for TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary. Initially, the entire PPP loan forgiveness amount of \$1,030,000 was allocated to TEACH Academy of Technology and then it was reallocated to each of the three TEACH schools and the TEACH home office accordingly.

Charter Operator Fiscal Information

TEACH Public Schools, Inc.					
Source: Independent Audit Report for the Year Ending June 30, 2023					
# of Charter Schools		Including related parties and charter schools		Excluding related parties and charter schools	
Operated	Authorized by LAUSD	Net Assets	Net Income / (Loss)	Net Assets	Net Income / (Loss)
3	3	\$15,166,442	\$1,733,980	\$242,868	(\$298,303)*

*TEACH Public Schools stated that the net loss is primarily due to mid-year salary increases and outstanding receivables from TEACH Las Vegas (TEACH Public Schools is the back-office provider for a separate non-profit TEACH school in Las Vegas, which is run by a separate and independent board.)

Management Fees: According to TEACH Public Schools, Inc., TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary pays annual management fees of 9.5% to TEACH Public Schools, Inc. for administrative services such as: creating, preparing, and submitting the school's charter petitions, facilities costs, professional development, fiscal and compliance reporting, developing and executing fundraising efforts, providing guidance relating to the curriculum, and providing or contracting for office and other services, including accounting, payroll, human resources and



billing, marketing, public relations, and information technology support. These management fees are calculated based on the total revenues of each school that TEACH Public Schools, Inc. operates.

Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress:

1. The school's fiscal condition is positive. Please refer to the Financial Highlights table above.

Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement:

No significant items noted.

Other Observations (Items described in this section, which may not have been addressed in the charter school's Fiscal Policies and Procedures, are recommended for improvement to align with optimal business practices).

Through conducting fiscal oversight and analyzing the data below, the CSD requests and receives fiscal documents from TEACH Public Schools, Inc. (including bank statements, bank reconciliations, credit card statements, and check registers) for the three TEACH Public Schools, Inc. charter schools that are currently authorized by LAUSD. The CSD reviews these financial documents and a sampling of checks across these TEACH Public Schools, Inc. charter schools, to assess overall compliance with TEACH Public Schools, Inc.'s *Fiscal Policies and Procedures manual*. Any areas noted as other observations below relating to TEACH Public Schools, Inc.'s and its charter schools' overall compliance to the aforementioned manual are indicated within each charter school's Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report, which may or may not have been experienced by the specific TEACH Public Schools, Inc. school named above. Lastly, any exceptions that are school-specific, such as the fiscal condition, are reviewed separately for each TEACH Public Schools, Inc. charter school.

1. Type of Observation: Checks Outstanding for Over 180 Days

- **Source(s) of Document Reviewed:** Check Register and Bank Reconciliation Reports.
- **Description of Observation:** The CSD noted five checks, drawn on TEACH charter schools' operating accounts ending in X7843 and X7876, that, as of December 31, 2023, had been outstanding for over 180 days. Details regarding this check are summarized below.

Item #	Acct. # Ending in	Check #	Check Issuance Date	Payee	Check Amount	Number of Days Check Outstanding (As of 12/31/2023)	Transaction Description	TEACH Public Schools' Response on 4/2/2024
1	X7843	63562	4/14/2023	Teachers on Reserve	\$940.75	261	Substitute services	Stop payment, void, and reissue (sent to wrong/old address) in process



2	X7843	63596	5/3/2023	Bay Alarm Company	\$900.00	242	Alarm services	Stop payment, void, and reissue (sent to wrong/old address) in process
3	X7876	72399	5/12/2023	Essential Transportation	\$2,600.00	233	Transportation services	Stop payment, void, and reissue in process
4	X7843	63627	5/19/2023	PlanConnect	\$187.50	226	Plan services	Check was voided and payment was reissued
5	X7843	63707	6/29/2023	Teach Public Schools	\$18,025.00	185	Business Management Services	Inadvertently issued check to TEACH instead of Charter Impact. Check was voided and payment was reissued to Charter Impact.

Total: \$22,653.25

- **Charter Operator's Fiscal Policies and Procedures:** Page 12 of TEACH's Fiscal Policies & Procedures states: "Charter Impact will examine all paid checks for date, name, cancellation, and endorsement. Checks will be valid for 6 months, however any discrepancies regarding the paid checks or any checks over 90 days will be researched and if applicable deleted from the accounting system."
- **Charter School's or Charter Operator's Response:** TEACH stated that the accounts payable team would send e-mails to the TEACH team when checks reach 90 days. TEACH would then contact the vendor to determine status, request a stop payment, and re-issue of check if needed. All other stale checks would be voided and removed from the system if allowed by law.
- **CSD's Recommendations/Comments:** The CSD recommends that TEACH Public Schools strictly adhere to its governing board-approved fiscal policies and procedures regarding the research, investigation, write-off, and reissuance of checks that have been outstanding for the specified periods of time, and maintain documentation of such, to reduce potential risks of bank overdrafts, inaccurate accounting, fraud, and violation of property rights.

