Local Control Accountability Plan	ility Plan	
The instructions for completing the Local	The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.	w the template.
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter	Yvette King Berg Executive Director	ykingberg@ypics.org (818) 305-2791
Plan Summary [2021-22]		
General Information A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students	students.	
VISION: Our school is named in honor of and inspired by Monseñor Oscar Rome dedicated his life to lead the struggle of poor immigrant communities in California Above all, he never failed to give an eloquent and insistent voice to the cardinal in the Jeffersonian ideal that democracy and its attendant ideals become quite implicit the struct of the terms of the struct of terms of the terms of the struct of the terms of the struct of the terms of the struct of terms of the struct of terms of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of terms	VISION: Our school is named in honor of and inspired by Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter, a prominent Latino community organizer who dedicated his life to lead the struggle of poor immigrant communities in California and across the nation for social and economic justice. Above all, he never failed to give an eloquent and insistent voice to the cardinal importance of education. Monseñor Oscar Romero believed in the Jeffersonian ideal that democracy and its attendant ideals become quite impossible without an intelligent citizenry.	ro Charter, a prominent Latino community organizer who and across the nation for social and economic justice. nportance of education. Monseñor Oscar Romero believed possible without an intelligent citizenry.
MISSION: The Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter School prepares urban students participation. The school is located in the Pico-Union area of Los Angeles, Califor struggling students. The majority of students attending schools in this area come to Spanish is spoken in the home. Many area public school students are eligible for levels in the area.		s in grades 6-8 for academic success and active community nia, an area fraught with poverty and academically from predominantly Latino immigrant families where federal free or reduced meals, indicative of the high poverty
Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter (MORC), Pico-Union area of Los Angeles. With 99.1% 97% of our students are on Free and Reduc that includes acceleration, differentiated inst	Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter (MORC), a Youth Policy Institute Charter School (YPICS), is committed to serving 337 students in the Pico-Union area of Los Angeles. With 99.1% Latino, .6% Asian, .3% White, 33.8% English Learners, 11.7% Students with Disabilities, and 97% of our students are on Free and Reduced Lunch. The Charter School also serves a wide range of scholars requiring a rigorous progr that includes acceleration, differentiated instruction, depth, and complexity. The Charter School is a data-driven school that uses	Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter (MORC), a Youth Policy Institute Charter School (YPICS), is committed to serving 337 students in the Pico-Union area of Los Angeles. With 99.1% Latino, .6% Asian, .3% White, 33.8% English Learners, 11.7% Students with Disabilities, and 97% of our students are on Free and Reduced Lunch. The Charter School also serves a wide range of scholars requiring a rigorous program that includes acceleration, differentiated instruction, depth, and complexity. The Charter School is a data-driven school that uses
assessment data to understand and improve scholar and school performance. The helps the Charter School Continuously plan, monitor, and improve academic progresults to evaluate the school's academic program and chart a course for the new intervention are identified and monitored. In addition to state testing, other assess samples, and i-Ready Diagnostic assessments.	assessment data to understand and improve scholar and school performance. The assessment data (diagnostic, formative, and summa helps the Charter School Continuously plan, monitor, and improve academic programs. Staff reviews the previous spring's state testing results to evaluate the school's academic program and chart a course for the new year based on the students' needs. Subgroups in nee intervention are identified and monitored. In addition to state testing, other assessments include publisher assessments, student work samples, and i-Ready Diagnostic assessments.	assessment data to understand and improve scholar and school performance. The assessment data (diagnostic, formative, and summative) helps the Charter School Continuously plan, monitor, and improve academic programs. Staff reviews the previous spring's state testing results to evaluate the school's academic program and chart a course for the new year based on the students' needs. Subgroups in need of intervention are identified and monitored. In addition to state testing, other assessments include publisher assessments, student work samples, and i-Ready Diagnostic assessments.
Assessments are used to: Identify scholars a	Assessments are used to: Identify scholars and subgroups who need additional instruction or intervention; Prescribe a re-teaching or acceleration focus for individual scholars: Identify professional development needs and target school resources	r intervention; Prescribe a re-teaching or

acceleration focus for individual scholars; Identify professional development needs and target school resources. ⊳

advance in all areas. Students stuggling with basic skills are provided grade-level instructional materials and targeted for support by various eactarion experts, Education Specialists, school psychologists, general pathologists, classroom teachers, and administrators. The Charter School created its own culture of awareness with considerate, committed, and conscientious teachers, and administrators. The Charter School created its own culture of awareness with considerate, committed, and conscientious teachers, and administrators. The Charter School created its own culture at the Charter School. Reflections: Successes A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data. Trachers and staff receive training in research-based education practices to raise student achievement programs. These include programs and strategies such as "reach Like a Champion." "Data-Driven instruction, "Cetting Better," and the Sociess of All Program. Morsenor Oscar Romero School utilizes various assessments of student work and mastery of applicable standards and other learning objectives, student work portfolios, teacher observation, and conferencing with students. MORCS success is as follows: Worlfied Data: ELA & Math Growth (2019-20 & 2020-21) Worlfied Data: Despite challenges from the pandemic, at both the school- and grade levels. MORCS students had measurable increases in student achievement, clearly teems adding teast one year of programs in 2012-0.21 school years, which the State Board approved of Education as student achievement achievements and energy to the pandemic, at both the school- and grade levels. MORCS students had measurable increases in student achievement a	and needs. Students who are on grade level and approaching proficiency are targeted in the critical instructional areas that propel them to
---	---

 MORCS launched online learning at the very beginning of the week following campus shutdowns in March 2020. 	Community Engagement/Support MORCS supported its surrounding community, which experienced some of the highest death rates in California during the pandemic, by providing safety updates & information, as well as distributing food each week (INSERT # meals), connecting families with counseling services, and providing financial assistance to those who lost a family member due to COVID.	COVID-19 Aligned Strengths	The cooperative learning process is designed to ensure that English Language students can progress faster than in a non-collaborative environment. Groups are strategically selected for mixed ability, allowing leaders to assist students who struggle. The group work process allows for processing time (wait time). It removes the pressure while maintaining accountability by preparing all group members to be the possible "random reporter" responsible for communicating the group's findings to the class. The preparation time allows EL students to safely rehearse their response rather than not respond or participate in the process entirely, as often happens in the non-collaborative classroom. Teachers are also trained through Professional Development and coaching to support EL and whole-class learning by using SDAIE strategies, as defined in the Monsenor Oscar Romero School English Learner Plan.	Technology in the classroom including, but is not limited to i-Ready in ELA, Mathematics, SFA, Achieve3000, and other programs. As noted Technology in the classroom including, but is not limited to i-Ready in ELA, Mathematics, SFA, Achieve3000, and other programs. As noted in Monsenor Oscar Romero School, 2019-20 LCAP on it is our goal to provide our students with chrome books 1 to 1 ratio". With increased access to computers in every classroom, more time is devoted to online tools designed to precisely target standard alignment in reading and writing. Programs such as open-source programs such as i-Ready, Achieve3000, SFA, and licensed software as presented above Monsen~or Oscar Romero School students' innovative ways of reaching standard mastery.	English Learner Progress MORCS serves a higher proportion of English learners than its surrounding district and the state (33% compared to 19% in LAUSD and 18% in the state) in 2019-20. English learners at MORCS progressed faster than the district and the state, with 53% of EL students making progress towards English language proficiency in 2018-19. Within that grouping, over half (51%) of MORCS EL students progressed by at least one ELPI level compared to 43% at the state level and 42% of LAUSD ELs.	Verified Data: Math & ELA Proficiency (2019-20 & 2020-21) In 2019-20, 22% of MORCS students were on or above grade level in iReady Math, and in 2020-21, the average Math proficiency rate increased to 26% in Math. Given that iReady and SBAC are strongly correlated (.89 in Math), this suggests that despite the pandemic, MORCS students would have improved on state tests in Math in these years (ERIA, 2020). In ELA, scores improved slightly from 2019 levels. 8th graders had the highest proficiency rates in ELA in 2020 and 2021, suggesting that additional time at MORCS improved students' literacy skills.
--	--	----------------------------	--	---	---	--

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter Page 4 of 45	2021-22
Verified Data for 2017-18 & 2018-19	Verifie
MORCS switched from using the NWEA MAP Assessment to iReady in 2019-20. While the iReady data is strong and clearly demonstrates	MORC
one year's growth, we saw LAUSD reject verified data from schools that did not have verified data for the entirety of the term during renewals	one ye
last summer. Currently, in the petition, MORCS provided NWEA proficiency data. We recommend replacing this with growth data. When	last su
using NWEA, CCSA recommends the Conditional Growth Index (CGI), which is included in Summary Growth Reports. A positive CGI	using I
indicates at least one year of growth, on average.	indicat
Academic Indicator Performance	Acade
MORCS received a red on the CA Dashboard in ELA & Math in 2019, a red in Math in 2018, and an orange in ELA in 2018, having	MORC
performed below the state average in proficiency in both years on the academic indicators. If the CDE had not used ELPI in its track	perforr
determinations, then MORCS would have been in the low track, as all students & subgroups performed below the state average on the	determ
academic indicators Distance from Standard (DFS) by Subgroup Under AB1505: A red arrow indicates that MORCS' subgroup average was	acade
below the state average for that subgroup on that indicator in a given year.	below
Reflections: Identified Need	Refle
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low	A desc
performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.	perform
COVID-19 Aligned Vulnerabilities	COVIE
Student Engagement	Studer
MORCS's enrollment declined by 11%, or 38 students (from 356 students in 2019 to 318 students in 2020) during the pandemic. This was	MORC
largely driven by a decrease in the 6th-grade class, which had 116 students in 2019-20 and only 84 students in 2020-21. MORCS was able	largely
to maintain similar 7th & 8th-grade enrollment in 2020-21.	to maii
Social/Emotional Wellbeing	Social,
While many students struggled socially & emotionally during the pandemic, MORCS students reported a higher level of belonging and peer	While
collaboration in November 2020 than in November 2019. This was also higher than the state average.	collabo
Digital Divide	Digital
MORCS rapidly eliminated the digital divide by distributing hot spots & Chromebooks to students in need in March 2020. The percentage of	MORC
families with at-home technology access increased from 61% in March 2020 to 99% in April 2020.	familie
 In November 2020, MORCS students reported a higher level of engagement than in the prior year and a higher level than the CA average. In November 2020, MORCS staff reported a higher level of engagement (4.33 out of 5) than in the prior year and a higher level than the CA average. 	• •

