



# ELEVATE SCHOOL

FALL 2023 CA DASHBOARD  
LOCAL INDICATORS REPORT FOR THE  
ELEVATE GOVERNING BOARD

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: Note: Teacher Assignment Monitoring Outcome (TAMO) data for the LCAP year is yet to be released by the CDE before reporting the remainder of the local indicator outcome information to the governing board. Once TAMO data is made available, the LEA must update the governing board on TAMO data outcome information at the next available meeting of the governing board.
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: 0%
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): 0

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

## Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool: Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					X
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				X	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					X
Next Generation Science Standards					X
History-Social Science					X

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					X
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				X	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					X
Next Generation Science Standards					X

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
History-Social Science					X

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					X
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				X	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				X	
Next Generation Science Standards					X
History-Social Science					X

#### Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education - N/A					
Health Education Content Standards				X	
Physical Education Model Content Standards				X	

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Visual and Performing Arts				X	
World Language <b>N/A</b>					

**Support for Teachers and Administrators**

- 5. Rate the LEA’s success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability*

Activities	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					X
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				X	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				X	

**Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters):**

- 6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

Not applicable

## Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit:<sup>1</sup>

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

### *Instructions*

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development

process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
  - 1 – Exploration and Research
  - 2 – Beginning Development
  - 3 – Initial Implementation
  - 4 – Full Implementation
  - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

## Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

### Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.	4
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.	4
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.	4
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.	4

### Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Elevate's strengths this year were the return of more parent involvement to support students through volunteering opportunities both in the classroom and out of the classroom. Parents supported teacher multiple times a year by showing appreciation in various ways - during conference weeks and Teacher Appreciation week. Parent board members bring the parent perspective on the Elevate School Board. We also included campus Deans to be involved in Desert with the Directors, to share information on campus procedures around Restorative Practices. Elevate was also recognized as a California Purple Star School for our work with and in support of



military families. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Areas for growth are encouraging more parents/caregivers to attend meetings and events, and having more events for families to connect that are less structured.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Elevate will ensure that there are opportunities for all families to provide feedback through Parent Advisory Committee, ELAC, and parent meeting involvement. Our school-wide focus for next year is serving students and under-served students and families. Professional development will focus on our Special Education students and English Learner students and how to support students and families better.

## Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.	4
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.	4
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.	4
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.	4

### Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Strengths for Elevate this year were the return of more parent involvement to support students through volunteering opportunities both in the classroom and out of the classroom. Parents support teacher multiple times a year by showing appreciation in various ways - during conference weeks and Teacher Appreciation week. Parent board members bring the parent perspective on the Elevate School Board. We also included campus Deans to be involved in Dessert with the Directors, to share information on campus procedures around Restorative Practices. Elevate was also recognized as a California Purple Star School for our work with and support of military families.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Our Special Education parents would benefit from more communication from the Education Specialists on a more frequent basis, which will align with our Special Populations Professional Development focus for the 23-24 school year.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

During the pandemic, Room Parents were not able to be on campus, so we will return to having Room Parents in the 23-24 school year, which will create more parent opportunities to support students at each grade level. We will encourage staff members to be more actively involved with parent events to develop more trusting relationships.

*Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making*

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
9. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.	4
10. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.	4
11. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.	4
12. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.	4

**Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)**

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Elevate has created a Parent Advisory Committee to receive input from them on areas of decision-making. The committee meets 3 times per year. Parents were invited to share their input through surveys in the fall and spring. We also increased our ELAC attendance participation this year by holding the meetings right after student led assemblies, and alternated when parent meetings were held.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

We have high parent involvement from a core group of parents. We need to have involvement from more parents of all backgrounds, not just the core group.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Having more Zoom meetings will allow more participation from parents of all backgrounds, and having designated parents connect with families from each class will help all parents have more voice.

## School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey, which is to be conducted at least every other year, that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (*if applicable*) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Elevate School administered the Leader In Me student survey which focuses on 3 Measures – Leadership, Culture and Academics. The following chart reflects the score for each. Results will be analyzed and presented to our educational partners.

Measure	Score
<b>Leadership</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Student Leadership</b>	<b>74</b>
Personal Development	74
Positive Wellbeing	74
Interpersonal Development	76
Self-Advocacy	73
Prosocial Behaviors	73
<b>Culture</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Supportive Student Environment</b>	<b>75</b>
School Climate	86
Student Empowerment	79
Trusting Relationships	68
School Belonging	75
<b>Academics</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Empowered Learners</b>	<b>74</b>
Supportive Teachers	74
Academic Self-Efficacy	74
<b>Goal Achievement</b>	<b>73</b>
Student Goals	73
Student Goal Support	78
School Goals	77

## Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts

- 1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.**

Elevate School currently serves approximately 461 students in grades K-8 with the following demographics: 34% White, 24% Hispanic, 17% Two or More Races, 15% African American, 4% Asian, 5% Filipino, 14% Students with Disabilities (SWD), 6% English Learners (EL), and 34% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED).

Elevate provides all students with access to a broad course of study in alignment with Ed Code 51210 (where applicable) based on the nature of the school's educational program.

Elevate School uses the following locally selected tools to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups and individuals with exceptional needs which include master schedule, student course schedule (semester), report cards, student presentations, and parent/conference reports. In addition, this will be verified by school leadership during classroom observations and ensure classroom schedules are being followed.

- 2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.**

An analysis of the measures listed above demonstrates that 100% of the students, including unduplicated groups and students with exceptional needs, have access to a broad course of study. At Elevate, all students have access to and are enrolled in ELA, mathematics, science, social studies, and physical education. In addition, 100% of students have access to: Art and STEAM elective courses There are no differences in accessibility to courses, across student groups at Elevate School.

- 3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.**

Currently, 100% of the students have access to a broad course of study and Elevate School will continue to monitor this to ensure no barriers arise to change access.

- 4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students.**

Due to the current success of Elevate School in providing all students with access to a broad course of study, no changes are currently planned, however, this data will continue to be monitored and revisions made, with implementation as needed.