

2024-25 Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
California Connections Academy Northern California	Dr. Richard Savage Superintendent	rsavage@californiaops.org 949-461-1667

Introduction

The California State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area.

This template is intended as a drafting tool and based on the Local Performance Indicator Quick Guide published by CDE in January 2024.

Performance Standards

The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Local Indicators

The local indicators address the following state priority areas:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA's Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp>.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same public meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers an annual local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness, in at least one grade within each grade span(s) the LEA serves (e.g., TK-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA’s Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp>.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Academic Year	Total Teaching FTE	Clear	Out-of-Field	Intern	Ineffective	Incomplete	Unknown	N/A
21-22	52.1	89.8	6.1	.4	1.1	.5	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA’s progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language				4	

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA’s success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

1 – Exploration and Research
2 – Beginning Development
3 – Initial Implementation
4 – Full Implementation
5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.	4
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.	5
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting staff to learn about each family’s strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.	4

Practices	Rating Scale Number
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.	4

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

We are very proud of our weekly contact rate with our students and their families and the progress we have made to ensure all students are building relationships with our teachers and school.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

We will continue to increase our efforts in our weekly contact rate. This is an area we are dedicated to continuing and improving for students and families.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

We will continue to find ways to better communicate with our families in their home language through verbal and written communication.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.	4
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.	4
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.	5
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.	4

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

We are pleased with the rate at which we are able to provide families with information and resources to support student learning in the home.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

We work hard to partner with caretakers and assist them in taking an active role in their students' education.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

We will continue to seek better ways to include and inform our families in their home languages at all levels of our program and school.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.	4
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.	4
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.	4
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.	4

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

We are a very collaborative organization. This is exemplified in this area by the fact that we rate high for seeking input for decision making.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

It is important to us that our staff and families know they have a voice, are heard, and actions are taken as a result of their input. We will continue to seek and encourage families and staff to provide us with input.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

We are dedicated to engaging all of our families in our school, including our underrepresented families. We take great care to ensure we are providing all of our families with tools and resources that will encourage them to be heard in our school. We will continue to improve these efforts with all of our families, especially our underrepresented students and families.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

Introduction

The initial design of the Local Control Funding Formula recognized the critical role that positive school conditions and climate play in advancing student performance and equity. This recognition is grounded in a research base demonstrating that a positive school climate directly impacts indicators of success such as increased teacher retention, lower dropout rates, decreased incidences of violence, and higher student achievement.

In order to support comprehensive planning, LEAs need access to current data. The measurement of school climate provides LEAs with critical data that can be used to track progress in school climate for purposes of continuous improvement, and the ability to identify needs and implement changes to address local needs.

Introduction

LEAs are required, at a minimum, to annually administer a local climate survey. The survey must:

- Capture a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within each grade span the LEA serves (e.g. TK-5, 6-8, 9-12); and
- At a minimum, report disaggregated data by student groups identified in California Education Code 52052, when such data is available as part of the local school climate survey.

Based on the analysis of local data, including the local climate survey data, LEAs are to respond to the following three prompts. Each prompt response is limited to 3,000 characters. An LEA may provide hyperlink(s) to other documents as necessary within each prompt:

Prompt 1 (DATA): Describe the local climate survey data, including available data disaggregated by student groups. LEAs using surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, are encouraged to report the overall score for all students as well as available student group scores. Responses may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

For the 23-24 school year, our local climate survey data was collected using the Youth Truth survey, which was administered across grade bands in the 3-5, 6-7, and 9-12 grades. This survey provides a comprehensive snapshot of various aspects of the school environment, allowing us to assess student perceptions and experiences across different themes.

The overall scores for all students as well as available student group scores are as follows:

High School:
Engagement: 87%
Challenging coursework: 91%
Culture of respect: 92%
Relationships: 77%
College and career readiness: 78%

Middle School:
Engagement: 65%
Challenging coursework: 84%
Culture of respect: 97%
Relationships: 94%

Elementary School:
Engagement: 53%
Challenging coursework: 88%
Culture of respect: 94%
Relationships: 99%

These scores provide a snapshot of student perceptions across different grade bands. It's noteworthy that there are variations in scores across grade levels, indicating areas of strength as well as areas for improvement.

In addition to overall scores, we have conducted an analysis of a subset of specific items on the Youth Truth survey. For example, we have examined data related to themes such as engagement, challenging coursework, culture of respect, and relationships. This analysis allows us to gain deeper insights into specific aspects of the school climate and identify targeted strategies for improvement.

Overall, the local climate survey data collected through Youth Truth and additional data collection tools allows us to assess the school climate comprehensively, identify areas of strength and growth, and implement targeted interventions to create a positive and supportive learning environment for all students across grade bands.

Prompt 2 (MEANING): Describe key learnings, including identified needs and areas of strength determined through the analysis of data described in Prompt 1, including the available data disaggregated by student group.

Based on the analysis of the data from the Youth Truth survey for the 23-24 school year, several key learnings have emerged, highlighting both identified needs and areas of strength within the school climate:

1. Strengths:

Culture of Respect: One of the prominent strengths identified across all grade bands is the presence of a strong culture of respect within the school environment. High scores indicate that students feel valued, safe, and respected by their peers and teachers, contributing to a positive school climate.

Challenging Coursework: Another notable strength is the perception of challenging coursework, particularly among high school students. High engagement levels with challenging academic content suggest that the curriculum is appropriately rigorous and engaging, fostering academic growth and achievement.