	10
	Š
	ć
1	C.
1	<u>v</u>
	×
	Ð
1	
	<u> </u>
	เร
	ō
	-
)	_
	~~
	ates
	+
	m.
	25
	5
'	

suspended in 2017-18 compared to only 0.8% of students in LAUSD. Suspension rates were high in 2017-18 & 2018-19; instituting the PBIS assisted MORCS to realize a decreased it's rate to 1.6% in 2019-20 While MORCS made substantial progress in decreasing its suspension rate over the course of the charter term, 15% of students were

COVID-19 Achievement and Engagement Data

2019-20. how your school responded to the COVID-19 pandemic is an important part of your data story, especially given the gap in state testing in Aligned with CCSA's Portrait of the Movement findings on how charter schools effectively responded to the pandemic, CCSA believes that

Data that MORCS tracked over the past year include:

- Evidence of proactively working to engage students
- Evidence of actions to mitigate learning loss
- Data that shows a narrowing of the digital divide
- Data to demonstrate how you supported students' social and emotional wellbeing
- Data on the number of meals provided
- Evidence of community engagement/support
- Changes to enrollment demand

English Learner Reclassification Rates

averages (8.6%). While MORCS' 2017-18 & 2018-19 reclassification rates were higher than the state averages, they were lower than LAUSD In 2020-21, MORCS reclassified 3.8% of English learners, representing a lower reclassification rate than the district (6.4%) and the state

Some subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP ELA performance from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019, as follows:

- 0.00% of English Learner students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 2.11 percentage point decrease
- 21.32% of Latino students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 0.54 percentage point increase
- 20.87% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 0.97 percentage point decrease. ? 2.56% of Students with Disabilities Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 0.00 percentage point increase
- None of the school's subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP Math performance from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019, as follows:?
- 0.00% of English Learner students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 1.09 percentage point decrease
- 10.84% of Latino students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 2.27 percentage point decrease.
- 10.94% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 3.03 percentage point decrease
- 2.56% of Students with Disabilities Met or Exceeded the Standards, a 2.85 percentage point decrease
- The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 6th 8th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is 21.31%, which is at a rate lower than the
- The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 6th 8th Grade on the SBAC in Math is 10.98%, which is at a rate lower than the Resident Schools Median of 16.39%

LCAP Highlights
A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.
MORCS provides resources to assist under-achieving students. These include a school breakfast and lunch program, an after school program, summer school opportunities to recover units and reduce summer learning loss, and a strong student services team to assist with academics, behavior, attendance, and social-emotional wellbeing.
Working closely with stakeholders through the school, the goals are in alignment with the California Dashboard, LCFF rubrics, and charter petition goals, core values, the YPICS School Success Plan, and our Governance structure to improve outccomes for all studentsl. The outcomes and metrics have been modified or changed to align with the state LCFF Evaluation rubrics and state and local indicators.
 Goal 1: Increase Student Achievement Goal 2: Increase meaningful and purposeful student, teacher, and parent engagement. Goal 3: Provide an appropriate Basic Condition for Learning
Comprehensive Support and Improvement An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.
A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.
MORCS is eligible for comprehensive support and improvement due to the following outcomes:
Hispanic Red 120.3 points below the standard Declined -11.8 points 326 Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
Current English Learner • 199.9 points below the standard Declined Significantly -31.1 points 81 Reclassified English Learners

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter Page 7 of 45
returning employees marked as Teacher Associates do not have the pro as "Teacher Associates" due to no EL authorization; at the time of the ov of those classrooms to ensure that the school complies with this requirem ant include: nat the LEA gave greater weight on the SBAC. The Charter School finalizent the LEA gave greater weight on the SBAC. The Charter School finalizent by year. I vear. I vear. I vear achievement band. (2019-20) student needs. (2019-20)
Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement. The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain substantial compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements. CSD discussed with the school leaders the need to ensure all staff
 Other factors, internal and/or external that the Team considered when evaluating performance for results on Mathematics. The team analyzed and set up plans to address students needs; specifically reviewing students with disabilities, English Learners, and other subgroups are they working as intended: The results indicated the following: The LEA determined that MORCS' needed to re-evaluate their Mathematics curriculum. Teachers needed to be provided with strategies on the integration of mathematics across other courses. Demystifying the concept that mathematics is difficult; changing the climate of some student's belief in their abilities to compete. Engage Administrators, teachers, staff, parents, students, and stakeholders in actively addressing mathematics concepts in daily life using differentiated instruction, accelerating learning opportunities, professional development, parent training and workshops, and various collaborative efforts.
Support for Identified Schools A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.
 English Only 121 points below the standard Increased ++3 points 30 Math scores declined for the past 2 years (net -11.5%); further Math data on SBAC indicates a stronger implementation plan, racial justice, and equity issues, and addressing student attitudes toward Mathematics.
 112.7 points below the standard Declined -5.5 points 121

o Due to the Pandemic, teachers were able to provide acceleration and intervention during extended class time, offering access to reteaching, additional daily support through an individual instructional plan for each student

- MORCS provided ongoing Professional Development and coaching. The presenters also observed teachers and gave them feedback on implementing the strategies covered during professional development.
- Implemented intervention blocks of time where teachers target students' deficits in small groups. (2020-21)
- Developed IEP's as a comprised team of various stakeholders:
- o Parents/caretakers, teachers, staff, SPED, admin, etc.).
- Targeted Mathematics instruction began implementation in 2019-20.
- Used i-Ready results to determine student Math levels (2019-20).
- Implemented practice i-Ready assessments twice a year (2019-20).
- After-school program assisted by providing intervention and accelerated support during Fall Break, Winter Break, and Spring Break starting in 2019-20 and 2020-2021. The Pandemic ongoing learning opportunities were made available.
- Pandemic caused Distance Learning and small group instruction and intervention using accelerated learning strategies differentiated instruction, and other research-based learning strategies.
- MORCS provided socio-emotional support, supplemental support systems, food, and concentrated support for families

understand the factors contributing to the challenges experienced. To identify appropriate root causes for both English Language Arts and Mathematics Achievement, a formal and informal review of data to

The resources collected from the Taskforce for instruction are located at http://typ.spics.com/ and additional Virtual Instructional Strategies can be found on our website http://spics.com/ and additional Virtual Instructional Strategies can be found on neur website http://spics.com/ and addition to the YPICS Reopening Guidelines developed by TaskForce are also located on the Charter School's website. http://spics.com/ and spice. The YPICS Reopening Guidelines developed by TaskForce are also located on the Charter School's website. http://spics.com/ and spice. The YPICS Reopening Guidelines developed by TaskForce are also located on the Charter School's website. http://spics.com/ and spice. The YPICS Reopening Guidelines developed by TaskForce are also located on the Charter School's website. http://spics.com/ and spice. <a hr<="" th="">
YPI Charter Schools (YPICS) developed a Task Force that began meeting in April through the summer to gather and collect feedback from various stakeholders, including parents, students, teachers, and staff. The Taskforce was composed of over 65 members across the three YPICS schools. The team met 17 times from April 30, 2020-August 7, 2020. The Task Force was composed of smaller subgroups to enable the Task Force to focus in-depth on various complex topics. The Subcommittees consisted of the following: Academic & Instruction: School Culture & Climate Operations & Safety
A summary of the stakeholder process and how the stakeholder engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.
Stakeholder Engagement

A summary of the feedback provided by specific stakeholder groups.
Students at MORCS were surveyed in November 2020 about their perceptions of their school in terms of Engagement, Academic Rigor, Relationships, Belonging & Peer Collaboration, and Culture. In addition, students provided feedback about Project-Based Learning, Emotional and Mental Health, Drugs and Alcohol, and Distance Learning.
This report compares MORCS students' ratings to students at 429 other middle schools across the country to put student feedback into context.
Compared to other participating middle schools, MORCS's highest-rated themes were:
Culture Relationships and the lowest rated themes were:
Engagement Belonging & Peer Collaboration Compared to other participating middle schools, MORCS's highest-rated question within the key themes was:
Adults from my school treat students with respect. (which is in the Culture theme) and the lowest rated question within the key themes was:
I take pride in my school work. (which is in the Engagement theme) Respondents also provided feedback about Project-Based Learning. For example, 54% of respondents responded positively to the question: In school, I can find solutions to problems that I haven't been taught how to solve.
Respondents also provided feedback about Emotional and Mental Health. For example, 65% of respondents responded positively to the question: Overall, how do you feel about your life?.
Respondents also provided feedback about Distance Learning. For example, 43% of respondents responded positively to the question: How would you rate your experience with distance learning so far this school year?.
This report represents feedback from 407 students. Based on the enrollment data provided, you had a 129% response rate. Percentages over 100% are likely due to fluctuations in enrollment between the time enrollment numbers were shared and survey completion. This does not indicate that anyone took the survey more than once. Please refer to the Appendix section for more information about the demographics of the respondents.
The survey also gathered parent input on items to meet the uniqueness of their children and information from teachers and staff with the same themes; academic, rigor, cultural relationships, and engagement. Information sent to parents was translated into Spanish and made
2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monsoñor Oscar Romero Charter

and text messages to ensure families could access the content. available on many platforms, including Google, Zoom, Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter. Surveys were sent out to parents through email

A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific stakeholder input.

