

20-21 School Advisory Council June 1, 2021

MEETING MINUTES

Members Present.							
Students	Parents	Teachers / Staff/ Community Leader					
Alexander Ramirez		Rosalinda Carranza	Р	Jamie Josselyn	Р	Johnny Baca	Р
Leslie Castaneda		Patricia Castaneda		Sherri Preston	Р	Kevin Myers	Р
Monique Hernandez	Р	Ignacio Ruiz	Р	Nayeli Duenas	Р	Diana Gamez	
				Mariana Myers	Р		
				Joseph Arreola	Р		

🗸 Quorum is met

Item 1. Call to Order

• Time:

Item 2. Introductions:

- Name
- Role at school

Item 3. Data Review: iReady Diagnostic Results (May 2021):

Typical Growth vs. Stretch Growth:

The iReady diagnostic represents and measures growth using two data points. The first, *typical growth*, is determined based on their initial diagnostic score. iReady gathers normative data from all iReady users from diagnostics taken across the country. Typical growth is based on all students with the same score from across the country. For example, students scoring one grade level below may be expected to grow 20 points over the course of the year. Students two grade levels below may be expected to grow 25. It is all based on the growth of similar students across the country. *Stretch growth* is the growth students need to achieve to be on grade level by the end of the year, or as soon as possible. At BCCS, we are working with our teachers to aim for stretch growth for each student with the hope that we achieve at least our typical growth.

(Data on next page)

Schoolwide Data

Fall 2020: December (Mid-Year Diagnostic) Reading Data:

Subject	School		
Reading -	Bert Corona Charter Middl 🔻		
Academic Year	Comparison Diagnostic	Placeme	ent Definition
Current Year	▼ D 2: MS:	▼ End-c	of-Year View 👻
	11/30/20 - 12/18/2	.0	
Students Assessed Progress to An	I/Total: 304/349 nual Typical Growth (Median)	Learn more abo	put growth 🕞
		42%	The median percent progress towards Typical Growth for this school is 42%. Typical growth is the average annual growth for a student at their grade and placement level.
	50%	100%	

Spring 2021: May (End of Year) Reading Data

Subject	School		
Reading -	Bert Corona Charter Middl 💌		
Academic Year	Comparison Diagnostic	Placemen	nt Definition
Current Year	 Bert Corona D 3 	 End-of 	f-Year View 👻
	05/10/21 - 05/21/2	1	
Students Assessed	d/Total: 285/349		
Progress to Ar	nual Typical Growth (Median)	Learn more abou	ut growth (D)
	Ι	★ 139%	The median percent progress towards Typical Growth for this school is 139%. Typical growth is the average annual growth for a student at their grade and placement level.
	50%	100%	

Overall typical growth for the year was met at 139% in reading. This means that our students far exceeded expectations based on national normative data. This result is especially exciting because we had only achieved 42% of our typical growth half way through the year, in December. This means we grew almost 100% in one semester!

When broken down by grade level, we can see where some of our strengths lie instructionally:

Show Results By Grade Showing 4 of 4						
	Annual Typical Growth	h (i)	Annual Stretch Growt	h (i)		
Grade	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met	% Students with Improved Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 5	69%	45%	30%	9%	45%	11/11
Grade 6	✓ 136%	54%	50%	37%	50%	76/103
Grade 7	✓ 153%	56%	54%	27%	58%	105/121
Grade 8	✓ 139%	53%	50%	32%	57%	93/114

Additionally, when broken down by teacher, we gain even more insight into classrooms where we need to capitalize on the strengths being used and where more support needs to be given.

5th Grade:

Results for 5th grade can been seen above, as there is only one group of 11 students. My goal for next year is to increase the time departments can work together so teachers, like our 5th grade teacher, can learn engagement strategies from the rest of the team. This teacher is very engaging in science and history, but needs to collaborate to learn some more strategies in ELA and math.

6th Grade:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		© Chudante with Inspected	
Class	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
English-6th - Nevins - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-949 Nevins, T.	✓ 221%	58%	82%	47%	58%	19/25
English-6th - Nevins - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Nevins, T.	✓ 136%	53%	50%	29%	47%	17/26
English-6th - Spoden - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-948 Spoden, E.	✓ 200%	67%	75%	43%	57%	21/26
English-6th - Spoden - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Spoden, E.	42%	37%	16%	26%	37%	19/26

Interestingly for 6th grade, we saw over 100% growth, even 200+ in some classes, but one class only grew 42% of their typical growth.