2. Needs:

Engagement: While overall engagement levels are positive, there are variations across grade levels, with lower scores observed in middle and elementary schools. This indicates a need to focus on strategies to enhance student engagement, such as implementing more interactive and student-centered learning approaches, incorporating real-world relevance into lessons, and providing opportunities for active participation and collaboration.

College and Career Readiness: The lower score for college and career readiness in high school signals a need for additional support and resources to prepare students for post-secondary education and future career pathways. This may include implementing college readiness programs, offering career exploration opportunities, providing guidance on college applications and financial aid, and facilitating connections with industry professionals.

3. Relationships: While relationships are generally perceived positively across all grade levels, maintaining and strengthening positive relationships remains an ongoing priority. Investing in professional development for teachers to enhance their relationship-building skills, promoting peer mentoring programs, and creating opportunities for meaningful student-teacher interactions can further cultivate a supportive school community.

Overall, the analysis of the survey data highlights the importance of leveraging strengths while addressing identified needs to create a positive and inclusive school climate that supports the academic and socio-emotional growth of all students across grade bands.

Prompt 3 (USE): Describe any changes to existing plans, policies, or procedures that the LEA determines necessary in order to address areas of need identified through the analysis of local data and the identification of key learnings. Include any revisions, decisions, or actions the LEA has, or will, implement for continuous improvement purposes.

Based on the analysis of the local data and the identification of key learnings from the Youth Truth survey for the 23-24 school year, we have determined the need for changes to existing plans to address areas of need and promote continuous improvement within the school climate. These changes include revisions, decisions, and actions aimed at enhancing student engagement, college and career readiness, and overall school climate.

Enhancing Student Engagement:

- Incorporate a variety of instructional strategies to increase student engagement, such as project-based learning and cooperative learning activities.
- Promote Live Class engagement and interaction at all grade bands
- Creating flexible learning environments that accommodate diverse learning styles and preferences.

Improving College and Career Readiness:

- Refine college and career readiness programs that provide students with guidance on college exploration, application processes, financial aid options, and career pathways.
- Enhance counseling services to provide personalized support and guidance for students as they navigate post-secondary options.
- Strengthening partnerships with community organizations and agencies to provide resources and support for students and families in their college and career planning efforts.

Continued Emphasis on Positive Relationships:

- Enhance regular contacts and surveys to assess student perceptions of teacher-student relationships and school climate, with a focus on continuous improvement.
- Promote opportunities for meaningful student voice and input in school decision-making processes, such as School Advisory Committee meetings.

These changes reflect our commitment to using data-driven decision-making processes to inform continuous improvement efforts and ensure that all students have access to a safe, supportive, and engaging learning environment. By addressing areas of need identified through the analysis of local data, we aim to promote academic success and social-emotional well-being for all students.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

California Connections Academy is committed to offering a broad course of study to all students. Course offerings are evaluated each year to ensure staff credentials are best utilized and student interests and needs are balanced. Course offerings are also brought to the Board for their review. Additionally, access is readily available to students when considering the online nature of our school. Courses are not assigned to specific class periods or specific room locations making all courses virtually available to all students at any given moment in the school year. Being fully aware of the realities of graduation rate, the high school staff has continued the implementation of an internal credit recovery program called Project Success. During the 2022-2023 school year, historically at-risk high school students passed an average of 94.5% of their classes in the Project Success program. During the first semester of the 2023-2024 school year, the average pass rate increased to 96.5%. These successes are providing the justification and motivation to continue and expand these programs. As an initiative to further improve graduation rate and address the potential for learning loss, our organization continues to run its own in-house summer school program since the summer of 2020. Prior to the summer of 2020, credit deficient high school students were sent to a

third party program with a success rate of an average of around 50% pass rates. Our in-house summer school has shown increased success from a 77% pass rate and an 85% summer graduation rate in 2020 to an 83% pass rate in the summer of 2023 and a 95% graduation rate for those in the last year of high school. Overall, our proactive approach to addressing graduation rates and learning loss through initiatives like Project Success and the in-house summer school program reflects its dedication to supporting student success and ensuring equitable access to education. These efforts contribute to a positive learning environment that empowers students to thrive academically and achieve their educational goals.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

This area does not pertain because each charter in the organization is a single school site charter school and individual Local Educational Agency. All students in each school have access to all curriculum and instructional tools provided and have an individualized program to meet their educational needs.

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

While teacher credentialing and student interest are the primary driver of the diverse offerings, there are a handful of instances courses may need to be removed from the catalogue. In the instance of a lack of student need or interest, the removal of a course offering will be considered. In the instance where a teacher with a specialized credential leaves the school, every effort will be made to replace the credential area. If such a replacement is not possible in a given year, school staff work closely with students and their families to find a suitable alternative. This is especially important at the high school level.

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

The high school electives department and counseling created an informational electives website containing course description and information to help students navigate their elective options with the help of the counseling team. This, along with the Student Course Selection Data View allows students access to all available high school electives. In addition, the use of UC Scout and FlexPoint Education courses have been incorporated since the 2022-2023 school year to ensure all high school students have access to a broad range of courses. Additionally, California Connections Academy has developed a College and Career Access Pathways Partnership Agreement with Saddleback College for the purpose of offering expanded online dual enrollment opportunities to all high school students.