The following are aspects of the LCAP that stakeholders influenced

Focus on Essential Standards (Learning Outcomes/Indicators)

- Identify/revise essential standards for each grade/course;
- Ensure all students have access to grade-level Essential Standards and materials;
- Identify students who need additional support to accelerate learning and to mitigate pupil learning loss;
- Schedule time for students experiencing pupil learning loss.

G
Ō
ă
als
S
Q
and
ō
-
Þ
O
tic
ō
Ĭ
ns

Goal

Goal #	Description
Т	Increase Student Achievement
	Maintain high standards for our community focused on providing a safe, nurturing, engaged learning environment in which all students are supported in attaining high levels of achievement through the use of high-quality curricula and assessments and targeted acceleration/supports.
	(State Priorities: 4 Pupil Achievement, 2 Implementation of State Standards, 7 Course Access)
An explanation of	An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.
Improve student a	Improve student achievement for all students

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric All classroom teachers will hold a valid CA Teaching Credential as defined by the CA Commission on Teaching Credentialing and appropriate EL authorization; all teachers will be	Baseline 100% All classroom teachers hold a valid CA Teaching Credential as defined by the CA Commission on Teaching Credentialing and have appropriate EL authorization. All teachers were	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24 100% All classroom teachers hold a valid CA Teaching Credential as defined by the CA Commission on Teaching Credentialing and have appropriate EL authorization. All teachers were
All classroom	100% All classroom				100% All cla
eachers will hold a	teachers hold a valid				teachers hol
alid CA Teaching	CA Teaching				CA Teaching
Credential as defined	Credential as defined				Credential as
iy the CA	by the CA				by the CA
Commission on	Commission on				Commission (
eaching	Teaching				Teaching
Credentialing and	Credentialing and				Credentialing
ippropriate EL	have appropriate EL				have appropri
uthorization; all	authorization. All				authorization.
eachers will be	teachers were				teachers were
appropriately	appropriately				appropriately
assigned.	assigned.				assigned.

Professional Learning: 100% of MORCS' Teaching, social- emotional learning, and Management Strategies variety of professional development opportunities. Staff meetings hosted twice per week offer trainings led by outside professionals and teacher leaders. Additionally, teachers are encouraged to attend outside	Teacher Retention Teacher turnover (50% core content teachers form 18-19 to 19-20).	Access to standards- aligned curricular and instructional materials ELA, 100% of teachers/students with access to standards- aligned curricula (ELA, 100% of teachers/students with access to standards- aligned curricula (ELA, ELD, math, science, social science)	Metric Baseline
		<u> </u>	
100% of MORCS' teachers are credentialed in their subject areas. Teachers have a variety of professional development opportunities. Staff meetings hosted twice per week offer trainings led by outside professionals and teacher leaders. Additionally, teachers are encouraged to attend outside	Retain Teachers- turnover >50%	100% of teachers/students with access to standards- aligned curricula (ELA, 100% of teachers/students with access to standards- aligned curricula (ELA, ELD, math, science, social science, and social science)	2023–24

	_		- Romero Charter	2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter	2021-22 Local Control Account
The expected outcome is an overall increase of at least 10% of students who meet or exceed the standard on their subsequent CAASPP				All: 10.98% EL: 0.00 Latino: 10.84 SED: 10.94 SWD: 2.56	Mathematics Proficiency
The expected outcome is an overall increase of at least 10% of students who meet or exceed the standard on their subsequent CAASPP				All: 22.19% EL: 0.00 Latino: 22.22 SED: 21.81 SWD: 2.56 SBAC 2018-2019 English Language Arts as DFS -73.7 points below standards (declining 4.7 points),	English Language Arts- Proficiency
100% of students have access to a broad course of study				100% of students have access to a broad course of study	Student Access to Broad Course of Study
100% Teachers are provided with curriculum aligned to current content and performance standards				100% Teachers are provided with curriculum aligned to current content and performance standards.	Implementation and sustainability of academic content standards, as measured by the Local Indicator Rubric on the California Accountability Dashboard
their needs, such as subject specific training.				their needs, such as subject specific training.	
Year 3 Outcome Desired Outcome for 2023–24	Year 3 (Year 2 Outcome	Year 1 Outcome	Baseline	Metric

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter

Page 15 of 45

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
					standard on their subsequent CAASPP
Next Generation (Science) EL: 0.00 Latino: 7.77 SED: 8.25 SWD: 5.88	7% 7.77 7.77 3.25 5.88				The expected outcome is an overall increase of at least 10% of students who meet or exceed the standard on their subsequent CAASPP
% of English Learners 52.8% who progress in English Proficiency (Measured by ELPAC) 2018-2019	52.8% English Learner progress in English Proficiency.				Continue to increase the number of English Proficiency as measured by ELPAC

Actions

N	<u>د</u>	Action #
Teacher Retention: Coaching Support	Support to increase student academic achievement	Title
Director of Instruction and 50% of Executive Administrator Provide consistent teacher observation, coaching, and mentoring support	English Language Development Teacher, Tutors; Specialists; Stipends; 10 PD Days; 2 Extra Days; Instructional Materials	Description
\$188,388.00	\$349,952.00	Total Funds
Yes	Yes	Contributing

An explanation of why Increase meaningful a	An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal. Increase meaningful and purposeful student, teacher, and parent engagement.	nis goal. acher, and parent enga	gement.		
Measuring and	Measuring and Reporting Results	ults			
Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Fall Youth Truth Survey: Parent Involvement	School will continue to provide multiple opportunities for parent involvement in school life and ease of home-school communication				School will continue to provide multiple opportunities for parent involvement in school life and communication.
Spring Parent Survey: Engagement	School will engage parents and students in decision-making.				School will engage parents and students in decision-making.
ADA Rate	School will continue to maintain ADA rate at or above 97.4%				School will continue to maintain ADA rate at or above 97.4%
Suspensions and Expulsion Rates	The School will continue to maintain a				The School will continue to maintain a
2021-22 Local Control Accoun	2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter	Romero Charter			Page 18 of 45

Goal

Goal #

Description

N

Increase meaningful and purposeful student, teacher, and parent engagement.

(State Priorities: 3 Parent Engagement, 5 Student Engagement, & 6 School Climate Culture)

Goals and Actions

2

Chronic Absenteeism 6.8%		Metric
6.8%	low suspension rate below 1%. The school will continue to maintain a low suspension rate below 1%.	Baseline
		Year 1 Outcome
		Year 2 Outcome
		Year 3 Outcome
6.8% continue to improve.	low suspension rate below 1%. The school will continue to maintain a low suspension rate below 1%.	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
د	Parent Coordinator	The parent coordinator will assist with the engagement of parents.	\$62,075.00	
N	Program Coordinator & School Culture Climate Team	Identified staff will assist with the engagement of students. The Program Coordinator will focus on attendance. The School Culture and Climate Team will work on implementing the PBIS Framework and programs. (Title 1 & Title IV)	\$304,461.00	
ω	Student Activities	Student Activities to engage students in learning	\$15,000.00	
4	Enrollment and Outreach- Schola	Ensure that all parents have on-boarding support from first point of LEA interest and contact through training to use all communications and technology systems to support their child's education at the charter school.	\$15,000.00	

Goal #	Description				
ω	Provide and appropriate Basic Condition of LearningSocial-emotional and behavioral support with extended classes and enrichment activities for students, and ensure all students are actively engaged and supported through a safe, healthy, and rigorous learning environment.	ic Condition of Learning ents, and ensure all stu iment.	ySocial-emotional and be dents are actively engae	notional and behavioral support with extended classes an actively engaged and supported through a safe, healthy,	extended classes and ugh a safe, healthy,
	(State Priorities: 1 Basic Services,), Other Pupil Outcomes	rices,), Other Pupil Out	tcomes		
An explanation of w	An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.	nis goal.			
Provide students w	Provide students with a safe place to learn, providing MTSS and social emotional	viding MTSS and social	emotional support to all students	l students.	
Measuring a	Measuring and Reporting Results	ults			
Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Use of Mutli-tier schoolwide program (MTSS)	n 100%				Maintain 100%
ADA	97.45%				< 97.45%
Chronic Absenteeism	sm 6.8%				< 6.8%
Suspensions	8%				Maintain Suspension Rate at .< 8%
Teachers will participate in ongoing research-based professional development in the areas of English Language Arts, Math, Next Generation	ng participated in professional development throughout the physical closure of the Charter School.				96% Teachers participated in professional development throughout the physical closure of the Charter School.
2021-22 Local Control Acc	2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter	Romero Charter			Page 21 of 45

Goals and Actions

Goal

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Science Standards (NGSS), English Language Development (ELD), Technology, and Differentiated instruction to meet the needs of all students and subgroups.					
100% of ELs will have full access to CCSS- aligned curriculum as they develop EL proficiency.	100% All students had access to CCSS- aligned curriculum as they develop EL proficiency.				100% All students had access to CCSS- aligned curriculum as they develop EL proficiency.
School facilities are clean and maintained in good repair with daily spot check and Site Inspection Lists with > 90% of items in compliance or good standing.	100% The Charter School developed a cleaning regiment based on best practices for reopening schools from the California Department of Public Health (6/5/2020) and the California Department of Education (6/8/2020).				100% The Charter School developed a cleaning regiment based on best practices for reopening schools from the California Department of Public Health (6/5/2020) and the California Department of Education (6/8/2020).
Actions					

<u>ــ</u>	Action #
Salaries and Benefits	Title
Salaries and Benefits Salaries and Benefits for all staff members, not included in identified LCAP planned actions.	Description
\$1,693,125.00	Total Funds
No	Contributing

