

California Public Charter School Grant Program External Review Benchmarks

Note: The 7 benchmarks correlate with the required elements in the Public Charter Schools Grant Program request for applications.

BENCHMARK 1 - EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM: Is the school an academic success?		
<u>Evidence</u> <u>Sub-Category</u>	<u>Benchmark</u>	
STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT & LEARNING	 The extent to which: Students are making the progress that is expected at their grade level; Students are enthusiastic about their learning and engage in lessons as active learners; Students work together and relate well in pairs, or groups, to collaboratively solve problems; and Students are challenged and sufficiently develop higher-order thinking and problem-solving skills. 	
USE OF ASSESSMENT DATA	 The extent to which: Teachers use assessment results to meet students' needs by adjusting classroom instruction, grouping students and/or identifying students for special intervention; Teachers actively examine assessment results and data from online learning programs and use that data to inform their instructional practices (if applicable); Students are involved in the practice of reflection and in the assessment and analysis of their own work; and School leaders use assessment results to evaluate teacher effectiveness and to develop professional development and coaching strategies. 	
CURRICULUM	The extent to which: • School leaders ensure that all learning environments have a	

	 coherent, comprehensive, and aligned curriculum; and Teachers know what to teach and when to teach it based on these documents.
PEDAGOGY	 Teachers establish, share and revisit standards-based learning objectives so that all students understand what they are learning and why it is important; Teachers maximize learning time (e.g., appropriate pacing, on-task student behavior, clear lesson focus and clear directions to students) and have effective classroom management techniques; Teachers regularly and effectively use techniques to check for student understanding; Teachers use questioning and discussion techniques to increase conceptual understanding and extend higher order thinking; and Teachers design and deliver complex instructional tasks to prepare students for future levels of learning by providing opportunities for them to become independent learners, critical thinkers, and thoughtful problem solvers.
AT-RISK STUDENTS	 The school identifies at-risk students; The school's intervention programs meet the needs of at-risk students; The school monitors the progress and success of at-risk students; and The school provides opportunities for coordination between classroom teachers and at-risk program staff.
INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP	 The extent to which: The school's leadership establishes an environment of high expectations; Instructional leaders establish a culture of respect and rapport to support the emotional safety of students; The instructional leadership supports the development of the teaching staff; Instructional leaders measure the effectiveness of learning and teaching through observations of lessons and analysis of student work; Instructional leaders provide sustained, systemic and effective coaching and supervision that improves teachers' instructional effectiveness; and

Instructional leaders regularly conduct teacher evaluations with clear criteria. **BENCHMARK 2 - CHARTER MANAGEMENT:** Does the board of directors effectively govern the school? The extent to which: Members of the board of directors possess appropriate skills; The board systematically selects, and evaluates, the chief executive: The board requests and receives sufficient information to provide rigorous oversight of the school's program and finances: The board establishes clear priorities, objectives and longrange goals and has in place benchmarks for tracking progress as well as a process for their regular review and revision: The board takes effective action when there are organizational, leadership, management, facilities or fiscal deficiencies - or where the management or partner organization fails to meet expectations; The board regularly evaluates its own performance and that of the school leaders and the management company (if applicable); and The board effectively communicates with the school community including school leadership, staff, parents/quardians and students. **BENCHMARK 3 - COMMUNITY AND PARENT INVOLVEMENT:** Are parents engaged in, and satisfied with, the school?

The extent to which:

- School leaders and teachers engage families and community members as partners in raising student learning;
- The school regularly communicates each child's academic performance results to families;
- Families are satisfied with the school:

- Parents keep their children enrolled year-to-year; and
- School leaders and teachers celebrate student achievements and school successes with families and the community.

BENCHMARK 4 - SUSTAINABILITY AND ALIGNMENT OF RESOURCES:

Is the school fiscally sound?

The extent to which:

- The board reviews and approves the annual budget and monitors actual performance against budget;
- Board members, school management and staff contribute to the budget process, as appropriate;
- The board ensures there is an annual independent audit/review;
- The school frequently compares its long-range fiscal plan to actual progress and adjusts the plan to meet changing conditions:
- Actual expenses are equal to, or less than, actual revenue;
- The school maintains sufficient cash on hand to pay current bills and those that are due shortly; and
- The school maintains adequate liquid reserves to fund expenses in the event of income loss (approximately three months).

BENCHMARK 5 - TARGETED CAPACITY BUILDING:

Is the school an effective, viable organization?

CURRICULUM EVALUATION SYSTEM

The extent to which:

- The school has a process for selecting, developing and reviewing its curriculum documents and its resources for delivering the curriculum; and
- School leaders facilitate, and provide opportunities for, the discussion and coordination of curriculum planning between educators within and across grade-levels, including English learner and diverse learner resource teachers, to ensure alignment and consistency.

PROFESSIONAL The extent to which: **DEVELOPMENT** Instructional leaders implement a comprehensive professional development program that develops the competencies and skills of all teachers: Professional development activities are interrelated with classroom practice; School staff members received governance and fiscal management training in the first year of the school's operation: Beginning teachers receive sufficient support; and • Professional development is evaluated. **OPERATIONS &** The extent to which: **HUMAN RESOURCES** The organizational structure establishes distinct lines of accountability with clearly defined roles and responsibilities; The school's data systems measure student success and inform teachers and principals on how they can improve their The school utilizes technology systems to support the school's operations; The school recruits and retains quality staff; and The school maintains adequate student enrollment. **BENCHMARK 6 - AUTONOMY:** Is the school sufficiently autonomous from the district? The extent to which: The school has a high degree of autonomy over its budget, expenditures, personnel, and daily operations; and Representatives of the authorizer do not constitute the majority of the board of directors. BENCHMARK 7 - NOTIFICATION AND ADMISSIONS: Does the school attempt to have a student population representative of the community? The extent to which: The school makes adequate attempts to inform all members of the community about the school; and

All children have an equal opportunity to attend the school,

including a lottery if the school is at capacity.