



LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT

2016-2017 SCHOOL YEAR

FOR

BERT CORONA CHARTER HIGH - 7598

Name and Location Code of Charter School

LAUSD Vision

Every student will receive a quality education in a safe, caring environment, and will be college-prepared and career-ready.

CSD Mission

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities and outcomes for students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

CSD Core Values

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, professionalism, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Continuously learning as a dynamic organization.
- Building and sustaining a healthy workplace culture where high performance, diversity, and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with our charter schools and all stakeholders.



Charter School Name:		Bert Corona Charter High			Location Code:	7598
Current Address:		City:	ZIP Code:	Phone:	Fax:	
12540 Pierce Street		Pacoima	91331	(818) 480-6810		
Current Term of Charter:			LAUSD Board District:	LAUSD District:		
July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2020			6	NE		
Number of Students Currently Enrolled:		Enrollment Capacity Per Charter:	Grades Currently Served:		Grades To Be Served Per Charter:	
153		500	9-11		9-12	
Total Number of Staff Members:		Certificated:	Classified:			
19		10	9			
Charter School's Leadership Team Members:		Larry Simonsen, Instructional Leader Kevin Myers, Director of Academic Achievement Yvette King-Berg, Executive Director				
Charter School's Contact for Special Education:		Vashon Nutt, Special Education Director				
CSD Assigned Administrator:		CSD Fiscal Services Manager:				
Dr. Blanca A. Castillo-Alves		Ruby Chang, FSM / Emmaliza Baquir, FOA				
Other School/CSD Team Members:		Chris Humphrey, Specialist				
Oversight Visit Date:		Fiscal Review Date (if different):				
May 10, 2017						
Is school located on a District facility? If so, please indicate the applicable program (e.g. Prop 39, PSC, conversion, etc.):		LAUSD Co-Location Campus (if applicable):				
Prop 39		Maclay Middle School				

SUMMARY OF RATINGS			
<i>(4)=Accomplished (3)=Proficient (2)=Developing (1)=Unsatisfactory</i>			
Governance	Student Achievement and Educational Performance	Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations	Fiscal Operations
3	No Rating	2	3



CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605 and 47607, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met the statutory requirements. Pursuant to the requirements of SB 1290, the District “shall consider increases in pupil academic achievement for all groups of pupils served by the charter school as the most important factor in determining whether to grant a charter renewal.” Ed. Code § 47607(a)(3)(A).

REPORT GUIDE

In conducting oversight as a charter school authorizer, the District places an emphasis on performance and compliance with applicable law, policy, and the approved charter, as well as on the lead fiduciary role of a charter school’s governing board in the overall success of the school for students. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the school’s ongoing record of performance and provides important data for the CSD, LAUSD Superintendent, and ultimately the LAUSD Board of Education in making informed decisions about charter school authorization. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law, as well as the California State Board of Education’s criteria for evaluating charter schools and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers’ *Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing*. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance as captured during the annual oversight visit process in these four categories:

Governance – demonstrating fulfillment of the governing board’s fiduciary responsibility to effectively direct and provide oversight for the charter public school, including but not limited to enactment and monitoring of policies and procedures to ensure the school’s full compliance with applicable law, policy, and the terms of the charter approved by the LAUSD Board of Education

Student Achievement and Educational Performance – demonstrating academic achievement and growth for all students

Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations – demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the governing board’s policies and procedures, as well as the school’s educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

Fiscal Operations – demonstrating sound fiscal management, appropriate use of public funds, and compliance with regulatory requirements

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school representatives. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the *Annual Performance Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide 2016-2017*. The “Sources of Evidence” sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school’s performance in each category: (4) *Accomplished*, (3) *Proficient*, (2) *Developing*, and (1) *Unsatisfactory*. In addition, the *Summary of School Performance* section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress (Note: potential “promising practices” are identified within this section with an asterisk [*]); (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under “Corrective Action Required,” the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school’s approved charter. If the report includes any findings under “Corrective Action Required,” the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern. In accordance with its “tiered intervention” approach to charter school non-compliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of *Accomplished* in any category is encouraged to submit to the CSD a summary of those “promising practices” that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD’s ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across the entire portfolio of LAUSD schools.



GOVERNANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	3
<p><u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (G1) The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter which included a CEO Support Feedback Process in addition to the formal end-of year evaluation that is based on measurable goals. In addition the binder included specific evaluation for CEO, Director of Instruction, and the Director of School Culture and Climate. (G2) The Governing Board complies with most material provisions of the Brown Act. Evidence provided on Binder 1 included: Agenda's with language pertaining to open meetings, opportunities for public participation, public access, Reasonable Accommodations, and location for the meeting. (G5) The Governing Board regularly monitors internal data as evidenced through Binder 1, which included last year's BOY MAP's. Note that 2016-2017 will be the first year that the school will have CAASPP. <p><u>Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (G2) CSD noted, that as addressed during the 2015-16 Annual Oversight, the CSD continues to request the organization to update Governing board Meeting notification in a timely fashion. CSD recommends that the Board of Director's Meeting Calendar includes a date to indicate the most updated version as the Board has changed dates and locations of their meetings mid-year on several occasions, making it difficult for public stakeholders to access board meetings. (G3) CSD recommends that for due process complaints, that the parent, not the student who is a minor, be the bearer of the responsibility to require to give a written request for a public hearing three days prior to the hearing in terms of suspensions and expulsions. <p><u>Corrective Action Required</u></p>	
<p>Notes:</p> <p>The organization has completed the formal name change process for the school. The school's original name was YPI Charter High School and now the school's formal name is Bert Corona Charter High. The high school is well below the enrollment target in its petition.</p> <p>*NOTE: If the CSD gathers or otherwise receives substantial evidence of actual conflict(s) of interest with respect to a governing board member or person in a school leadership position (e.g. CEO or principal), a charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category.</p>	