	N	Action #
	Professional Development	Title
 CCSS training will be embedded into professional development meetings. BTSA Training/ New Teacher Support (Title 2) Backwards Design Project-Based Grading Project-Based Grading CCSS ELD Strategies for EL students to access core curriculum/attain academic English Implementation of Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter English Learner Plan Whetstone Observation and Evaluation Process for teacher growth CCSS curriculum implementation of ELA, ELD, Mathematics, NEXT Generation Science Standards, and Social SFA Training, Coaching, and Support (Title 3) Science Effective use of multimedia and technology in the classroom (Nearpod, Peardeck, Flipgrid, and Google Forms) Instructional Shifts for ELA/Math, Speaking/Listening Strategies, and Text-Dependent Questions Using iReady. Infinite Campus/Thinking Nation Writing Assessment Programs Strategies for SWD to access core curriculum in the general classroom Maintenance of database system to track teacher credentialing, medical clearances, and background clearances. Challenging, Engaging, and Empowering Students with Deeper Instruction 	Professional Development for all staff members	Description
	\$50,048.00	Total Funds
	Yes	Contributing

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
ω	Core Instructional Materials; Technology & i iReady assessments	Core Instructional Materials; Technology provided to all students. Technology in the classroom including, but is not limited to i-Ready in ELA, Mathematics, and other programs. Nearpod, Peardeck, Flipgrid, and Google Forms SFA Materials (Title 3)	\$90,150.00	Zo
4	Maintenance/Custodi al/Security	Maintenance/Custodial/Security School facilities are clean and maintained in good repair with daily spot check and Site Inspection Lists with > 90% of items in compliance or good standing.	\$262,560.00	S
ப	Technology Enhancement	Additional Technology and IT to enhance the basic instructional program.	\$41,934.00	No

Goal Analysis [2021-22]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year. A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

Analysis of the 2021-22 goals will occur during the 2022-23 update cycle.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Analysis of the 2021-22 goals will occur during the 2022-23 update cycle.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

Analysis of the 2021-22 goals will occur during the 2022-23 update cycle.

reflections on prior practice. A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from

Analysis of the 2021-22 goals will occur during the 2022-23 update cycle.

A report of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Expenditures Table.

Income Students [2021-22]
Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-

Percentage to Increase or Improve Services	Increased Apportionment based on the Enrollment of Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low- Income students
33.97%	\$749,030

Table The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Increased or Improved Services Expenditures

Required Descriptions

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of meeting the goals for these students. (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in

needs, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and Foster Youth. FY 21-22, The Charter School will implement the following goals and actions to meet our English learners' academic and social-emotional

support for teachers. Goal 1: Action 2—Planned services for this action item include the Director of Instruction and 50% of Administrator focus on coaching Professional Development Days, 2 Extra Instructional Days, and Instructional materials for Acceleration, intervention, and enrichment Goal 1: Action 1--Planned services for this action item include English Language Development Teacher, Tutors, Specialists, Stipends, 10

Goal 2: Action 1 -- Planned services for this action item include a Parent Coordinator

Programs (Title 1 & Title 4). Coordinator and the SCC Team will work on implementing the Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) Framework and Goal 2: Action 2--Planned services for this action item include Program Coordinator (attendance), School Climate & Culture (SCC)

through enriching life experiences. Goal 2: Action 3--Planned services for this action item includes funds for field trips and vendors to provide enhanced learning opportunities

charter school of LEA interest and contact through training to use all communications and technology systems to support their child's education at the Goal 2: Action 4--Planned services for this action item includes funds to Ensure that all parents have onboarding support from the first point

English learners' academic and social-emotional needs, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and Foster Youth. Goal 3: Action 2--Planned services for this action item include professional development for all staff members on strategies to meet our

Goal 3: Action 3--Planned services for this action item include enhance technology to customize and individualize instruction

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage requirec and asynchronous instruction. Teachers planned and implemented synchronous lessons. Provide small group support and designated ELD. Mental Health and Well-Being of All: Teachers will continue to receive professional development on trauma-teaching along with the tools and of English learners, foster youth, and low-income students Students are provided opportunities to engage in Designated ELD Daily. All certificated and classified worked collectively to meet the needs engage in distance learning. Teachers have incorporated Universal Design for Learning (UDL) into their distance learning for synchronous The Charter School has provided all English Learners, foster youth, and low-income with a Chromebook and a Mobile WIFI Hotspot to education teachers, support staff, and administration. A strategic instructional program requires a multi-tiered instructional delivery model accelerated instruction will occur during the instructional day and enable a wide range of services from general education teachers, specia master grade-level content in language arts, mathematics, and English Language Development. (less is more, depth over coverage). This scale up. Targeted instruction will be provided to students at their level and address student-specific needs, focusing on skills needed to Based on the analysis of summative and formative assessments, targeted acceleration and intervention will be provided. The focus will be to School staff will take on a "triage" approach to screen student need, apply appropriate tiered instruction, monitor student achievement, and FY 21-22, The Charter School has a detailed plan to increase foster youth, English learners, and low-income student services. The Charter resources to move from a learner manager to a Learner Empowered (Active-Citizen). responding to each student's individual needs (personalization). revise the application of instructions needed. All students will have access to grade-level instruction and resources (democracy and equity). Incorporate welcoming/inclusion activities (develop a tone of decency and trust)

- Create learning teams and expectations (student as worker-teacher as coach)
- Use groups to get students talking (SFA the power is in the conversation)
- Core Priorities of Trauma-Informed Distance Learning Set goals together (student agency)
- Predictability
- Flexibility
- Connection
- Empowerment

Total Expenditures Table

\$2,885,185.00	LCFF Funds
	Other State Funds
	Local Funds
\$187,508.00	Federal Funds
\$3,072,693.00	Total Funds

Totals:	Totals:
\$947,053.00	Total Personnel
\$2,125,640.00	Total Non-personnel

ω	ω	ω	ယ	N	N	N	N			Goal
4	ω	N	<u> </u>	4	ω	N		N	-	Action #
AI	A	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	AI	AII	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Student Group(s)
Maintenance/Custodial/Security	Core Instructional Materials; Technology & i iReady assessments	Professional Development	Salaries and Benefits	Enrollment and Outreach- Schola	Student Activities	Program Coordinator & School Culture Climate Team	Parent Coordinator	Teacher Retention: Coaching Support	Support to increase student academic achievement	Title
\$262,560.00	\$90,150.00	\$20,000.00	\$1,693,125.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$147,001.00	\$62,075.00	\$188,388.00	\$349,952.00	LCFF Funds
										Other State Funds Local Funds
										Local Funds
		\$30,048.00				\$157,460.00				Federal Funds
\$262,560.00	\$90,150.00	\$50,048.00	\$1,693,125.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$304,461.00	\$62,075.00	\$188,388.00	\$349,952.00	Total Funds

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter

Page 28 of 45

ω	Goal	
თ	Action #	
AI	Student Group(s)	
Technology Enhancement	Title	
\$41,934.00	LCFF Funds	
	Other State Funds Local Funds	
	Local Funds	
	Federal Funds	
\$41,934.00	Total Funds	

				Schoolwide Total:	: \$0.00		\$0.00
Goal	Action #	Action Title	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	LCFF Funds	Total Funds
-	-	Support to increase student academic achievement	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$349,952.00	\$349,952.00
-	N	Teacher Retention: Coaching Support	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$188,388.00	\$188,388.00
N	<u>د</u>	Parent Coordinator	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter 6-8	\$62,075.00	\$62,075.00
N	N	Program Coordinator & School Culture Climate Team	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$147,001.00	\$304,461.00
N	ω	Student Activities	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
ω	N	Professional Development	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$20,000.00	\$50,048.00
4					Specific Schools: Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter		

Page 30 of 45

Contributing Expenditures Tables

Schoolwide Total:	Limited Total:	LEA-wide Total:	Total:	Totals by Type
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$782,416.00	\$782,416.00	Total LCFF Funds
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$969,924.00	\$969,924.00	Total Funds

Annual Update Table Year 1 [2021-22]

Annual update of the 2021-22 goals will occur during the 2022-23 update cycle.

		Last Year's Goal #
		Last Year's Goal # Action #
		Prior Action/Service Title
Totals:		Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?
Planned Expenditure Total		Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures
Estimated Actual Total		Total Estimated Actual Expenditures

Totals:

Instructions

Plan Summary

Stakeholder Engagement

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local COE, or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

planning process in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) using the template adopted by the State Board of Education. progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have ten state priorities). LEAs document the results of this The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires LEAs to engage their local stakeholders in an annual planning process to evaluate their

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions

- performance data. Local educational agencies (LEAs) should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students. Comprehensive Strategic Planning: The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California Education Code [EC] 52064(e)(1)). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning
- stakeholder engagement (EC 52064(e)(1)). Local stakeholders possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement: The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningfu LCAP Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the
- show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably: Accountability and Compliance: The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to
- 0 the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC 52064(b)(4-6)). Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to
- 0 Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC 52064(b)(1) & (2)).

 Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (<i>EC</i> 52064(b)(7)). The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEA's must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: a reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with stakeholders that (c) meest legal requirements as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template to not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a stakeholder engagement tool. If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in <i>EC</i> sections 52060, 52062, 52063, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned. The revised LCAP template for the 2021-22. 2022-23, and 2023-24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (committee on Budget), Chapter 243. Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and the public. At its most basic, the adopted LCAP is bould attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing, but also allow stakeholders to understand why, and whether these strategics and the public. In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and stakeholders negagement functions: Given present, berformance acro
The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for stakeholders and the public.
At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing, but also allow stakeholders to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse stakeholders and the broader public.
In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and stakeholder engagement functions:
Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?
LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics or a set of actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from stakeholders, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its students.
These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the purpose that each section serves.