7th Grade:

English-7th - Pistilli - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9500 Pistilli, K.	✓ 165%	59%	56%	26%	63%	27/30
English-7th - Pistilli - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Pistilli, K.	✓ 100%	52%	34%	20%	52%	25/30
English-7th - Walter - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9497 Walter, B.	11%	42%	4%	23%	42%	26/30
English-7th - Walter - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Walter, B.	✓ 212%	70%	80%	37%	74%	27/31

Similar to our results in 6th grade reading, one class was significantly less successful than the other three. In speaking with the team, both the math/science and English/SS teachers agree that this group, which only grew 11%, is a very unmotivated and difficult-to-engage class. Through observation of the teachers working with all four groups, I have concluded that the teacher, Mr. Walter, does a great job when his class is engaged (see the period 4 group that grew 212%). However, we need to support him to push the rigor, depth of thought, and engagement in his classes.

8th Grade:

English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-95 Josselyn, J.	70%	38%	25%	23%	58%	26/29
English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-96 Josselyn, J.	✓ 263%	67%	87%	46%	67%	24/30
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	78%	48%	28%	33%	52%	21/27
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	✓ 164%	59%	59%	27%	50%	22/28

In 8th grade, we only have one teacher who teaches four cohorts of students. The results vary depending on the group, so my approach will be to meet with the teacher to discuss the differences in the cohort and what

strategies she could use to differentiate to meet the needs of the students in all classes. That is, the strategies that work in one group may not hold for the others. The ability for small group instruction in person will aid in this teacher's success, as she has strong relationships with students and works well in small group instruction scenarios.

Fall 2020: December (Mid-Year Diagnostic) Math Data:

Subject School Math	Corona Charter Middl 👻			
Academic Year	Comparison Diagnostic		Placement	Definition
Current Year	▼ D 2: MS:	•	End-of-	Year View 👻
Students Assessed/Total: 2	11/30/20 - 12/18/20 85/349			
Progress to Annual Ty	pical Growth (Median)	Learn m	nore about	: growth (b)
		33%	<u>,</u>	The median percent progress towards Typical Growth for this school is 33%. Typical growth is the
	1		•	average annual growth for a student at their grade and placement level.
	50%	100%		

Spring 2021: May (End of Year) Math Data

Subject Math	School Bert Corona C	harter Middl 🝷		
Academic Year		Comparison Diagnostic	Placemen	ent Definition
Current Year	-	Bert Corona D 3	▼ End-of	of-Year View 🔹
		05/10/21 - 05/21/21		
Students Assesse Progress to A	ed/Total: 253/349 nnual Typical G	rowth (Median)	Learn more abou	out growth 🕞
	1		✓ 100%	The median percent progress towards Typical Growth for this school is 100%. Typical growth is the average annual growth for a student at their grade and placement level.
	50%		100%	

Similar to our reading data, we were behind in growth in December 2020. In math, we had only achieved 33% of our typical growth for the year, leaving a mountainous 67% we still needed to accomplish. When we tested in May, our students achieved 100% typical growth, meaning our school met expected growth for the year based on normative data.

When broken down into grade level, a divide between our lower grades and upper grade levels is apparent. This divide can be attributed to two reasons, and we can further see evidence of this when we look at individual student scores and cohorts scores.

- 1. Students typically enter our school scoring low on standardized tests, then grow during their three to four years with BCCS. This leads to stronger outcomes in the latter years of middle school.
- 2. Our most experienced teacher is in 7th grade, where we saw the most growth. Mr. Mir, our 8th grade math teacher is a new teacher this year, so I would attribute his success to a herculean effort, the level of achievement students reaching during their first three years at BCCS, and the support of our most experienced resource teacher and tutoring team.

	Annual Typical Growth		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		© Otudaata with Immanud	
Grade 🔹	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 5	70%	40%	38%	10%	50%	10/11
Grade 6	80%	40%	38%	17%	48%	75/103
Grade 7	✓ 123%	57%	56%	21%	61%	89/121
Grade 8	✓ 120%	54%	48%	25%	54%	79/114

5th Grade math scores (see above)

6th Grade math scores:

Math-6th - Contreras - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9493 Contreras, M.	93%	47%	50%	16%	63%	19/26
Math-6th - Contreras - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Contreras, M.	86%	42%	43%	32%	42%	19/25
Math-6th - Duenas - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9496_2 Duenas, N.	50%	38%	22%	13%	50%	16/26
Math-6th - Duenas - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-973 Duenas, N.	71%	33%	33%	10%	38%	21/26

In 6th grade, we have two of our least experienced math teachers, which, combined with lower scores for incoming students, led to overall lower scores in this grade level. However, a great majority of students in two of our cohorts were able to achieve their typical growth. Moreover, approximately 50% of those students were able to meet their stretch growth, which is on par with our more experienced teacher in 7th grade. For next year, I will encourage more collaborative planning between our more experienced, stronger teacher, and with the BCCS math team as a whole, allowing all teachers to learn from each other and to utilize the most effective strategies.

