

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

# ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT\* 2019-2020 SCHOOL YEAR FOR

Name and Location Code of Charter School

## **LAUSD Vision**

Every student will receive a quality education in a safe, caring environment, and will be college-prepared and career-ready.

#### **CSD Mission**

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities and outcomes for students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

#### **CSD** Core Values

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, professionalism, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Continuously learning as a dynamic organization.
- Building and sustaining a healthy workplace culture where high performance, diversity, and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with our charter schools and all stakeholders.

<sup>\*</sup> Charter School shall comply in a timely manner with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, as they may change from time to time, including but not limited to matters related to the school's governance, programs, facilities, operations, and/or fiscal management.



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Charter School Name:							<b>Location Code:</b>		
Current Address:				City:		ZIP Code:		Phone:	Fax:
<b>Current Term of Charter:</b>					LAUSD Board District: LA		<b>LAUSD District:</b>	AUSD District:	
July 1, 20xx to June 30, 20x	XX								
<b>Number of Students Curren</b>	ntly Enrolled:	Enrol	llment Capaci	ity Per Charter:	Grades Cu	ırrently	Served:	Grades To Be Serv	ved Per Charter:
<b>Total Number of Staff Mem</b>	nbers:		Certificated	cated: Classified:					
Charter School's Leadershi	ip Team Membe	rs:							
<b>Charter School's Contact for Special Education:</b>									
<b>CSD Assigned Administrate</b>	or:				CSD Fisca	l Servic	es Manager:		
Other School/CSD Team M	lembers:								
Oversight Visit Date(s):				Fiscal Review Date (if different		):			
Is school located on a District facility?			LAUSD Co-Location Campu (if applicable):		tion Campus(6	es)			
If so, please indicate the applicable program (e.g. Prop 39, PSC, conversion, etc.):				DATE OF CO-LOCATION MEETING WITH OPERATION TEAM:		NS			

SUMMARY OF RATINGS $(4)=Accomplished (3)=Proficient (2)=Developing (1)=Unsatisfactory$			
Governance	Student Achievement and Educational Performance	Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations	Fiscal Operations
Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page 2 of 38

## SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605 and 47607, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met the statutory requirements. Pursuant to the requirements of SB 1290, the District "shall consider increases in pupil academic achievement for all groups of pupils served by the charter school as the most important factor in determining whether to grant a charter renewal." Ed. Code § 47607(a)(3)(A).

## REPORT GUIDE

In conducting oversight as a charter school authorizer, the District places an emphasis on performance and compliance with applicable law, policy, and the approved charter, as well as on the lead fiduciary role of a charter school's governing board in the overall success of the school for students. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the school's ongoing record of performance and provides important data for the CSD, LAUSD Superintendent, and ultimately the LAUSD Board of Education in making informed decisions about charter school authorization. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law, as well as the California State Board of Education's criteria for evaluating charter schools and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers' Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance as captured during the annual oversight visit process in these four categories:

Governance – demonstrating fulfillment of the governing board's fiduciary responsibility to effectively direct and provide oversight for the charter public school, including but not limited to enactment and monitoring of policies and procedures to ensure the school's full compliance with applicable law, policy, and the terms of the charter approved by the LAUSD Board of Education

Student Achievement and Educational Performance - demonstrating academic achievement and growth for all students

Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations – demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the governing board's policies and procedures, as well as the school's educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

Fiscal Operations – demonstrating sound fiscal management, appropriate use of public funds, and compliance with regulatory requirements

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school representatives and stakeholders. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the Annual Performance Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide 2019-2020. The "Sources of Evidence" sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school's performance in each category: (4) Accomplished, (3) Proficient, (2) Developing, and (1) Unsatisfactory. In addition, the Summary of School Performance section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress (Note: potential "promising practices" are identified within this section with an asterisk [\*]); (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under "Corrective Action Required," the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school's approved charter. If the report includes any findings under "Corrective Action Required," the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern. In accordance with its "tiered intervention" approach to charter school noncompliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of Accomplished in any category is encouraged to submit to the

Page 3 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

CSD a summary of those "promising practices" that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD's ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across the entire portfolio of LAUSD schools.

GOVERNANCE	RATING*		
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating		
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress			
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement			
Corrective Action Dequired			
Corrective Action Required			
Mater.			
Notes:			
*NOTE: If the CSD gathers or otherwise receives substantial evidence of actual conflict(s) of interest with respect to a governing board member			
or person in a school leadership position (e.g. CEO or principal), a charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category.			