Purpose

community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP

Requirements and Instructions

wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA's LCAP. enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA General Information – Briefly describe the students and community. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography,

students increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, and any other information, what progress is the LEA Reflections: Successes – Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the

using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? Other needs may be identified any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. "Orange" performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating AND (b) Reflections: Identified Need – Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or

LCAP Highlights – Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP

under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts: Comprehensive Support and Improvement – An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI)

- Schools Identified: Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI
- a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan Support for Identified Schools: Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included
- Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness: Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

provided to highlight the legal requirements for stakeholder engagement in the LCAP development process: Below is an excerpt from the 2018–19 Guide for Annual Audits of K–12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting, which is **Requirements and Instructions** advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE's website: https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/ Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals and actions shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP. Statute requires charter schools to consult superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school Statute and regulations specify the stakeholder groups that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers. the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section stakeholders that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged stakeholders and identified priorities (EC 52064(e)(1)). Stakeholder engagement is an ongoing, annual process. engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such stakeholder Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student Purpose Stakeholder Engagement Information and resources that support effective stakeholder engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for This section is designed to reflect how stakeholder engagement influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow Local Control and Accountability Plan: For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA: a b) If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate

with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate

- <u></u> Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to as appropriate be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3),
- d) Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate
- <u>e</u> Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate

Prompt 1: "A summary of the stakeholder process and how the stakeholder engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP."

strategies with stakeholders. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to stakeholder engagement A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required stakeholder groups as applicable to the type of LEA. Describe the stakeholder engagement process used by the LEA to involve stakeholders in the development of the LCAP, including, at a

Prompt 2: "A summary of the feedback provided by specific stakeholder groups."

trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from stakeholders Describe and summarize the stakeholder feedback provided by specific stakeholders. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas,

Prompt 3: "A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific stakeholder input."

õ purposes of this prompt, "aspects" of an LCAP that may have been influenced by stakeholder input can include, but are not necessarily limited stakeholder requests within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the response to the stakeholder feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in A sufficient response to this prompt will provide stakeholders and the public clear, specific information about how the stakeholder engagement

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for outcomes, actions, and expenditures performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected LEAs to clearly communicate to stakeholders and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to stakeholders what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to

student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing

Requirements and Instructions

are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics

Focus Goal(s)

the goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly Goal Description: The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including Complete the table as follows available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021-24 LCAP is adopted (e.g. graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–2021 outcomes on most recent available (e.g. high school graduation rate) of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the performance gaps identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with stakeholders, has determined to in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The Goal Description: Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals together will help achieve the goal Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected Goal Description: Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be Broad Goa focus goal relevant consultation with stakeholders. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year Measuring and Reporting Results. measuring progress toward the goal Maintenance of Progress Goal

- Metric: Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric
- associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above Baseline: Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data
- data applies, consistent with the instructions above. Year 1 Outcome: When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the
- data applies, consistent with the instructions above Year 2 Outcome: When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the
- data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year. Year 3 Outcome: When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the
- expects to achieve by the end of the 2023-24 LCAP year Desired Outcome for 2023-24: When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA

Timeline f	Timeline for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal	easuring and Repo	rting Results" part of	of the Goal.			
	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023-24)	
	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021– 22 .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021– 22 .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2022– 23 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2023– 24 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024– 25 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021– 22 .	
The metri applicable specify or use within tool for loo	The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority do specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a meuse within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-re tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.	e or qualitative; but ; ed state priorities, in .g., implementation o state priorities, LE/ ne Dashboard.	at minimum, an LEA each LCAP year as of state academic co As are encouraged to	's LCAP must includ applicable to the typ ntent and performan o use metrics based	e goals that are mea: e of LEA. To the exte ce standards), the LE on or reported throug	The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.	not tric to flection
Actions: I of the acti provided i as descrit LEA-wide the requin LCAP).	Actions : Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. B provided in the summary expenditure tables. Indicate whether the action contribut as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information the requirements in <i>California Code of Regulations</i> , Title 5 [5 <i>CCR</i>] Section 15496 LCAP).	ber. Provide a short nount of expenditure nditure tables. Indica or Improved Services the LEA will need to <i>Code of Regulations</i>	title for the action. The s associated with this the whether the actions section using a "Y" provide additional in Title 5 [5 CCR] Sec	nis title will also appe s action. Budgeted e n contributes to mee for Yes or an "N" for nformation in the Inc tion 15496(b) in the	ear in the expenditure expenditures from spectrum ting the increase or in No. (Note: for each reased or Improved Streased or Improved S	Actions: Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the expenditure tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary expenditure tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No. (Note: for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services Section to address the requirements in <i>California Code of Regulations</i> , Title 5 [5 <i>CCR</i>] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).	scription II be uirement 1 an 1ddress f the
Ac sut Se	Actions for English Learners: School districts, COEs, and charter school subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimu Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities :	<i>arners:</i> School distr specific actions in the students and profe	icts, COEs, and cha e LCAP related to, a ssional development	rter schools that hav t a minimum, the lan activities specific to	s that have a numerically signit m, the language acquisition pro specific to English learners.	Actions for English Learners: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in <i>EC</i> Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.	student <i>E</i> C
Ac sut	Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools tha subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed	<i>rth</i> : School districts, ed to include specific	COEs, and charter s actions in the LCAF	schools that have a r designed to meet n	t have a numerically significant Foster Youth students. to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.	Actions for Foster Youth : School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.	t
Goal Analysis:	lysis:						
Enter the	Enter the LCAP Year						

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter

achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in

- action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required
- single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for associated for stakeholders. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not al
- analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of stakeholders to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improved services for its unduplicated students as compared to all students and how LEA-wide or A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides stakeholders with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated

Requirements and Instructions

This section must be completed for each LCAP year.

years within the LCAP. Using the copy of the section, complete the section as required for the relevant LCAP year. Retain all prior year sections for each of the three When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, copy the "Increased or Improved Services" section and enter the appropriate LCAP year.

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter Page 42 of 45
In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school
After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low- income students is 7% lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])
For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:
Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.
As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.
 The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.
 The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and
 It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;
Principally Directed and Effective: An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:
For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.
For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.
Required Descriptions:
Increased Apportionment based on the enrollment of Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students: Specify the estimate of the amount of funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for the LCAP year.
Percentage to Increase or Improve Services: Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils: meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis: including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory. actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the Unduplicated Percentage < 55%: For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55%, describe how these actions principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above Unduplicated Percentage > 55%: For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55% or more, describe how these actions are Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis For School Districts Only described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as COEs and Charter Schools: Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action(s)) most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In]) These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100% attendance rate

2021-22 Local Control Accountability Plan for Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter

percentage required."

"A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the

unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year. description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement. This grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved

Expenditure Tables

Expenditure Tables. All information is entered into the Data Entry table. Do not enter data into the other tables Complete the Data Entry table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other

The following expenditure tables are required to be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Actions
- Table 2: Total Expenditures
- Table 3: Contributing Expenditures
- Table 4: Annual Update Expenditures

included The Data Entry table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be

In the Data Entry table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- Goal #: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- Action #: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- Action Title: Provide a title of the action.
- entering a specific student group or groups Student Group(s): Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All", or by
- "No" if the action is not included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services. Increased / Improved: Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns

- 0 unduplicated student groups. the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades Scope: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e. districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action
- 0 students receive Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all Unduplicated Student Group(s): Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups.
- 0 high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate. enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all must indicate "All Schools". If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must Location: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year", or "2 Years", or "6 Months".
- Personnel Expense: This column will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the following columns
- 0 Total Personnel: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action
- Total Non-Personnel: This amount will be automatically calculated.
- an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e. base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional LCFF Funds: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
- Other State Funds: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any
- Local Funds: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any
- Federal Funds: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any
- Total Funds: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns

School and Student Performance Data

	En	rollment By S	Student Grou	р				
	Stud	lent Enrollme	ent by Subgro	oup				
Student Group	Perc	ent of Enroll	ment	Nur	nber of Stude	ents		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19		
American Indian	%	%	%					
African American	0.3%	%	0.29%	1		1		
Asian	0.3%	0.30%	0.29%	1	1	1		
Filipino	%	0.30%	%		1			
Hispanic/Latino	99.4%	98.81%	98.83%	335	331	338		
Pacific Islander	%	%	%					
White	%	0.60%	0.58%		2	2		
Multiple/No Response	%	%	%					
		Total	Enrollment	% 1 .83% 335 335 331 % 2 % 2				

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

	Student Enrollment by	/ Grade Level	
Grade		Number of Students	
	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 6	109	114	119
Grade 7	119	103	118
Grade 8	109	118	105
Total Enrollment	337	335	342

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

For Grade 6 there has been consistent growth each year. In Grade 7 Student Enrollment in 16-17 was 119 with a drop to 103 students in 17-18 and in 18-19 it increased to 118 students. In Grade 8 16-17 had a student enrollment of 108, increased to 118 in 17-18 and decreased to105 in 18-19, Sixth graders maintained student enrollment, 7th graders maintained from 119 in 16-17 to 118 in 17-18.

Student Enrollment by Student Groups remains the same as the community is predominately Hispanic/Latino.

	Learner (
Student Group	Num	ber of Stu	dents	Perce	ent of Stud	dents
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
English Learners	115	96	91	34.1%	28.7%	26.6%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	206	217	218	61.1%	64.8%	63.7%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient	21	13	16	18.4%	11.3%	16.7%

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

<u>http://tpd.ypics.org</u> MORCS provides services to ELs. High-quality professional development is offered to train teachers to support our ELs and literacy across our program. MORCS uses Title III funds to provide all certificated staff with PD days to meet the needs of our English language learners. The Charter School has committed its resources to ensure that all students learn to listen, speak, read, and write English. The school provides a designated ELD Instructional program (Success For All) for every EL student to meet the linguistic and academic goals at their grade level and language learning needs. Professional Development for all certificated staff is aligned with the four interrelated principles at the foundation of the California EL Roadmap.