G1: GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND EVALUATION OF SCHOOL LEADER(S) - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1

<p><i>The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure, roles and responsibilities set forth in the approved charter, including:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Governing Board (composition, structure, roles and responsibilities) committees/councils, including but not limited to those mandated by laws or regulations evaluation of school's executive level leadership 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and a highly developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s) <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has substantially implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and an adequately developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s) <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has partially implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and a partially developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s) <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has not implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter or any mandated committees/councils, and no system for the evaluation of the school leader(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization chart (B1: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bylaws (B1: 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board member roster (B1: 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of committee/council calendars and agendas <input type="checkbox"/> Documentation related to system for evaluation of executive level administrator(s) who reports to the Board. (B1: 7) <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

G2: BROWN ACT - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #2

<p><i>The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure it is adhering to applicable open meeting requirements, which protect the public interest in transparency and help to ensure that decisions are made without apparent or actual conflicts of interest:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Governing Board meetings occur regularly, are conducted openly, and provide opportunity for public participation in accordance with the Brown Act Governing Board holds its meetings at a location(s) and in a manner that complies with teleconferencing, closed session, and access and Reasonable Accommodation requirements and the public has access to the meetings from a location(s) within the jurisdictional boundaries of LAUSD, as noted in the charter petition Governing Board meeting agendas and minutes are posted and maintained, as appropriate, including on the school's website and in accordance with the Brown Act and with sufficient specificity 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board complies with all material provisions of the Brown Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board complies with most material provisions of the Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board complies with some material provisions of the Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board complies with few material provisions of the Brown Act	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting calendar (B1: 5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act training documentation (B1: 8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documentation of the school’s agenda posting procedures (B1: 9) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	--

G3: DUE PROCESS - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3

The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the school’s charter, and LAUSD charter policy, to honor and protect the rights of students, employees, parents, and the public in the following areas:

- student discipline
- employee grievances and discipline
- parent/stakeholder complaint resolution
- Uniform Complaint Procedures

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has highly developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has well-developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has partially developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has minimal or no systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, in for students, employees, parents, and the public	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uniform Complaint Procedure documentation (B1: 11) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stakeholder complaint procedure(s) (B1: 12) <input type="checkbox"/> H.R. policies and procedures regarding staff due process (B1: 13.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

G4: STAFFING - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure that appropriate employment and other staffing decisions are made in accordance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter governing qualifications, clearances and credentialing:



- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that faculty, staff, substitute teachers, and other persons providing service in a certificated position, are appropriately credentialed, authorized and/or otherwise qualified for the positions for which they have been employed/contracted and assigned, in accordance with applicable provisions of law and the school’s charter
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains, monitors, and maintains all necessary and appropriate vendor certifications/waivers regarding vendor employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures regarding requirements for school volunteers, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform school site services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students per AB 1667
- The Governing Board has established and monitors policies governing whether and under what circumstances the school may consider, for paid and volunteer service, candidates who have criminal records

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has established and monitors comprehensive policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has established and monitors policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has established some policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board has established few or no policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable law staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> H.R. policies and procedures regarding NCLB qualifications, credentialing, and clearance requirements (B1: 13.2) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

G5: DATA-BASED DECISION-MAKING - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

<i>The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure:</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • review and use of academic and other internal school data and information to ensure sound Governing Board decision-making in support of continuous improvement of student achievement, fiscal viability, compliance, and overall public school excellence • ongoing monitoring of the school’s implementation of its LCAP action plans and progress toward LCAP goals 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board regularly monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board inconsistently monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making <input type="checkbox"/> The Governing Board seldom monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes with supporting materials and evidence of school performance and internal other data (B1: 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other evidence of system for Board review and analysis of internal school data to inform decision-making (B1: 14) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	--

G6: FISCAL CONDITION - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6

<p><i>The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure fiscal viability:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports. 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school is fiscally strong or stable, and net assets are positive in the most current independent audit report <input type="checkbox"/> The school is fiscally weak and net assets are negative in the most current independent audit report, or the school does not have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division <input type="checkbox"/> The school is consistently fiscally weak and net assets are negative in the prior two independent audit reports, or the school does not have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other evidence of system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1: 15) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with leadership <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Independent audit report(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)

G7: FISCAL MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #7

<p><i>The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure sound fiscal management and accountability:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement. 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school generally adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, but has areas noted for improvement <input type="checkbox"/> The school is not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has areas noted for improvement, or has significant fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> The school is continuously not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has recurring areas noted for improvement, or has significant and recurring fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other evidence of system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1: 15) <input type="checkbox"/> Observation of Governing Board meeting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Independent audit report(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)
--------------------	--	---