7th Grade math scores:

Math-7th - Arreola - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9501_2 Arreola, J.	92%	50%	47%	20%	50%	20/30
Math-7th - Arreola - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-974 Arreola, J.	✓ 113%	55%	59%	5%	55%	20/30
Math-7th - Myers - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9503_20 Myers, M.	✓ 142%	69%	67%	19%	73%	26/31
Math-7th - Myers - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9750 Myers, M.	✓ 100%	52%	52%	39%	61%	23/30

Our 7th grade team saw very strong results, both in typical and in stretch growth. Our most experienced teacher was able to achieve 142% typical growth with one of her classes and 67% stretch growth in the same cohort, meaning a majority of our students are on track to hit grade level equivalency in the next year or so.

8th Grade math scores:

Class Q	Progress (Median)	% Met 👙	Progress (Median) 🖒	% Met 🖕	% Students with Improved Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9508_20 Mir, A.	11%	41%	5%	12%	29%	17/27
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9626_20 Mir, A.	83%	42%	32%	16%	47%	19/28
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9756 Mir, A.	✓ 146%	63%	57%	38%	63%	24/29
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9757 Mir, A.	✓ 158%	68%	65%	32%	74%	19/30

8th grade math classes are taught by one teacher. There is a large gap between the success rates on the classes, so my strategy will be to down with this teacher to assist him on zeroing in on what strategies were successful and how he can replicate his success in all classes.

Subgroup Data: English Learners

EL Reading Results:

English Learner	Annual Typical Growt	Annual Typical Growth ()		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		
	Progress (Median) 👋	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 👋	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Yes - English Learner	76%	48%	28%	24%	45%	86/106
No - English Learner	✓ 160%	57%	56%	33%	60%	181/222
Not Reported	84%	50%	28%	39%	56%	18/21

EL Reading Results by Grade Level:

Grade	Annual Typical Growth		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Students with Improved	
	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 5	55%	50%	24%	0%	50%	4/4
Grade 6	66%	46%	25%	25%	38%	24/32
Grade 7	✓ 141%	55%	48%	24%	48%	33/40
Grade 8	50%	40%	18%	28%	48%	25/30

6th Grade:

English-6th - Nevins - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-949 Nevins, T.	✓ 142%	50%	53%	50%	50%	6/8
English-6th - Nevins - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Nevins, T.	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5/6
English-6th - Spoden - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-948 Spoden, E.	✓ 105%	57%	39%	29%	57%	7/9
English-6th - Spoden - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Spoden, E.	95%	50%	36%	17%	33%	6/9

7th Grade:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Students with Improved	
Class	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
English-7th - Pistilli - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9500 Pistilli, K.	✓ 126%	50%	43%	25%	50%	8/9
English-7th - Pistilli - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Pistilli, K.	80%	50%	27%	25%	38%	8/10
English-7th - Walter - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9497 Walter, B.	47%	44%	16%	33%	44%	9/12
English-7th - Walter - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Walter, B.	✓ 206%	75%	76%	13%	63%	8/9

8th Grade:

	Annual Typical Growth (Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Students with Improved	
Class Q	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-95 Josselyn, J.	0%	29%	0%	29%	43%	7/8
English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-96 Josselyn, J.	89%	40%	32%	40%	60%	5/7
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	0%	17%	0%	17%	17%	6/7
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	✓ 150%	71%	54%	29%	71%	7/8

EL Math Results (overall):

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (% Studente with Improved	
English Learner	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Yes - English Learner	97%	50%	42%	21%	54%	84/106
No - English Learner	✓ 108%	54%	52%	22%	56%	153/222
Not Reported	57%	25%	30%	13%	50%	16/21

EL Math Results by Grade Level:

	Annual Typical Growth		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		© Chudente with leave and	
Grade	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement ~	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 5	33%	33%	19%	0%	67%	3/4
Grade 6	36%	32%	19%	16%	40%	25/32
Grade 7	✓ 135%	56%	56%	24%	59%	34/40
Grade 8	✓ 150%	64%	58%	27%	59%	22/30