1. Assets-Oriented and Needs-Responsive School

a. The languages and cultures ELs bring to their education are assets for their learning and contribute to learning communities.

b. The needs of long-term ELs are vastly different from new arrivals.

c. School climate is affirming, inclusive, and safe

d. MORCS values and builds active family, school, and community partnerships

e. MORCS developed a collaborative framework for identifying ELs with disabilities and use valid assessment practices

2. Intellectual Quality of Instruction and Meaningful Access

a. Language development occurs in and through subject matter learning and is integrated across the curriculum, including integrated ELD and designated ELD (per the ELA/ELD Framework.

b. Students are provided a rigorous, intellectually vibrant, standards-based curriculum

c. Teaching and learning emphasize engagement, interaction, discourse, inquiry, and critical thinking with the same high expectations.

d. ELs are provided access to the full curriculum.

e. Students' home language is understood as a means to access subject matter content. f. Rigorous instructional materials support high levels of intellectual engagement.

g. ELs are provided choices of research-based language support/development programs

3. System Conditions that Support Effectiveness

a. Leaders maintain a systemic focus on continuous improvement and progress.

b. The school system invests adequate resources in supporting the conditions required to address EL needs. c. A method of culturally and linguistically valid and reliable assessment supports instruction, continuous improvement, and accountability.

4. Alignment and Articulation Within and Across Systems

a. EL educational approaches and programs are designed for continuity, alignment, and articulation across grade levels.

b. Schools plan schedules and resources to provide extra time in school.

c. El educational approaches and programs are designed to be consistent across schools.

Monseñor Oscar Romero will continue to support our students through a multi-faceted approach to language development. SFA will be provided to Els to support their acquisition to English. This includes new programs to be used as supplemental technology programs; such as, i-Ready that will provide individualized learning paths appropriate to each child's academic level. We will also continue to maintain our ELA/ELD Director of Instruction who will provide support and resources to teachers so that they can more effectively meet the needs of our EL students as they work through the rigorous CCSS. BCCS, with the assistance of the Parent Coordinator/Director of Operations, will also provide parent education workshops focusing on how parents can help support their ELs at home. In conjunction with ELD, it is essential to provide our students with culturally responsive teaching that seeks to understand and offer materials that represent a wide array of cultures and experiences so that students see themselves in their learning experiences and build confidence in their possibilities as learners. Access through technology and expertise will develop and scaffold student learning connecting them to experiences outside of their community-universal access.

Monseñor Oscar Romero monitors EL students through a robust formal and informal process. EL Snapshots and shared them with teachers, parents, and students. Each snapshot includes an EL profile that outlines goals and supports, student academic profiles (ELPAC scores, iReady levels, grades), and a follow-up section to reflect on the student's growth for the academic year. The goal was to mirror our practice with IEP Snapshots, placing emphasis on classroom supports and accommodations on domain-specific objectives. This practice has continued through our 20-21 school year. Students are measured by their growth on the State's English language assessments (EL PAC) and various other mechanisms during designated and integrated ELD. The measures include the following:

- Teacher observations, including but not limited to a review of the student's curriculum mastery and comparison of student performance in basic skills against an empirically established range of performance in basic skills based on the performance of English proficient students of the same age. Examples may include one of the following:
- o Local Assessments
- o i-Ready Assessments (Reading)
- o Publisher Assessments
- o Smarter Balance Summative Assessment Results

 \cdot Teachers monitor the progress of EL students towards reclassification in a variety of ways. o Discuss action steps for those students not meeting benchmarks

o Suggested intervention

o Implementation of intervention and acceleration

o Notification to teachers and parents regarding intervention

o Annual monitoring of intervention and program effectiveness

o Provide appropriate and additional education services when needed and annually evaluate the effectiveness of such services (after-school tutoring, homework help, support in math, etc.)

- · Support of instructional program includes Counselor, Parent Coordinator, and other support professionals.
- · Added Counselor to support student educational program and college and career assisting students to pursue their college preparation and goals.

					<u> </u>		racy (Al [•] All Stud		1(5)			
Grade Level	-	of Stude Enrollec		# of St	udents	Tested	# of S	Students	s with		of Enrol Students	
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	Sco res 17- 18	18-19	16-17	Tes ted 17- 18	18-19
Grade 6	109	116	118	108	115	118	107	115	118	99.1	99.1	100
Grade 7	120	107	119	119	106	119	119	106	119	99.2	99.1	100
Grade 8	107	116	103	107	116	101	107	113	101	100	100	98.1
All	336	339	340	334	337	338	333	334	338	99.4	99.4	99.4

CAASPP Results English Long

Grades * The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

					Over	all Achie	vement f	for All St	udents						
Grade Level	Mear	n Scale S	Score	%	5 Standa	ď	% S	tandard	Met	% Sta	andard N	learly	% S	standard	Not
					Exce eded				16-17 17-18 18-19		Met			Met	
Grade 6	2455.	2461.	2465.	1.87	2.61	3.39	11.21	19.13	22.03	39.25	27.83	31.36	47.66	50.43	43.22
Grade 7	2 2507.	7 2468.	4 2472.	5.88	0.94	5.88	26.89	16.98	15.13	28.57	28.30	21.01	38.66	53.77	57.98

Grade 8	5 2515.	5 2507.	8 2485.	8.41	2.65	2.97	19.63	20.35	16.83	37.38	36.28	25.74	34.58	40.71	54.46
All Grades	⁰N/A	⁷ N/A	³ N/A	5.41	2.10	4.14	19.52	18.86	18.05	34.83	30.84	26.04	40.24	48.20	51.78

Demonst	rating un	derstan	Readir ding of I	•	nd non-f	ictional	texts		
Grade Level	% Ab	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Star	ndard
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 6	5.61	6.96	4.24	33.64	33.04	38.98	60.75	60.00	56.78
Grade 7	9.24	3.77	5.04	46.22	39.62	31.93	44.54	56.60	63.03
Grade 8	14.95	9.73	4.95	37.38	35.40	38.61	47.66	54.87	56.44
All Grades	9.91	6.89	4.73	39.34	35.93	36.39	50.75	57.19	58.88

	Produ	icing cle	Writin ar and p	•	ul writing	9			
Grade Level	% Ab	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Star	ndard
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 6	4.67	6.09	11.02	39.25	33.04	49.15	56.07	60.87	39.83
Grade 7	13.56	2.83	5.88	51.69	51.89	41.18	34.75	45.28	52.94
Grade 8	9.43	2.94	7.92	51.89	45.10	43.56	38.68	51.96	48.51
All Grades	9.37	4.02	8.28	47.73	43.03	44.67	42.90	52.94	47.04

[Demonst	rating ef	Listeni fective c	•	ication s	kills			
Grade Level	% Ab	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Star	ndard
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 6	1.87	4.35	5.93	64.49	62.61	58.47	33.64	33.04	35.59

Grade 7	5.08	1.89	5.04	59.32	56.60	57.14	35.59	41.51	37.82
Grade 8	4.67	4.42	2.97	65.42	67.26	57.43	29.91	28.32	39.60
All Grades	3.92	3.59	4.73	62.95	62.28	57.69	33.13	34.13	37.57

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information												
Grade Level	% Ab	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Star	ndard			
	16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18											
Grade 6	9.35	16.52	4.24	42.06	46.96	53.39	48.60	36.52	42.37			
Grade 7	21.19	8.49	9.24	44.92	46.23	42.86	33.90	45.28	47.90			
Grade 8	17.76	13.27	8.91	42.99	50.44	43.56	39.25	36.28	47.52			
All Grades	16.27 12.87 7.40 43.37 47.90 46.75 40.36 39.22											

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Monseñor Oscar Romero has shown a SBAC 2018-2019 English Language Arts as -73.7 points below standards (declining 4.7 points), English Learner Progress shows a 52.8% making progress towards English language proficiency. Internal data on i-Ready reflects student growth. MORCS will access for student need, provide differentiated instruction, monitor student achievement, and revise application of teaching as needed. Targeted intervention and acceleration will be provided based on the analysis of formative & summative data.

Source: iReady February 2021

Reading: The i-Ready data shows 11% of students scoring at proficient in Reading, an increase of 14% in June of 2020. A decrease of 7% was realized in August of 2020 and today an increase of 6% as of January 2021. Mathematics: The i-Ready data shows 6% of students scoring at proficient in Reading, an increase of 19% or a 13% increase in June of 2020. A decrease of 8% was realized in August of 2020 and today an increase of 17% or an increase of 6% as of January 2021

Students in 7th and 8th grade demonstrated far stronger median typical and stretch growth levels than 6th-grade students. While the % of students who met typical growth ranged from 36-61% at each grade level, the median growth measures were clearly above 100% at 7th and 8th grade, demonstrating that overall academic progress is going well for these grade levels! Further analysis of the starkly lower growth scores in 6th grade is necessary. The testing window has just concluded, and our instructional team is scheduled to analyze this data to determine root causes and next actions aimed at supporting high-need students and strengthening our instructional program.