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):



STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	No Rating
<p><u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u></p>	
<p><u>Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement</u></p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (A6) The school has demonstrated developing levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect limited growth in student achievement in ELA and math. CSD continues to recommend the school provides complete data sets as requested prior and after the oversight. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Internal Assessment Data in Reading yielded the following results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9th grade students grew an average of 5.14 RIT points, 3.44 points more than the national expected growth of 1.7 RIT points. 10th grade students grew an average of 3.02 RIT points, 2.32 points more than the national expected growth of 0.7 RIT points. 11th grade students decreased an average of 1 RIT point; there is no correlation for expected growth for 11th or 12th grade from NWEA MAPs. ○ The Internal Assessment Data in Math yielded the following results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9th grade students grew an average of 4.2 RIT points, 1.1 points more than the national expected growth of 3.1 RIT points. 10th grade students grew an average of 4.4 RIT points, 2.1 points more than the national expected growth of 2.3 RIT points. 11th grade students decreased an average of .5 RIT points; there is no correlation for expected growth for 11th or 12th grade from NWEA MAPs. 	
<p><u>Corrective Action Required</u></p>	
<p>Notes:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data for indicators (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) and (A5) is not available for this school year. Bert Corona High School opened in the 2015-2016 school year with 9th and 10th grade. This will be the first year the charter administers SBAC to their 11th grade. The school has 14 students in the eleventh grade, who will be tested 2016-2017. • Data for indicator (A7) is 0% for 2015-2016 with no EL students. For 2016-2017 the school has 3 students that took the CELDT and have been classified in the 5 years or more cohort. 	
<p>*NOTE: Upon the State Board of Education's finalization of California's new accountability system, CSD will determine implications for the oversight report.</p>	



A1: SBAC SUBGROUP ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1

<p><i>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance of all subgroups on the CAASPP (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged students, etc.)(CDE) 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence
<p>Performance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate higher than the District average for all subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate similar to the District average for the majority of subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate lower than the District average for some subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate of 0% for the majority of subgroups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

A2: SBAC SUBGROUP MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #2

<p><i>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance of all subgroups on the CAASPP (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged students, etc.)(CDE) 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence
<p>Performance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade Math is at a rate higher than the District average for all subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade Math is at a rate similar to the District average for the majority of subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade Math is at a rate lower than the District average for some subgroups <input type="checkbox"/> The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade Math is at a rate of 0% for the majority of subgroups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.2) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

A3: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3

<p><i>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> schoolwide (CDE) 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate higher than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate equal to the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate lower than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is 0% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator	<input type="checkbox"/> SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	--

A4: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4

<i>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (CDE) 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate higher than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate equal to the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate lower than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in Math is 0% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator	<input type="checkbox"/> SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

A5: ENGLISH LEARNER RECLASSIFICATION - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

<i>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English Learner reclassification rate for 2015-2016 (CDE) 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate higher than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate similar to the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate lower than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school does not reclassify English Learners <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator	<input type="checkbox"/> Reclassification report (CDE) (B2: 1.5) <input type="checkbox"/> CELDT Criterion reports (CDE) (B2: 1.5.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Title III AMAOs report(s) (CDE) (B2: 1.5.2) <input type="checkbox"/> School internal reclassification data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	---

A6: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- The school’s internal assessments (with analysis of results)
- Other academic achievement data gathered or produced by the school, such as Advanced Placement examination participation and passage rates, A-G requirements progress and completion rates, high school graduation rates, and college acceptance rates
- Results of internal assessments show growth in student achievement in ELA and math

NOTE: For purposes of evaluation of school performance for this indicator, the CSD considers only such data that is derived from standards-based high quality standardized or widely accepted assessments (e.g. NWEA, DIBELS, or Stanford 10) and/or other assessment instruments for which the school can demonstrate validity/reliability.

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has demonstrated accomplished levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect significant growth in student achievement in ELA and math <input type="checkbox"/> The school has demonstrated proficient levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect moderate growth in student achievement in ELA and math. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has demonstrated developing levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect limited growth in student achievement in ELA and math <input type="checkbox"/> The school has demonstrated unsatisfactory levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data and that reflect no growth or a decline in student achievement in ELA and math <input type="checkbox"/> The school has not collected and/or analyzed and monitored internal assessment or other academic achievement data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal academic performance and progress data and information (B2: 2.1 – 2.6) <input type="checkbox"/> School Internal Assessment Data Report or equivalent <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)



A7: FOUR-YEAR COHORT GRADUATION RATE - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #7

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

- Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE) (high schools only)

Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate higher than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate similar to the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate lower than the District average <input type="checkbox"/> The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate significantly lower than the District average <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No assessment of performance for this indicator	<input type="checkbox"/> Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE) (B2: 3.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT (if applicable):



ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	2
<u>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress</u>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (O2) The school has substantially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS, evidence of learning outcomes in each classroom aligned to CCSS and rubrics that are aligned to the targeted outcomes. The school utilizes rubrics across all content areas that are in alignment with CCSS content. (O4) The school has substantially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter which includes early college exposure through college courses being offered on campus. The charter school continues to offer courses such as Chicano Studies, Sociology and Speech and enrollment usually includes 15-20 students per course. The charter continues to develop and enforce best practices through the National School Reform Faculty Critical Friends Group Discussions, a process in which teachers provide each other meaningful feedback in instruction and for support of students. Service learning is a key focus of the charter whereas the 20 students have participated and completed 1500 of service at Fenton Avenue Primary Center through tutoring and mentoring of students K-2nd grade. Also students have provided service learning by helping the Children’s Hunger Fund organization. Finally Fieldtrips and Clubs are part of the schools philosophy as an effort to expose students to colleges and other ventures such as Leo Cabrillo Beach, CSUN Hockey game, Cal State LA, Gene Autry Museum, etc. The school continues to implement visual and media arts/technology as part of their innovation with google classrooms, Chromebooks, ALEKS, and Achieve 3000. Finally students have an opportunity to present their learning through their projects focused a need for the community in Pacoima through the POL and Art Exhibition Night. (O5) The school has a highly developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree. Evidence provided included practices aligned to FAPE. Based on the conversation with the leadership team. Evidence of timely completion of IEPs, service delivery is appropriately provided and the school site addresses the complex needs for the consideration of more intense service delivery for a newly enrolled student to meet his intensive therapeutic needs. The school will begin starting a co-teaching model, by hiring a second RST who will co-plan and co-teach to provide an integrated model to support for SWDs who may otherwise require a more restrictive setting. CSD recommends the school continues to seek best practices for general education teachers to implement in the classroom for their Special Education population. The charter school is an Option 3 school. (O6) The school has a well-developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights. The school’s suspension rate is 1.6% which is slightly higher than the Resident Schools Median of 1.1%. The school continues to develop process and began to institute SWIFT and SWIS a monitoring tool to evaluate and reflect on data collected to continue to develop strategies to support the needs of their students. 	



- (O9) The school has a highly developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement and complies with all applicable legal requirements. Evidence of a Fall Planning and Self-Reflection sheet was provided for the leadership team aligned to maintaining a vision, serving as the instructional leader, participation in budget process, overseeing daily operations, leadership characteristics: strategic skills, operating skills, courage, focusing on action and outcomes, organizational positioning skills, personal and interpersonal skills. Evaluation form for classified including job performance, general professionalism. The Principal at the school site also utilizes the evaluation tool as a process for reflection with staff, whereas he allows teachers to reflect on their own practice and then coaches them to the process and improving their practice based on their own perception and reflection.
- (O10) The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain substantial compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements. Please note that per information on the CTC: (1) one English teacher still needs to earn a CA EL authorization and (2) one History teacher still has requirements to complete per CTC in alignment to EL authorization and other renewal requirements.

Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement

- (O3) The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and partially modifies instruction based on data analysis. Evidence presented on Binder 3 included ALEKS program to support the growth of students through a computer based program in targeted areas, for example: Algebra 1, 2, and Pre-calculus. In addition the program provides support for SAT Math. CSD does recommend that the school continues to provide strategic professional development as there was limited evidence of implementation of interventions and support to meet the learning needs of English Learners and students in specific subgroups. In addition, it is recommended that the school seek best practices to support all students with special needs and those that need remediation as there was limited evidence of differentiation in the classroom, instructional strategies and approaches such as scaffolding and differentiation which was not observed in the classrooms to meet the leaning needs of all subgroups and students. The charter school also noted that there are plans to fund a full-time position to help with the implementation of Success for All (scripted reading intervention program) for all incoming 9th grade students as well as for those students who are reading below a 6th grade reading level (according to MAPS RIT data).
- (O7) The school has partially implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter, evidence from the binder included several trainings pertaining to reading across content areas, self-reflections, mastery grading, and illuminate gradebooks.

Corrective Action Required



Notes:
<p><i>*NOTE: A charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to have Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan in place; (2) Failed to conduct child abuse mandated reporter training in accordance with AB 1432; (3) Failed to complete criminal background clearances for <u>all new staff</u> (as defined on the NCLB Grid) prior to employment; or (4) Failed to obtain DOJ clearance certification, as appropriate, from a vendor. A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 if any teacher of the core instructional program is not appropriately credentialed and assigned per legal requirements and the school's current approved charter.</i></p>



O1: HEALTH AND SAFETY - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #1

The school has a system in place to ensure that:

- for each school site, the school has a current site-specific Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent that authorizes the current use of the site
- the school has a current site-specific comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan, that complies with co-location requirements if co-located
- the school is able and prepared to implement its emergency procedures in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency
- school provides for student immunization and health screening per applicable law and terms of the charter
- school maintains an emergency epinephrine auto-injector (“epi-pen”) onsite and has provided training to volunteer staff member(s) in the storage and emergency use of the epi-pen
- school staff and other mandated reporters working on behalf of the school receive timely training on child abuse awareness and reporting in accordance with the requirements of AB 1432
- school staff receives annual training on the handling of bloodborne pathogens

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has a highly developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a well-developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a partially developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a minimal or no system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent (B3: 2.1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan (B3: 2.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evacuation route maps (B3: 2.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documentation of emergency drills and training (B3: 2.3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of provision and location of onsite emergency supplies (B3: 2.4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence that school provides for student immunization and health screening (B3: 2.5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Epi-pen documentation (B3: 2.6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Child abuse mandated reporter training documentation (B3: 2.7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bloodborne pathogens training documentation (B3: 2.8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, NCLB Qualifications, and Mandated Reporter Training 2016-2017 (“NCLB Grid”)</i> (B3A) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site/classroom observation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