The Charter Schools Division will review the issue referenced above by or during the next oversight visit. The results may be factored into the school's rating for next year.

Corrective Action Required:

None noted that require immediate action to remedy concerns in this report.

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to FISCAL OPERATIONS (if applicable):

N/A

**Notes:****I. Review of Fiscal Documentation (Non-Fiscal Preparation Guide Items)**

1. Reviewed independent audit report for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2023, and noted the following:
 - a. Audit opinion: Unmodified/Unqualified.
 - b. Material weaknesses: None Reported.
 - c. Deficiencies/Findings: None Reported.
 - d. Lack of a Going Concern: None Reported.
2. The 2022-2023 audited and unaudited actuals do not mirror each other. The variance of \$1.2M in Accounts Receivable is primarily due to the Intercompany Due to/from. The variances of \$11M in Fixed and Other Assets and Other Long-Term Liabilities are primarily due to the ASC 842 lease liabilities and the right of use asset that was recorded after the unaudited actuals. The variances of \$1.7M in Current Liabilities and (\$382K) and Revenue are primarily due to the combination of a) reclassification of revenue to payable, and b) reclassification of revenue to deferred revenue liabilities.
3. The school's reported Norm Enrollment was 148, 194, 259, 254, and 272 students for Fiscal Years 2019-2020, 2020-2021, 2021-2022, 2022-2023, and 2023-2024 respectively, representing an accumulated increase in enrollment of 124 students (or 83.8%) since Fiscal Year 2019-2020. The school's reported Norm Enrollment for Fiscal Year 2023-2024 is 272 students, which is 128 students (or approximately 32.0%) below its projected student enrollment for Fiscal Year 2023-2024 (i.e., 400 students) per the school's petition enrollment roll-out plan in its operative charter. As noted above, the school has maintained a balanced budget for Fiscal Year 2022-2023 and projects, a balanced budget for Fiscal Year 2023-2024 per its 2023-2024 Second Interim Financials. The CSD will continue to monitor the school's student enrollment through oversight.
4. A Segregation of Duties (SOD) review was conducted virtually at TEACH Preparatory Mildred S. Cunningham & Edith H. Morris Elementary via videoconference. No discrepancies were noted.

II. Review of 2023-2024 Fiscal Preparation Guide

1. Most current fiscal reports presented to the charter school's governing board at one of the meetings held in 2023-2024: a) Balance Sheet; b) Income Statement (Statement of Activities), and c) Monthly Cash Flow Projections were provided.
2. Minutes of the meeting and the board packet when the above fiscal reports (i.e., Items 1a., 1b., and 1c.) were presented to and approved by the charter school's governing board were provided.
3. Audit/fiscal reports issued by any public agency or third-party organizations, and the school's governing board minutes of all meetings reflecting the discussion of any of the fiscal reports and applicable audit findings resolution/corrective action were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
4. Minutes of the meeting when the 2023-2024 budget was adopted were provided.
5. Evidence of the benefits that the charter school is offering STRS, PERS, Social Security, 403B, and 457B benefits to its employees, and that this is done in a manner that is consistent with the charter terms and the Charter Schools Act (Education Code 47611) was provided.
6. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the selection of the independent auditor were provided.
7. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the discussion of the most current independent audit report were provided.
8. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the receipt, review, and discussion of the most current three interim financial reports (i.e., first interim and second interim financial reports, and unaudited actuals) submitted to LAUSD were provided.
9. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the discussion and resolution of complaints received from staff or vendors, if any, within the last year (i.e., 2022-2023) or since the last disclosure period, whichever is later were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.



10. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the receipt, review, and discussion of the most current Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit report. were provided.
11. A copy of the most current fiscal policies and procedures was provided.
12. Minutes of the meeting reflecting approval of the current fiscal policies and procedures and, if applicable, minutes of the meeting reflecting the approval of the current procurement policies and procedures were provided.
13. A copy of the charter school's organizational chart that depicts the current reporting structure of the charter school, including but not limited to, any board members, charter management organization (CMO) employees (when applicable), and/or school employees, who handle day-to-day finances and/or have responsibilities outlined within the charter school's fiscal policies and procedures was provided.
14. A summary of the total compensation paid in Fiscal Year 2022-2023, with a breakdown that includes the name of the employee, job title, regular pay, overtime pay, other pay, benefits, and total pay and benefits, for all executives, school leaders, administrators, directors, and non-certificated staff, who may have decision-making authority over the charter school, either employed directly by the charter school or the entity managing the charter school as defined by Education Code section 47604.1, including the organization's home office, CMO, any related party was provided.
15. A description of the relationship (legal, business or otherwise) the charter school maintains with any related party as defined in the CSD's 2023-2024 Fiscal Preparation Guide Item 14 was not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
16. Copies of any and all of the most current signed and executed agreements/contracts within the last year (i.e., 2022-2023) or since the last update, whichever is later (including attachments and exhibits that accompany the agreements/contracts) with the administrative services provider and/or the back office services provider, or the charter school's operator and/or charter school's home office (e.g., management contracts, service agreements, license agreements, affiliation agreements, contract amendments, etc.) were provided.
17. Minutes of the meeting reflecting approval of the management fees, licensing fees, or any other fees were provided.
18. A copy of the sole statutory member's by-laws, and a copy of the sole statutory member's articles of incorporation were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
19. The most current accounts payable aging report listing the balances owed, vendor names, invoice numbers, invoice dates, number of days outstanding, and, if applicable, explanations for 90+ days outstanding invoice(s) or outstanding invoices exceeding the number of days in accordance with the charter school's fiscal policies and procedures was provided.
20. Check registers, or a list of all issued checks/cash disbursements, including voided checks (when the school's payments are outsourced to a third-party provider), documenting all checks and electronic debit transactions for the prior 12 months (spanning from January 2023 to December 2023) were provided. Reviewed 25 checks (and 5 electronic credit/debit transactions). No discrepancies were noted.
21. a) A list of all active credit card account(s), b) A list of all closed credit card account(s) within the last year, and c) All credit card statements for the most current six months were not provided as the charter school has declared that it has no credit cards at this time.
22. a) A list of all active school bank account(s), b) Monthly bank statements and reconciliation reports for the most current six months (spanning from July 2023 to December 2023), were provided. Selected the months of July 2023 through December 2023 for sample testing. The CSD's observations were noted under Other Observations.
23. Student body financial records (including ASB policies and procedures, budgets, cash flow statements and projections, bank statements with reconciliations for the most current six months, audit reports, and other fiscal reports, if applicable) were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
24. The most current inventory listing for both capitalized and non-capitalized equipment, including equipment description/type, brand/model, tag number, acquisition date, purchasing price, book value, asset life, and location of use was provided.
25. The webpage address where the charter school posts the Education Protection Account (EPA) revenue and expenditures report pertaining to the prior fiscal year (i.e., 2022-2023) (as required by Article XIII, Section 36, Subdivision (e), Paragraph (6) of the California Constitution) was provided.



26. The webpage address(es) where the charter school posts (1) Audited Financials, and (2) Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), respectively, (or the school's assertion that its Audited Financial Statements are made available to members of the public) in accordance with Education Code section 47606.5(h) and/or LAUSD's Charter School Transparency Resolution were provided.
27. Documents pertaining to the charter operator's/school's financing/borrowing activities were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
28. A copy of the current facility lease agreement(s) for the school's private site(s), if applicable, along with the approved meeting minutes of the school governing board's approval of the lease agreement(s) were provided.
29. The charter school's plan(s) for the purchase, new lease, relocation, and/or expansion to new school site(s), facilities-related expansions, and/or major improvements to the existing and/or new school site(s) and pertinent documents such as financing documents, copies of construction contract, a copy of the lease agreement (if applicable), and the following information, as appropriate: were not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.
30. Disclosure of legal issues was not provided as the charter school has indicated not applicable.

**Fiscal Operations Rubrics**

Existing School – a charter school that was/is in operation/active in the preceding school year(s) and the current school year. Existing schools may receive a rating of 1, 2, 3, or 4.

New School – a charter school that is in its first year of operation in the current school year and does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools may receive a rating of 1 or 2.

<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Accomplished</u> [Rating of 4].</i>	<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Proficient</u> [Rating of 3].</i>
<u>Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):</u> <u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u> 1. Net assets are positive in the prior two audits; 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive; 3. The two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings; 4. If applicable, federal, state, and other public agency audits/reviews (e.g., California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS), California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), Fiscal Crisis & Management Assistance Team (FCMAT), United States Department of Education (USDE), California Department of Education (CDE), etc.), at the time of the oversight visit, show no outstanding material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings; 5. Vendors and staff are consistently paid in a timely manner; 6. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term; 7. Charter school consistently adheres to the governing board-approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures; 8. Governing board adopts the annual budget; 9. Governing board reviews and/or discusses reports (e.g., first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited financial statements, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 10. If applicable, governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; 11. There is no apparent conflict of interest;	<u>Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):</u> <u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u> 1. Net assets are positive in the most current audit; 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive; 3. The most current annual independent audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings; 4. If applicable, federal, state, and other public agency audits/reviews (e.g., CalSTRS, CalPERS, FCMAT, USDE, CDE, etc.), at the time of the oversight visit, show outstanding findings, and the school is able to demonstrate evidence of remedies in addressing these findings; 5. Vendors and staff are generally paid in a timely manner; 6. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term; 7. Charter school generally adheres to the governing board-approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures; 8. Governing board adopts the annual budget; 9. Governing board reviews and/or discusses reports (e.g., first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited financial statements, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 10. If applicable, governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; 11. There is no apparent conflict of interest; 12. The Education Protection Account allocation and expenditures, audited financial statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website; 13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;



An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished [Rating of 4].