CAASPF	P Results
Mathematics	(All Students)

			C	Overall F	Participa	ation for	All Stud	dents						
Grade Level	-	of Stude Enrollec		# of St	of Students Tested		# of Students with					% of Enrolled Students		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	Sco res 17- 18	18-19	16-17	Tes ted 17- 18	18-19		
Grade 6	109	116	118	107	114	118	107	114	118	98.2	98.3	100		
Grade 7	120	107	119	119	103	119	119	103	119	99.2	96.3	100		

Grade 8	107	116	103	106	114	100	106	113	100	99.1	98.3	97.1
All	336	339	340	332	331	337	332	330	337	98.8	97.6	99.1

Grades * The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

					Overa	all Achie	vement f	for All St	udents						
Grade Level			ď	% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not					
					Exce eded				16-17 17-18 18-19		Met			Met	
Grade 6	2438.	2447.	2450.	1.87	5.26	3.39	7.48	8.77	15.25	27.10	21.93	27.97	63.55	64.04	53.39
Grade 7	8 2474.	1 2437.	9 2446.	2.52	0.97	2.52	13.45	6.80	8.40	33.61	25.24	21.85	50.42	66.99	67.23
Grade 8	0 2509.	4 2486.	1 2441.	14.15	7.08	1.00	13.21	10.62	1.00	25.47	20.35	25.00	47.17	61.95	73.00
	⁷ N/A	7N/A	⁴N/A	6.02	4.55	2.37	11.45	8.79	8.61	28.92	22.42	24.93	53.61	64.24	64.09
All Grades															

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures												
Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
	16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 6	2.80	7.96	4.24	22.43	16.81	31.36	74.77	75.22	64.41			
Grade 7	8.40	2.91	5.88	28.57	20.39	21.01	63.03	76.70	73.11			
Grade 8	19.81 9.82 1.00 23.58 22.32 17.00 56.60 67.86 82.0											

All Grades 10.	24 7.01	Grades	3.86	25.00	19.82	23.44	64.76	73.17	72.70
----------------	---------	--------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems												
Grade Level	% Ab	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Star	ndard			
	16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 6	2.80	3.51	6.78	33.64	34.21	36.44	63.55	62.28	56.78			
Grade 7	5.04	0.97	4.20	49.58	25.24	31.09	45.38	73.79	64.71			
Grade 8	16.04	8.85	1.00	30.19	43.36	31.00	53.77	47.79	68.00			
All Grades	7.83 4.55 4.15 38.25 34.55 32.94 53.92 60.91 62.91											

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions												
Grade Level	or Near Standard % Below Standard											
	16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 6	5.61	3.51	5.08	26.17	28.95	37.29	68.22	67.54	57.63			
Grade 7	4.20	0.97	5.04	48.74	42.72	42.86	47.06	56.31	52.10			
Grade 8	15.09	6.19	2.00	51.89	39.82	40.00	33.02	53.98	58.00			
All Grades	8.13 3.64 4.15 42.47 36.97 40.06 49.40 59.39 55.79											

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Monseñor Oscar Romero has shown a SBAC Mathematics as -119.8 point below standard (declined 11.5 Points), English Learner Progress shows a 52.8% making progress towards English language proficiency. Internal data on i-Ready reflects student growth. MORCS will access for student need, provide differentiated instruction, monitor student achievement, and revise application of teaching as needed. Targeted intervention and acceleration will be provided based on the analysis of formative & summative data.

Based on April's Math diagnostic scores, the median of MORCS student growth scores is 100%, which means that on average students met expectations in terms of annual reading growth! Since the median is 100% in April, presumably students will see above 100% growth before the end of the school year in June.

Math growth metrics are more modest than those in Reading. However, there is still much to be encouraged by. In particular, high levels of success are observed at the 8th-grade level, with 150% Median progress towards Annual Typical growth. The median growth scores in math show a trend of increasing as grade levels go up and the percentage of students who met their annual typical growth. As before, our team is scheduled to take a deep dive into this data to determine the reason for this trend and the next steps to address the data.

	ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students													
Grade Level	Level Students Te													
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19						
Grade 6	1510.3	1509.7	1518.9	1526.8	1501.0	1492.1	35	33						
Grade 7	1490.3	1527.7	1475.6	1540.8	1504.6	1514.3	33	25						
Grade 8	1502.7	1530.1	1509.0	1539.5	1496.0	1520.3	28	34						

ELPAC Results

All Grades				96	92

	Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students													
Grade Level					Level 3 Level 2			el 1	Total N of Stu	lumber dents				
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19				
6	*	9.09	34.29	33.33	*	39.39	*	18.18	35	33				
7	*	8.00	36.36	44.00	*	32.00	33.33	16.00	33	25				
8	*	17.65	*	38.24	*	32.35	*	11.76	28	34				
All Grades	19.79	11.96	32.29	38.04	22.92	34.78	25.00	15.22	96	92				

	Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level			Lev	Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	
6	45.71	36.36	*	39.39	*	12.12	*	12.12	35	33	
7	33.33	32.00	*	52.00	*	4.00	33.33	12.00	33	25	
8	57.14	38.24	*	32.35	*	17.65	*	11.76	28	34	

All Grades	44.79	35.87	25.00	40.22	*	11.96	22.92	11.96	96	92
------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	---	-------	-------	-------	----	----

	Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
6		0.00	*	0.00	34.29	33.33	54.29	66.67	35	33
7	*	0.00	*	4.00	36.36	64.00	39.39	32.00	33	25
8	*	2.94	*	23.53	*	50.00	*	23.53	28	34
All Grades	*	1.09	17.71	9.78	33.33	47.83	42.71	41.30	96	92

	Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderat ely		Begiı	Beginning		lumber Idents		
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19		
6	31.43	9.09	37.14	45.45	31.43	45.45	35	33		
7	*	4.00	60.61	60.00	*	36.00	33	25		
8	*	8.82	57.14	58.82	*	32.35	28	34		
All Grades	26.04	7.61	51.04	54.35	22.92	38.04	96	92		

	Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students							
Grade Level	Well De	veloped	Somewhat/Moderat ely		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
6	85.71	78.79	*	15.15	*	6.06	35	33

7	54.55	84.00	*	8.00	*	8.00	33	25
8	64.29	64.71	*	23.53	*	11.76	28	34
All Grades	68.75	75.00	15.63	16.30	15.63	8.70	96	92

	Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderat ely		Beginning		Total Number of Students			
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19		
6	*	0.00	*	18.18	77.14	81.82	35	33		
7	*	0.00	*	32.00	66.67	68.00	33	25		
8	*	8.82	*	32.35	64.29	58.82	28	34		
All Grades	*	3.26	22.92	27.17	69.79	69.57	96	92		

	Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well De	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderat ely		Beginning		lumber Idents		
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19		
6	*	3.03	80.00	72.73	*	24.24	35	33		
7	*	0.00	75.76	84.00	*	16.00	33	25		
8	*	2.94	64.29	85.29	*	11.76	28	34		
All Grades	*	2.17	73.96	80.43	17.71	17.39	96	92		

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

More English Learners and students with IEPs are two or more grade levels behind in Reading and Math when compared with English-speaking students, by order of about 40%. As the data shows, both English Learners' modest levels of growth were achieved in Reading and Math and students with IEPs from August 2020 to April 2021. Much work remains to effectively support our English Learner and resource student populations in increasing their academic achievement. For now, we are celebrating the small increases from each diagnostic to the next. 1. Continue professional development activities initiated in the 2019-2020 school year focused on CCSS, ELD for ELs, and additional support for SPED sub-groups.

EL students will continue to support gaining CCSS-aligned academic content knowledge via differentiated instruction in the classroom and direct ELD instruction 4 days/week.
 Provide appropriate intervention and support for EL students via technology-based and differentiated instruction, intervention support, enrichment, and progress monitoring with ELD Profile and retell assessments. 4. Monsenor Oscar Romero Charter will continue to incorporate an ELD program that will target students who are struggling with the development of their English Language skills that will include the following goals: Ongoing measurement of each EL student's progress toward English language proficiency through the use of ELD monitoring templates. Use of SFA to assist EL students.

Ongoing professional development in sheltered instruction for all subject area teachers, primarily using the SDAIE model. Opportunities for ELD level 1 and 2 students to receive additional instructional support during the Reading and Block. Further receiving support with the use of selected computer assisted-learning

MORCS' English Learners Reclassification of 11.3% in the school year 2017-2018, the Los Angeles Unified School District (6-8) 20.1% and for the State of California (6-8) 14.6% For the 2018-2019 MORCS realized a reclassification of 16.7%, Los Angeles Unified School District (6-8) realized 22.8% an increase of 2.7%. The State of California (6-8) realized a 13.8% decrease of .8%. For the 2019-2020 MORCS realized a reclassification of 4.4%, Los Angeles Unified School District (6-8) realized 15.5%, a decrease of 7%. The State of California (6-8) realized 13.8% maintaining the same level...

Hispanic or Latino Students scored 20.79% in English Language Arts and 13.34% in Mathematics. In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 an increase of 1.43% in English Language Arts of 22.22%. In Mathematics, a decrease of 2.27% of 10.84%. English Learners scored 2.11% in English Language Arts and 1.09% in Mathematics. In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 a decrease in both English Language Arts and Mathematics of 0%. The change of English Language to a 4. Economically Disadvantaged scored 21.84% in English Language Arts and 13.97% in Mathematics. In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 Economically Disadvantaged realized a decrease of .3% in English Language Arts of 21.84%. In Mathematics, this subgroup showed a decrease of 3.03% of 10.94%.

	2018-19 Stud	ent Population		
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 95.3	high school diploma. English Learners	both the English Language and in their academic courses. Foster Youth	
342		26.6	0.9	
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in	This is the percent of students whose well- being is the responsibility of a court.	

2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group						
Student Group	Total	Percentage				

English Learners 91 26.6 Foster Youth 3 0.9 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 326 95.3

Students with Disabilities 40 11.7

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity						
Student Group	Total	Percentage				

African American 1 0.3 Asian 1 0.3 Hispanic 338 98.8 White 2 0.6

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Hispanic or Latino Students scored 20.79% in English Language Arts and 13.34% in Mathematics. In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 an increase of 1.43% in English Language Arts of 22.22%. In Mathematics a decrease of 2.27% of 10.84%. English Learners scored 2.11% in English Language Arts and 1.09% in Mathematics. In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 an decrease in both English Language Arts and Mathematics of 0%. The change of English Language to a 4. Economically Disadvantaged scored 21.84% in English Language Arts and 13.97% in Mathematics.In the school year 2017-2018. In 2018-2019 Economically Disadvantaged realized an decrease of .3% in English Language Arts of 21.84%. In Mathematics this subgroup showed a decrease of 3.03% of 10.94%.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students



Our MORCS team conducted a root cause analysis of our ELA scores being 73.7 points distant from the standard schoolwide and by greater margins for our subgroups. The MORCS team expressed interest in using Newsela as a resource for informational text. Newsela provides access to relevant leveled articles and allows students to practice reading comprehension and writing skills through the built-in quiz and writing features. Diagnostic reports give us access to view current student levels of performance in reading. Additionally, teachers can view students by instructional groups and receive guidance on differentiated instruction, instructional priorities, and recommendations for teacher-led instruction. Starting in 2019-2020, the Instructional Leadership Team (LIT) has provided a higher level of academic support to all teachers. This support includes weekly lesson plan reviews and feedback, weekly classroom walkthroughs and feedback, weekly coaching meetings based on student data and teacher observations. Our team also conducted a root cause analysis of our Math scores being 119.8 distant from the standard schoolwide and by greater margins for our subgroups. Since 2019, School Tutoring has been offered to support students who are struggling academically. Also, in 2019, we implemented a Math Support instructional block to provide targeted support for students who have demonstrated low proficiency scores on i-Ready.