O2: STANDARDS-BASED INSTRUCTION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #2



The school has:

- implemented standards-based instruction schoolwide to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards (CA CCSS), that are applicable to the grade levels served
- obtained WASC accreditation ([high schools only](#))
- implemented a system to monitor student progress toward and completion of graduation and A-G requirements ([high schools only](#))
- received UC/CSU approval of courses ([high schools only](#))

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has fully implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has substantially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS <input type="checkbox"/> The school has partially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS <input type="checkbox"/> The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of standards-based instructional program (B3: 3.1) <input type="checkbox"/> LCAP (B3: 3.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of technology readiness to administer CAASPP assessments (B3: 3.3) <input type="checkbox"/> WASC documentation (B3: 3.4) <input type="checkbox"/> UC Doorways course approval documentation (B3: 3.5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of Transitional Kindergarten (B3: 3.6) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classroom observation <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

O3: MEETING THE NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS; SUBGROUP DATA ANALYSIS - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #3

The school:

- implements the differentiation, intervention, and other instructional strategies and approaches described in the charter designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including all subgroups identified in the school’s LCAP and by CDE
- disaggregates and analyzes data on a regular basis to address individual student needs
- implements, monitors, and modifies, as appropriate, its Master Plan for English Learners (EL identification, ELD instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)
- has appointed a designee to assist and support foster youth

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has fully implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and modifies instruction based on data analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of standards-based instructional program (B3: 3.1)
	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has substantially implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and generally modifies instruction based on data analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LCAP (B3: 3.2)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and partially modifies instruction based on data analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7)
	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and does not consistently modify instruction based on data analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of intervention and support for all students, including but not limited to foster youth, at-risk students, and high performing students (B3: 3.8)
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation of the school's English Learner Master Plan (B3: 3.8)
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of data analysis system program
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Internal Assessment Data Report, or equivalent
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classroom observation
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

O4: IMPLEMENTATION OF KEY FEATURES OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #4

<i>The school has implemented the key features components of the educational program described in the school's charter</i>		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has fully implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has substantially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of key features of educational program (B3: 3.9)
	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has partially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classroom observation
	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the key features of the educational program described in the charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

O5: SPECIAL EDUCATION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #5

<i>The school has a system in place to ensure that the school:</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides special education programs and services in accordance with students' IEPs and the terms of the Modified Consent Decree • provides special education training for staff in accordance with requirements of the Modified Consent Decree • conducts a special education self-review annually, using the Special Education Self-Review Checklist • maintains timely and accurate records in Welligent 	



Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has a highly developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a well-developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a partially developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a minimal or no system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree	<input type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of intervention and support for students with disabilities (B3: 3.8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self-Review Checklist (B3: 4.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special education documentation (B3: 4.1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Welligent reports and/or other MCD documentation, including from the Division of Special Education <input type="checkbox"/> Classroom observation (B3: 4.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)

O6: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #6

<p><i>The school has a school climate and schoolwide student discipline system in place to ensure that the school's practices:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> align with the principles of the District's Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights Resolution, including but not limited to, tiered behavior intervention, alternatives to suspension, and schoolwide positive behavior support, and data monitoring provide positive opportunities for student wellness, growth and success, aimed at making the school safe, welcoming, supportive and inclusive minimize discretionary suspensions and expulsions reduce or eliminate suspension disproportionality for student subgroups 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has a highly developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has a well-developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a partially developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a minimally developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LCAP (B3: 3.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of school climate and student discipline system that aligns with Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights principles (B3: 4.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of tiered behavior intervention, such as SST/COST (B3: 4.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of alternatives to suspension (B3: 4.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of implementation of schoolwide positive behavior support system (B3: 4.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of data monitoring (B3: 4.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LAUSD suspension and expulsion data reports <input type="checkbox"/> Interview of stakeholders <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	--

O7: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #7

<p><i>The school:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has a schoolwide professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports the educational program set forth in the charter and targets identified needs • provides faculty and other instructional staff with professional development opportunities to improve instructional practice • provides opportunities for teachers to collaborate regularly for the purpose of planning and improving curriculum and instruction 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has fully implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter <input type="checkbox"/> The school has partially implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter <input type="checkbox"/> The school has not implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter	<input type="checkbox"/> LCAP (B3: 3.2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview of teachers and/or other staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	---	--

O8: STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION AND INVOLVEMENT - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #8

<p><i>The school has a stakeholder communication system for gathering input, facilitating and encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns, which:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> engages in communication that notifies parents and other stakeholders of the process for resolving concerns, including how they may contact board members, and supports students, families, and other stakeholders in effectively resolving concerns provides all stakeholders (e.g., parents/guardians, students, and teachers) with appropriate, accessible and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance informs parents of high school students about transferability of courses to other public high schools and the eligibility of courses to meet college entrance requirements (high schools only) provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school’s charter, and the school LCAP 	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence
<input type="checkbox"/> The school has a highly developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has a well-developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	<input type="checkbox"/> Parent-Student Handbook (B1: 10 or B3: 1) <input type="checkbox"/> LCAP (B3: 3.2) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of stakeholder consultation (B3: 4.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of parent/stakeholder involvement and engagement (B3: 4.3)



Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> The school has a partially developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a minimal or no stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of sharing accessible and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance with all stakeholders as appropriate (B3: 4.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of communication to parents and other stakeholders of complaint resolution process(es) (B3: 4.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence that parents are informed about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (B3: 4.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of provision of stakeholder access to school's approved charter (B3: 4.3) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview of stakeholders <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)
--------------------	--	--

O9: EVALUATION OF SCHOOL STAFF - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #9

<p><i>The school has a system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the school's educational program yields high student achievement the school complies with all applicable legal requirements 		
Rubric		Sources of Evidence
Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has a highly developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement and complies with all applicable legal requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a well-developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement and complies with all applicable legal requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a partially developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement and complies with all applicable legal requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The school has a minimal or no system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement and complies with all applicable legal requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence of staff evaluation system (B3: 4.4) <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify)



O10: CLEARANCES AND CREDENTIALING COMPLIANCE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #10

The school is in compliance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter regarding clearances and credentialing:

- all certificated staff are fully credentialed, including EL authorizations, and appropriately assigned as authorized by their credentials at all times
- the school has obtained all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- the school has obtained all necessary vendor clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to the provision of service, and keeps all clearances current
- the school has conducted volunteer clearances in accordance with applicable law and policy, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform schoolsite services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The school has fully implemented and continually monitors systems and procedures that maintain 100% compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements at all times <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain substantial compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The school has partially implemented and intermittently monitors systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements <input type="checkbox"/> The school has not implemented and/or does not monitor systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, NCLB Qualifications, and Mandated Reporter Training 2016-2017</i> (“NCLB Grid”) (B3A: 1.1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff rosters and school master schedule (B3A: 1.2 – 1.4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Custodian(s) of Records documentation (B3A: 1.5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Criminal Background Clearance Certifications (B3A: 2 & 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Teaching credential/authorization documentation (B3A: 2 & 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vendor certifications (B3A: 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Volunteer (TB) risk assessment/clearance certification (B3A: 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion with school leadership <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: (Specify): CTC Website

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT (if applicable):



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: 5/10/2017

Location Code: 7598
 School Name: YPI Valley Public Charter High
 FY Start Date: 2015-16

Charter #: 1724
 CDS Code: 19 64733 0132126

7598	2013-14					2014-15					2015-16				
	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials
YPI Valley Public Charter High															
Cash and Cash Equivalents		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		173,401	168,739	70,646	70,647
Current Assets		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		312,944	315,416	406,912	406,914
Fixed Assets		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		57,046	63,579	67,904	67,904
Total Assets		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		369,990	378,995	474,816	474,818
Deferred Outflow															
Current Liabilities		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		90,619	102,391	165,369	172,810
Long Term Liabilities		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		155,563	155,563	157,441	150,000
Total Liabilities		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		246,182	257,954	322,810	322,810
Deferred Inflow															
Net Assets		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		123,808	121,041	152,006	152,008
Total Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,454,453	1,433,953	1,439,332	1,561,639	1,561,639
Total Expenditures	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,454,265	1,296,984	1,305,129	1,396,471	1,396,646
Net Income / (Loss)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	136,969	134,203	165,168	164,993
Operating Transfers In (Out) and Sources / Uses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extraordinary Item - Transfer of Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inc / (Dec) in Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	136,969	134,203	165,168	164,993
Net Assets, Beginning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(12,985)
Adj. for restatement / Prior Yr Adj	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(13,161)	(13,162)	(13,162)	0
Net Assets, Beginning, Adjusted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(13,161)	(13,162)	(13,162)	(12,985)
Net Assets, End	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	123,808	121,041	152,006	152,008

7598	Audited Financials					2016-17				
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Preliminary Budget	First Interim	Second Interim	Unaudited Actuals	Audited Financials
YPI Valley Public Charter High										
Cash and Cash Equivalents	0	0	0	70,647	0		26,907	0	0	0
Current Assets	0	0	0	406,914	0		315,086	0	0	0
Fixed Assets	0	0	0	67,904	0		76,053	0	0	0
Total Assets	0	0	0	474,818	0		391,138	0	0	0
Current Liabilities	0	0	0	172,810	0		64,471	0	0	0
Long Term Liabilities	0	0	0	150,000	0		157,441	0	0	0
Total Liabilities	0	0	0	322,810	0		221,912	0	0	0
Net Assets	0	0	0	152,008	0		169,226	0	0	0
Total Revenues	0	0	0	1,561,639	0	2,120,318	2,018,593	0	0	0
Total Expenditures	0	0	0	1,396,646	0	2,080,176	2,001,372	0	0	0
Net Income / (Loss)	0	0	0	164,993	0	40,142	17,220	0	0	0
Operating Transfers In (Out) and Sources / Uses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extraordinary Item - Transfer of Net Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inc / (Dec) in Net Assets	0	0	0	164,993	0	40,142	17,220	0	0	0
Net Assets, Beginning	0	0	0	(12,985)	0	121,041	152,006	0	0	0
Adj. for restatement / Prior Yr Adj	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Assets, Beginning, Adjusted	0	0	0	(12,985)	0	121,041	152,006	0	0	0
Net Assets, End	0	0	0	152,008	0	161,183	169,226	0	0	0