EL Math Results by GL Teacher:

6th Grade EL:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth ()		9 Ctudente with Improved	
Class	Progress (Median) 💲	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🗳	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Math-6th - Contreras - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9493 Contreras, M.	47%	25%	20%	0%	50%	4/6
Math-6th - Contreras - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Contreras, M.	0%	43%	0%	29%	29%	7/8
Math-6th - Duenas - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9496_2 Duenas, N.	50%	17%	22%	17%	50%	6/9
Math-6th - Duenas - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-973 Duenas, N.	18%	38%	10%	13%	38%	8/9

7th Grade EL:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		0. Ob adverte with larger and	
Class	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Math-7th - Arreola - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9501_2 Arreola, J.	54%	43%	21%	29%	57%	7/10
Math-7th - Arreola - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-974 Arreola, J.	✓ 127%	63%	63%	0%	63%	8/9
Math-7th - Myers - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9503_20 Myers, M.	✓ 142%	78%	67%	22%	67%	9/9
Math-7th - Myers - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9750 Myers, M.	85%	40%	33%	40%	50%	10/12

8th Grade EL:

	Annual Typical Growth (1)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Studente with Improved	
Class Q	Progress (Median) 关	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9508_20 Mir, A.	✓ 296%	75%	✓ 115%	50%	75%	4/7
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9626_20 Mir, A.	89%	43%	36%	29%	43%	7/8
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9756 Mir, A.	✓ 117%	63%	45%	25%	50%	8/8
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9757 Mir, A.	✓ 217%	100%	84%	0%	100%	3/7

Subgroup Data: Special Education

SPED Reading Results:

	Annual Typical Growth (Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Ctudents with Improved	
Special Education	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement Y	Students Assessed/Total
Yes - Special Education	✓ 136%	51%	48%	27%	55%	51/67
No - Special Education	✓ 140%	55%	51%	31%	55%	234/282

SPED Reading Results by Grade Level:

	Annual Typical Growth	(i) Annual Stretch Growth (i)		h (i)	0) Obudanta with Immerud	
Grade	Progress (Median)	% Met 🖒	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	% Students with Improved A Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 6	✓ 147%	65%	55%	29%	59%	17/22
Grade 7	✓ 141%	54%	48%	23%	46%	13/17
Grade 8	83%	38%	30%	29%	57%	21/28

6th Grade SPED:

English-6th - Nevins - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-949 Nevins, T.	✓ 221%	60%	82%	40%	60%	5/6
English-6th - Nevins - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Nevins, T.	63%	40%	24%	0%	20%	5/5
English-6th - Spoden - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-948 Spoden, E.	✓ 221%	100%	82%	33%	100%	3/4
English-6th - Spoden - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Spoden, E.	✓ 224%	75%	84%	50%	75%	4/7

7th Grade SPED:

	Annual Typical Growth (Annual Stretch Growth			
Class	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
English-7th - Pistilli - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9500 Pistilli, K.	✓ 203%	75%	69%	25%	75%	4/6
English-7th - Pistilli - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Pistilli, K.	35%	0%	12%	0%	0%	3/3
English-7th - Walter - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-9497 Walter, B.	✓ 206%	50%	70%	50%	50%	2/4
English-7th - Walter - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-97 Walter, B.	✓ 236%	75%	86%	25%	50%	4/4

8th Grade:

English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-95 Josselyn, J.	✓ 145%	50%	52%	33%	83%	6/7
English-8th - Josselyn - 6-8 Period 3 T/TH-96 Josselyn, J.	89%	40%	32%	40%	60%	5/7
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	17%	25%	6%	25%	50%	4/7
English-8th - Josselyn - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9 Josselyn, J.	0%	33%	0%	17%	33%	6/7

SPED Math Results:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)		% Students with Improved	
Special Education	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median)	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Yes - Special Education	✓ 141%	54%	57%	24%	63%	46/67
No - Special Education	93%	50%	46%	20%	53%	207/282

SPED Math Results by Grade Level:

	Annual Typical Growth	(i) Annual Stretch Growth (i)		h (i)		
Grade	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	% students with Improved A Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Grade 6	93%	47%	40%	24%	59%	17/22
Grade 7	23%	36%	12%	9%	64%	11/17
Grade 8	✓ 158%	72%	61%	33%	67%	18/28

6th Grade SPED:

	Annual Typical Growth ()		Annual Stretch Growth ()		% Chudante with Inspected	
Class	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 🖕	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Math-6th - Contreras - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9493 Contreras, M.	93%	40%	40%	0%	60%	5/5
Math-6th - Contreras - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9 Contreras, M.	✓ 373%	60%	✓ 160%	60%	60%	5/6
Math-6th - Duenas - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9496_2 Duenas, N.	27%	25%	12%	0%	25%	4/7
Math-6th - Duenas - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-973 Duenas, N.	✓ 160%	67%	69%	33%	100%	3/4

7th Grade SPED:

Math-7th - Arreola - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9501_2 Arreola, J.	27%	0%	11%	0%	50%	2/3
Math-7th - Arreola - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-974 Arreola, J.	✓ 146%	67%	76%	0%	67%	3/6
Math-7th - Myers - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9503_20 Myers, M.	12%	25%	6%	0%	50%	4/4
Math-7th - Myers - Sem2 Period 4 T/TH-9750 Myers, M.	✓ 285%	50%	✓ 112%	50%	100%	2/4

8th Grade SPED:

	Annual Typical Growth (i)		Annual Stretch Growth (i)			
Class	Progress (Median) $\stackrel{\wedge}{\lor}$	% Met 💲	Progress (Median) 👋	% Met 💲	Placement	Students Assessed/Total
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9508_20 Mir, A.	0%	33%	0%	33%	33%	3/7
Pre-Algebra - Mir - 6-8 Period 3 W/F-9626_20 Mir, A.	✓ 214%	75%	86%	50%	75%	4/7
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 3 W/F-9756 Mir, A.	✓ 146%	83%	57%	33%	67%	6/7
Pre-Algebra - Mir - Sem2 Period 4 W/F-9757 Mir, A.	✓ 217%	80%	84%	20%	80%	5/7

Subgroup Data: Discussion

There are some significant highlights from our subgroup data:

- Math results for ELs were strong, with 97% of our ELs hitting their typical growth targets. We will need to zero in on the strategies used by our math teachers to ensure this growth is replicated and strengthened throughout the school.
- Students with special needs exceeded expected group in both math and ELA:
 - ELA: 136% of typical growth
 - Math: 141% of typical growth
- Ms. Contreras' math classes saw the most consistent growth for students with special needs, with one of her classes hitting 93% of typical growth and one hitting and astounding 373% of their expected growth!
- Students in 8th grade math exceeded growth in 3 out of 4 cohorts.

Overall, issues with our subgroup data is consistent with the general education data. We have areas of strength on which we need to capitalize, and areas of inconsistency and deficit that we need to address. In general, our goal is to have more data conversations regularly next year, and we will monitor subgroup performance consistently. Specifically, we need to ensure that everyone is focused on using strategies that support the needs of our ELs, as they are our lowest performing group of students according to typical growth data.

- Item 2. **Safety Updates:** Mr. Rios will present updates to our plan for discussion and feedback
 - Looking at new safety measures and protocols.
 - Goal: ensuring proper protocols and procedures to stay safe during the pandemic.
 - Improvements:
 - Touchless wash stations (restroom and throughout campus)
 - Touchless toilets
 - Air purification and sanitizing stations
 - Higher grade air filters
 - Regular sanitizing with electrostatic sprayer (quick-acting sanitizing)
 - Safety Resources:
 - Plexiglass guards
 - Sanitizing stations
 - Tech Improvements:
 - New floor plugs
 - Individual computers on campus and at home.
 - Safety Protocols:
 - Regular COVID testing

- 6 ft distancing
- Clearly marked directions on pathways
- Universal face masks
- Protocols come from the CDC and the County Dept of Health
- Goal is to minimize risk through our safety protocols, procedures, and resource purchases.
- Return to campus:
 - \circ $\;$ Used county return checklist to ensure adherence to county policy.
 - Website summarizes all of our plans and systems for returning to campus.
- Questions about COVID testing:
 - One parent's insurance company was charged; is this reimbursed by the state?
 - Myers and Rios will follow up.
- Question about scheduling for next year:
 - Will reduced numbers impact the bell schedule next year?
 - 0

Item 3. Recommendations for Next School Year

Successes to Build On	Concerns to Address
• Students have not copied work very much, especially considering the amount of work we have done on tech this year.	 Make it more clear how students can improve their grades It takes time for teachers to catch up on grading when work is turned in late. Could have hard deadlines for student submissions for late work. Suggestion: crack down on plagiarism.