In 2020, Saturday School was offered to high-need students and interested students to provide additional instructional time and Art enrichment for students. In 2019, a Math competition club was created to create an enrichment opportunity for students to celebrate their love of math and further practice and apply math concepts.

MORCS Academic Aides provide support to students during class and after school. Academic Aides place to focus on the four domains (reading, writing, speaking, listening) and support students in all core classes. Academic Aides collaborate with grade levels to calibrate what support will look like during class to ensure student success. Additionally, Academic Aides focus specifically on our at-risk population and provide support after school in small group settings.

The EL Academic Aides also create EL snapshots and share them with teachers. Each snapshot includes an individualized EL profile that outlines goals and supports, their academic profiles (ELPAC scores, i-Ready levels, grades), and a follow-up section to reflect on the student's growth for the academic year. The goal was to mirror our practice with IEP Snapshots, emphasizing classroom supports and accommodations on domain-specific objectives. This practice has continued through our 20-21 school year.

When lesson planning, teachers must create bite-sized learning objectives to help them measure and monitor student progress in a more precise manner. Teachers use a know/show chart to unpack a standard and state what it requires students to know and how they will need to show or demonstrate mastery. Essentially, teachers go through a process that allows them to micromanage how they choose to collect data throughout their lessons to drive their instruction. The know/show process is part of our weekly lesson planning and is a required field in our weekly lesson plan template.

These practices have allowed teachers to identify learning gaps for all students, including our at-risk students, and plan re-teaching or accommodations accordingly.

More English Learners and students with IEPs are two or more grade levels behind in Reading and Math compared with English-speaking students, by approximately 40%. As the data shows, both the school's English Learners' modest levels of growth were achieved in Reading and Math and students with IEPs from August 2020 to April 2021. Much work remains to effectively support our English Learner and resource student populations in increasing their academic achievement. For now, the school is celebrating the small increases from each diagnostic to the next.

While distance learning has been challenging for most students, we have seen some levels of success. Particularly those with behavioral challenges have reduced the amount of acting out behavior that might have taken place in the face-to-face classroom setting. Students with special needs have also benefited from connecting with a teacher individually during office hours. They can ask questions, seek feedback, and get support without the stigma that comes with not grasping concepts they feel are difficult readily.

Academic Performance English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Highest Perform



Lowest Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue This section ance

provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report



$4\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group







This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Conclusions based on this data:	
	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
140.2 points below standard	73.6 points below standard	85 points below standard
Declined -9.7 points	Declined -7.6 points	Declined -3.5 points
83	121	30

1.

The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is red. Monsen~or Oscar Romero's 2019 Average DFS was -73.7%, which is lower than the State 2019 Average DFS at -2.5%. Language Arts: scores declining for the past 2 years (net -8%). Due to the Pandemic not score on the CAASPP were realized. Math scores declining for the past 2 years (net -8%) for 2020-2021 are in progress. Mathematics: The CAASPP data shows 2017-2018, 13.34% of students scoring proficient in English Language Arts. Due to the Pandemic not score on the CAASPP were realized. Scores for 2020-2021

English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Academic Performance Mathematics

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest

Highest

Perform

Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue This section ance

provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance,

specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group





Filipino	No Performance Color
White	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
	2

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Conclusions based on this data:	English Only
199.9 points below standard	Reclassified English Learners	121 points below standard
Declined Significantly -	112.7 points below standard	Increased ++3 points
31.1 points 81	Declined -5.5 points	30
	121	

1.

The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is red. Monsen~or Oscar Romero's 2019 Average DFS was -119.8%, which is lower than the State's 2019 Average DFS at -33.5%. Math scores declining for past 2 years (net -11.5%) further Math data on SBAC indicates that a stronger implementation plan, racial justice and equity issues, and addressing student attitudes toward Mathematics

Analysis support the results were due to the following:

. Administrative/teacher turnover (8th-grade math)

. Need for fidelity to SFA curriculum (ELA and Math)

. Chronic behavior issues disrupting instruction

. Local Elementary schools reporting lower CAASPP proficiency.

Consistent usage of ALEKS in all math classrooms, using ALEKS competitions to set clear goals and to encourage students.

Consistent after school tutoring for ELA and Math.

For 2019-20, adopt new math curriculum and train teacher in implementation.

Academic Performance English Learner Progress This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

English Learner Progress

No Performance Color

52.8 making progress towards English language proficiency Number of EL Students: 89

Performance Level: Medium

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
17	25	2	45

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

All numerically significant subgroups have "Status/Distance From Standard (DFS)" scores are below the statewide averages in ELA, as follows: English Learners at -100.7 vs. -45.1 points; Latino at -73.9 vs. -26.6 points; Socioeconomically Disadvantaged at -74.1 vs. -30.1 points; and Students with Disabilities at -133.0 vs. -88.1 points. English Learner progress shows 52.8% are making progress towards English language proficiency, performance level is Medium. Fall 2019 Dashboard on Student English Language Acquisition results 17% decrease of one ELPI level. 25% maintained ELPI Level 1, and 2% maintained ELPI Level 4. Progress at least one ELPI level is 45% moving towards English language proficiency.

Created English Learner Snapshots for each EL student. Set individual goals and supports collaboratively with students, parents, teachers, and tutors. Tutors coach and support individual students and track progress.

A11: The school reclassifies English Learners at 4.4%, which is at a rate lower than the state average at 13.8%. The school's leadership has noted in response to the high At-Risk rate:

- · Academic Aides providing support to students during class and after school.
- Success for All classes to give us the opportunity to focus on closing gaps that students have in reading and writing.
- \cdot Unpacking standards and creating bite-sized learning outcomes.

Academic Performance College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order: color.

Lowest

Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue This

Highest Performance

section provides number of student groups in each

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group

All Students

Homeless

English Learners

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

Class of 2017

Prepared Approaching Prepared Not Prepared

MORCS' Career Ready Practices are taught and reinforced in all career exploration and preparation programs with increasingly higher levels of complexity and expectation as a student advances through our educational program of study.

The alignment matrices include the subjects of Common Core English language arts and mathematics standards; history/social studies standards, and Next Generation Science Core Ideas. Pathway Standards include CTC's 15 industry sectors contain multiple pathways. In order to be identified and listed for an industry sector, each pathway had to meet the following criteria: • unique to an industry sector • has an occupational focus • consistent in size and scope • composed of similar functions • inclusive of all aspects of the industry • includes 8–12 pathway-specific standards • demonstrates sequence potential

• reasonable and appropriate for high school • leads to high-skill, high-wage, or high-demand jobs • sustainable and viable over the next 10 yea

https://www.cde.ca.gov/ci/ct/sf/documents/infocomtech.pdf

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest

Highest Perform

Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue This section ance

provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report



00220

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

All Students	No Performance Color	Yellow
Green 6.8	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	10.6 Declined -3.4
Declined -0.8 351	0	94
	English Learners	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Homeless		

	Foster Youth	Students with Disabilities
Green		
6.9 Declined -0.7	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students -	Yellow 12.2
335	Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Declined -2.4
	3	41

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
No Performance	No Performance	No Performance	No Performance
Color	Color	Color	Color
Less than 11	Less than 11	Less than 11	Less than 11
Students - Data Not			
Displayed for Privacy	Displayed for Privacy	Displayed for Privacy	Displayed for Privacy
1	0	1	0

Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
Green 6.9 Declined -0.8 347	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

The Performance Colors indicate 2 students in Yellow and 2 in Green. All student results reflect a decline -.08 or 6.8. English Learners are the population that had the largest decline of -3.4 Yellow 10.6.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group



2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American	Hispanic	Yellow 12.1	American Indian
No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data ^{Not}		Declined Significantly -3.1 354	Two or More Races
Displayed for Privacy 1			Asian

No Performance Color	Pacific Islander	White	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data ^{Not} Displayed for Privacy 1	Filipino		Less than 11 Students - Data ^{Not} ^{Displayed for Privacy} 2

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

 2017
 2018
 2019

 15
 12

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is yellow. Monsen~or Oscar Romero's percentage of students suspended at least once was 12.0%, which was higher than the State's at 3.4%. The school's leadership has noted the following:

- · Hiring a New Executive Administrator and Coordinator of Culture and Climate.
- · Hiring of Coordinator of Student Services and School Culture and Climate Manager.
- Student behavior management trainings with Restorative Practices as well as full PD days dedicated to training staff on providing student with socio- emotional supports.
- Positive Behavior Support Systems Team meets and monitors the highest need students in terms of behavioral intervention and socioemotional support.
- · Student Success and Progress teams of staff and family members to assist students in improving poor academic, behavioral and attendance metrics. relationships among students and teachers is a critical area for the school.

Suspension rate increased for English Learners increase .3%, and Students with Disabilities 2.4% .A decline of 2.9% for Social Economically Disadvantaged and Hispanic has declined 3.1%. Using the Multi-Tiered process schoolwide is in place, with additional support and intervention provided through social emotional counseling. Key is making sure that suspended students are offered educational opportunities while on suspension.