FISCAL OPERATIONS						RATING																													
<p>You have been assessed by the Fiscal Oversight team and you are receiving the rating of 3, Proficient.</p> <p>Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and are noted in this evaluation.</p>						3																													
<p>YPI Valley Public Charter High’s fiscal condition is stable. According to the 2015-2016 independent audit report, the school had positive net assets of \$152,008 and net income of \$152,008. The 2016-2017 first interim projects positive net assets of \$169,226 and net income of \$17,220.</p> <p>According to the 2015-2016 independent audit report, YPI Valley Public Charter High is one of three schools operated by YPI Charter Schools, Inc, the Charter Management Organization (CMO). The CMO has three schools that are authorized by the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD). The CMO does not hold either assets or liabilities. The purposes of the CMO is to provide administrative services to the charter schools; it does not generate income. The operation expenditures incurred by the CMO, due to providing services to the charter, are distributed proportionally among three charter schools based on the ADA generated. The charter schools does not pay a separate monthly/annual management fee to the CMO.</p>																																			
<p>Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress:</p> <p>1. The school’s fiscal condition is stable.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2012-2013 (Audited Actuals)</th> <th>2013-2014 (Audited Actuals)</th> <th>2014-2015 (Audited Actuals)</th> <th>2015-2016 (Audited Actuals)</th> <th>2016-2017 (1st Interim)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Net Assets</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>\$152,008*</td> <td>\$169,226</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Net Income/Loss</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>\$152,008</td> <td>\$ 17,220</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transfers In/Out</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prior Year Adjustment(s)</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*-Includes beginning net assets balance of negative (\$12,985).</p>								2012-2013 (Audited Actuals)	2013-2014 (Audited Actuals)	2014-2015 (Audited Actuals)	2015-2016 (Audited Actuals)	2016-2017 (1 st Interim)	Net Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$152,008*	\$169,226	Net Income/Loss	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$152,008	\$ 17,220	Transfers In/Out	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Prior Year Adjustment(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0
	2012-2013 (Audited Actuals)	2013-2014 (Audited Actuals)	2014-2015 (Audited Actuals)	2015-2016 (Audited Actuals)	2016-2017 (1 st Interim)																														
Net Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$152,008*	\$169,226																														
Net Income/Loss	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$152,008	\$ 17,220																														
Transfers In/Out	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0																														
Prior Year Adjustment(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0																														



Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement:

- It is noted that the school incurred late fees for a total amount of \$502.54. The late fees incurred are as follows:

Vendor	Invoice	Check #	Invoice Amt.	Late Fees	Amount Paid
C/O LSQ Funding Group, LLC	BERCOR-0715	302117	\$ 2,420.80	\$ 73.17	\$ 2,493.97
C/O LSQ Funding Group, LLC	BERCOR-0815	302117	\$13,246.65	\$198.70	\$13,445.35
C/O LSQ Funding Group, LLC	MONOSR-0815	302117	\$10,537.75	\$158.07	\$10,695.82
C/O LSQ Funding Group, LLC	YPICHAH-0815	302217	\$ 1,942.50	\$ 29.14	\$ 1,971.64
Neopost	77900046123521123260007	303149	Mini Payment Due \$105.00	\$ 43.46	\$ 281.54

Per school management, an Accounts Payable Assistant was hired to assist in expediting the invoice review. CSD randomly selected additional 8 checks and supporting documents. Based on the additional documents reviewed, no findings are noted.

- It is noted that the school incurred over the limit fees for a total amount of \$156. The same concerns were cited in 2015-2016 CSD oversight report. The months in which these fees were incurred are as follows:
 - Credit Card 9556: July 2016 and August 2016
 - Credit Card 9744: July 2016 and August 2016

Per school management, over the limit fees were incurred because YPI Charter Schools, Inc. only has two credit cards to cover the procurements for three schools. In order to mitigate the over the limit fees issue, additional credit cards are going to be provided to the key school personnel to make purchases in their specific areas.

In addition to providing additional credit cards to the key personnel, the CSD recommends that the charter school develop a system to monitor the school’s credit card spending limits in order to avoid over the limit fees.

Corrective Action Required:

None noted.



Notes:

1. Reviewed independent audit report for fiscal year-end June 30, 2016 and noted the following:
 - a. Audit Opinion: Unqualified
 - b. Material Weakness: None Noted
 - c. Deficiency/Finding: None Noted

2. Reviewed bank statements from April 2016 to June 2016. No discrepancies noted.
3. Reviewed the following credit card statements and discrepancies are noted for further growth and/or improvement above.
 - a. Credit Card 9556: July 2016 and August 2016
 - b. Credit Card 9744: February, July, and August 2016
4. Reviewed the following checks and discrepancies or concerns are noted for further growth and/or improvement above.
 - a. Check numbers:

21568	301855	301876	301945	302068	302117	302136	302155	302171	302172
302240	302241	302247	302373	302417	302549	302652	302663	302686	302689
302752	302839	302855	302856	302877	302881	302963	303007	303149	