12. The Education Protection Account allocation and expenditures, audited financial statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP submitted to the appropriate agencies, are posted on the charter school's website;
13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;
14. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are consistently processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;
15. There are no items cited in Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement and there are no more than five (5) items cited in Other Observations for the most current year;
16. If applicable (when audited and unaudited actuals do not mirror each other), adequate explanations are provided by the school for significant variances between audited and unaudited actuals, and there are no indications of the school's potential lack of internal controls over financial statements;
17. Proper segregation of duties is consistently in place;
18. If applicable, there are no outstanding fiscal-related tiered intervention notices issued to the school; or the school has no tiered intervention notices as of the oversight report issuance date; and
19. If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal benchmark(s) are fully met by the required deadline(s); or the school has no fiscal benchmarks in its current charter term.

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

1. The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (5 CCR § 15450 Reserves) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current two annual independent audits;

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient [Rating of 3].

14. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are generally processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;
15. There are no significant recurring issues cited in the Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement;
16. If applicable (when audited and unaudited actuals do not mirror each other), at least partial explanations are provided by the school for significant variances between audited and unaudited actuals, and there are no indications of the school's potential lack of internal controls over financial statements;
17. Proper segregation of duties is generally in place;
18. If applicable, the charter school is in the process of resolving outstanding fiscal issues cited in a Notice issued by the CSD as part of its tiered intervention process; and
19. If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal benchmark(s) are partially met by the required deadline(s).

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

1. The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (5 CCR § 15450 Reserves) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current annual independent audit;



<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Accomplished</u> [Rating of 4].</i>	<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Proficient</u> [Rating of 3].</i>
2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current two annual independent audits; 3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current two annual independent audits; 4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the two most current annual independent audits; 5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.); 6. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings; 7. The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)), or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and 8. The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).	2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current annual independent audit; 3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current annual independent audit; 4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the most current annual independent audit; 5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.); 6. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings; 7. The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)) or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and 8. The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).
<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].</i>	<i>An existing school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:</i>
<u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u> 1. Net Assets are positive in the most current audit; net assets are negative with strong trend towards becoming positive (be positive at the end of the third year per the applicable interim financials); or the	<i>An Unsatisfactory rating is assessed based on the following conditions, including, but not limited to:</i> A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for <i>Accomplished</i>, <i>Proficient</i>, or <i>Developing</i>. The charter



An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing [Rating of 2].

school's financial condition fluctuates from year to year, with significant net losses, leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years;

2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive; and
3. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term.

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

1. The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (5 CCR § 15450 Reserves) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current annual independent audit;
2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current annual independent audit;
3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current annual independent audit;
4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the most current annual independent audit;
5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.);

An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:

school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide a satisfactory response. The charter school has shown no *feasible* financial plans, and/or immediate sources of funding to maintain a viable budget and/or sustain the school's operation to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members and/or leadership lack fiscal capacity.

Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition.

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.



<i>An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].</i> 6. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings; 7. The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)) or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and 8. The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).	<i>An existing school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:</i>
<i>A new school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].</i> <u>New Schools:</u> <u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u> - Interim reports and/or unaudited actuals project positive net assets; - Projected debt, if any, is managed efficiently and will not cause the charter school to end the fiscal year with negative net assets. The non-profit organization is financially viable to support the charter school; - If enrollment is significantly below the enrollment per the school's <i>Pupil Estimates for New or Significantly Expanding Charters</i> report and/or its approved petition budget, the charter school has made significant adjustments in their operations to allow for the reduced income, and submitted a revised viable three-year budget and three-year cash flow projections; - Governing Board adopts the annual budget; - The governing board reviews and discusses the charter school's financial reports as evidenced by the governing board meeting minutes;	<i>A new school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:</i> <u>New Schools:</u> <i>An Unsatisfactory rating is assessed based on the following conditions, including, but not limited to:</i> A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for <i>Developing</i>. The charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD but failed to provide a satisfactory response. The charter school has shown no <i>feasible</i> financial plans, and/or immediate sources of funding to maintain a viable budget and/or sustain the school's operation to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members and/or leadership lack fiscal capacity. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition.



A new school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing [Rating of 2].

6. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;
7. The most current governing board-approved LCAP is posted on the charter school's website; and
8. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies.

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

A new school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:

Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.