



## Local Indicators

### Priority 1: Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Field	Result
Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions:	0%
Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home:	0%
Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies):	0%

Criteria: Met



## Local Indicators

### Priority 2: Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards.

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

#### Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

- 1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.**

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA			x		
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)			x		
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics			x		
Next Generation Science Standards			x		
History-Social Science			x		

- 2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.**

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				x	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				x	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				x	
Next Generation Science Standards				x	
History-Social Science				x	

3. **Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).**

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA			x		
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)			x		
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics			x		
Next Generation Science Standards			x		
History-Social Science			x		

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. **Rate the LEA’s progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.**

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			x		
Health Education Content Standards			x		
Physical Education Model Content Standards			x		

Visual and Performing Arts			x		
World Language			x		

Support for Teachers and Administrators

**5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016), rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?**

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				x	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				x	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered			x		

Optional Narrative

**6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.**

Criteria: Met



## Local Indicators

### Priority 3: Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement.

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

#### Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;

The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and

Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Survey Results
<p>There has been a lot of change at Compass Charter Schools this year, from a rebrand to the selection of a new curriculum and systems. Based on these changes, and with input from our parents, we will be using the 2017-18 year to create a baseline for which we can then improve.</p> <p>Compass Charter Schools released two sets of surveys to parents. One created by the organization’s administrators, which was distributed to all stakeholders for 2016-17 school year planning. The other was created by the Parent Advisory Council for all parents’ school-wide.</p> <p>The Parent Advisory Council serves to provide parent feedback on school policies and procedures at Compass Charter Schools to ensure that they are consistent with its Mission, Vision, and Values. Members act as a committed group of advisors to the staff and administration, regarding issues that affect the scholars and school of CCS. They provide feedback and insight from the parent’s perspective on school process, policies and initiatives to ensure that the needs of parents and their families are included as decisions are made. They also serve as an advisory that makes recommendations, encourages brainstorming and provides opportunities for parent involvement on committees.</p>

The Parent Advisory Council created their own survey based on gathered internal council questions. This survey also included parent availability for trainings, engagement activities, and communication. Over 92 % of parents are satisfied with communication between staff and family.

The feedback from our families shows an appreciation for the numerous ways we have used to engage them, from social media, to surveys, to a quarterly Town Hall with the CEO. We continue to solicit the advice of our Parent Advisory Council and ensure we message out to families when changes are made, especially based on their feedback. This has helped to encourage additional feedback throughout the year as they see we listen and respond to their suggestions to improve our services and supports for our scholars. These findings and measures reflect and findings relate to goals established for other LCFF priorities in the LCAP.

Criteria: Met



## Local Indicators

### Priority 6: School Climate

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate.

Standard: Local educational agency administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

School Climate Results
This survey assesses in more depth issues of academic quality, respectful relationships, the relevance of virtual classroom lessons, scholar learning motivation and virtual classroom involvement. In comparison to previous years, there was 89% of families that agree that CCS is committed to the success of each scholar (an increase of 3.97% from the previous school year). Families were also asked if they agree that teachers are responsive to scholar needs, 87% agreed (an increase of 7.35% from the previous school year). There was also an increase in overall program satisfaction from families by 8.41%.

Criteria: Met