5. Per the 2015-2016 audit report, the school's cash and cash equivalents is \$70,647 and total expenditures is \$ 1,396,646, therefore their cash reserve is 5.06%, which is above the recommended 5%.
6. A Segregation of Duties (SOD) review was conducted at Monsenor Oscar Romero Charter Middle and no discrepancies noted.
7. Per YPI Valley Public Charter High, the school has separate student body funds. Student body funds are included in the school audit. The school does not maintain a budget or cash flow as the funds are included in the operating account. Bank statements are reconciled on a monthly basis.
8. YPI Charter Schools, Inc. did not disclose any legal, regulatory proceeding, or investigation which might have a material impact on their financial viability.
9. Governing board meeting minutes reflecting the presentation of financial reports such as the balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement was provided.
10. Governing board meeting minutes reflecting the adoption of the 2016-2017 budget was provided.
11. Governing board meeting minutes reflecting the receipt, review, and approval of interim financial reports submitted to LAUSD was provided.
12. Governing board meeting minutes reflecting the selection of the current independent auditor was provided.
13. Governing board meeting minutes reflecting the approval of the current fiscal policies and procedures was provided.
14. YPI Valley Public Charter High is offering STRS, PERS, and/or Social Security benefits to its employees and evidence of payment was provided.
15. Equipment inventory was provided.
16. The 2016-2017 LCAP was submitted to LAUSD.
17. The EPA allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website.
18. CSD recommends, for clarification purposes, that the CMO Governing Board specify each school on the minutes when voting on items that addresses specific schools. As evidenced on Agenda dated June 29, 2016, whereas motion to approve: LCAP, Calendar, and EPS Spending Plan was casted as a motion to approve without school specific vote.



Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to FISCAL OPERATIONS (if applicable):

Fiscal Operations Rubrics

Existing School – a charter school that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-4]

New School – a charter school that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-2]

<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.</i></p>	<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.</i></p>
<p><u>Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):</u> An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Net Assets are positive in the prior two audits; 2. The two most current audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings; 3. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner; 4. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term; 5. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures; 6. Governing board adopts the annual budget; 7. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest; 10. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school’s website; 11. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies; 	<p><u>Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):</u> An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Net Assets are positive in the most current audit; 2. The most current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings; 3. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner; 4. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term; 5. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures; 6. Governing board adopts the annual budget; 7. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest; 10. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school’s website; 11. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;



<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.</i></p>	<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.</i></p>
<p>12. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals;</p> <p>13. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner; and</p> <p>14. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other.</p>	<p>12. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals;</p> <p>13. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner; and</p> <p>14. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other.</p>
<p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>	<p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 4% of prior year expenditures; 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year expenses; 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Most current financial reports presented to the governing board ○ Employee handbook ○ Student handbook ○ Salary schedules/benefits/information ○ Budget development process ○ Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location ○ The most current approved petition ○ Administration/school contact ○ School calendar ○ Enrollment policies and procedures ○ Fiscal policies and procedures manual 4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; 5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; and 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 3% of prior year expenditures; 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 4% of the prior year expenses; 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Most current financial reports presented to the governing board ○ Employee handbook ○ Student handbook ○ Salaries schedule/benefits/information ○ Budget development process ○ Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location ○ The most current approved petition ○ Administration/school contact ○ School calendar ○ Enrollment policies and procedures ○ Fiscal policies and procedures manual 4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; 5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; and



<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.</i></p>	<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.</i></p>
<p>6. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school’s website.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>	<p>6. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school’s website.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>

<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of the supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.</i></p>	<p><i>An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:</i></p>
<p><u>Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):</u> An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Net Assets are positive, or net assets are negative with strong trend toward positive (be positive at the end of the third year, per applicable audit, and beyond); 2. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner; 3. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term; 4. Governing board adopts the annual budget; 5. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school’s website; 6. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies; 7. Have an audit conducted annually by an independent auditing firm; 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; and 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest. <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>	<p><u>Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):</u> An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division</p> <p>A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. The charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide a satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school’s governing board members lack fiscal capacity.</p>



<p><i>An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of the supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.</i></p>	<p><i>An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:</i></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive; 2. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (Enrollment changes are reflected in annual budget and facilities); 3. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; 4. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; 5. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 6. Current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings; 7. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures; 8. Governing board approves any amendment(s) to the charter school’s budget; and 9. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school’s website. <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>	<p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>



<p><i>A new school that meets all of the Required criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.</i></p>	<p><i>A new school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:</i></p>
<p><u>New Schools:</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. 2. If enrollment is below the funding survey, the charter school has made significant adjustments in their operations to allow for the reduced income, and submitted a revised three-year budget and three-year cash flow statement. 3. Projected debt is managed efficiently and will not cause the charter school to end the fiscal year with negative net assets. The non-profit organization is financially viable to support the charter school. 4. Interim reports and unaudited actuals project: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Positive net assets b. Expenses less than revenues c. Projected expenses and revenues have no significant variance from budget 5. As a practice, the governing board receives and reviews the charter school's financial reports as evidenced by the governing board meeting minutes. 6. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies. 7. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website, if applicable. <p><u>Note:</u> A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>	<p><u>New Schools:</u></p> <p>A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. A charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members lack fiscal capacity.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.</p>