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page 4 of 38



Brown Act and with sufficient specificity

Rubric

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

**Sources of Evidence** 

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## G1: GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND EVALUATION OF SCHOOL LEADER(S) - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1

<ul> <li>The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure, roles and responsibilities set forth in the approved charter, including:</li> <li>Governing Board (composition, structure, roles and responsibilities) committees/councils (for example, SSC and ELAC [including legally required topics] as applicable), including but not limited to those mandated by laws or regulations</li> <li>Evaluation of school's executive level leadership</li> </ul>			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and a highly developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s)</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has substantially implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and an adequately developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s)</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has partially implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, and a partially developed system for the evaluation of the school leader(s)</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has not implemented the organizational structure set forth in approved charter or any mandated committees/councils, and no system for the evaluation of the school leader(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Organizational chart (B1.1)</li> <li>□ Bylaws (B1.2)</li> <li>□ Board member roster (B1.3)</li> <li>□ Board meeting agendas, and minutes (B1.4)</li> <li>□ Observation of Governing Board meeting</li> <li>□ Committee/council calendars, agendas, minutes and sign-ins (B1.6)</li> <li>□ Documentation related to system for evaluation of executive level administrator(s) who reports to the Board. (B1.7)</li> <li>□ Discussion with leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>	
G2: B	ROWN ACT - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #2		
	Governing Board has a system in place to ensure it is adhering to applicable open meeting really to ensure that decisions are made without apparent or actual conflicts of interest:  Governing Board meetings occur regularly, are conducted openly, and provide opportunity for Governing Board holds its meetings at a location(s) and in a manner that complies with telect Accommodation requirements and the public has access to the meetings from a location(s) we charter petition	For public participation in accordance with the Brown Act conferencing, closed session, and access and Reasonable	

Governing Board meeting agendas and minutes are posted and maintained, as appropriate, including on the school's website and in accordance with the

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **5** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME:	Click	here	to	enter	text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

		,
	☐ The Governing Board complies with all material provisions of the Brown Act	☐ Board meeting agendas (B1.4)
47	☐ The Governing Board complies with most material provisions of the Brown Act	☐ Board meeting calendar (B1.5)
nc Inc	☐ The Governing Board complies with some material provisions of the Brown Act	☐ Brown Act training documentation ( <b>B1.8</b> )
ma	☐ The Governing Board complies with few material provisions of the Brown Act	☐ Documentation of the school's agenda posting procedures
for		(B1.9)
Per		☐ Observation of Governing Board meeting
		☐ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Other: (Specify)

## G3: DUE PROCESS - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3

The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the school's charter, and LAUSD charter policy, to honor and protect the rights of students, employees, parents, and the public in the following areas:

- Student discipline
- Employee grievances and discipline
- Parent/stakeholder complaint resolution process

Uniform Complaint Procedures					
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The Governing Board has highly developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has well-developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has partially developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has minimal or no systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, in for students, employees, parents, and the public</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1.4)</li> <li>□ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1.10)</li> <li>□ Uniform Complaint Procedure documentation (B1.11)</li> <li>□ Stakeholder complaint procedure(s) (B1.12)</li> <li>□ H.R. policies and procedures regarding staff due process (B1.13)</li> <li>□ Observation of Governing Board meeting</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>			

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **6** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

#### G4: STAFFING - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure that appropriate employment and other staffing decisions are made in accordance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter governing qualifications, clearances and credentialing:

- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that faculty, staff, substitute teachers, and other persons providing service in a certificated position, are appropriately credentialed, authorized and/or otherwise qualified for the positions for which they have been employed/contracted and assigned, in accordance with applicable provisions of law and the school's charter.
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current.
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains, monitors, and maintains all necessary and appropriate vendor certifications/waivers regarding vendor employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances.
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures regarding requirements for school volunteers, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform school site services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students per AB 1667.
- The Governing Board has established and monitors policies governing whether and under what circumstances the school may consider, for paid and volunteer service, candidates who have criminal records.

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The Governing Board has established and monitors comprehensive policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has established and monitors policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has established some policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements</li> <li>□ The Governing Board has established few or no policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable law staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1.10)</li> <li>□ H.R. policies and procedures regarding ESSA qualifications, credentialing, and clearance requirements (B1.13)</li> <li>□ Observation of Governing Board meeting</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ ESSA Grid</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **7** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## **G5: DATA-BASED DECISION-MAKING** - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division

The G	Review and use of academic and other internal school data and information to ensure sound improvement of student achievement, fiscal viability, compliance, and overall public school Monitoring of the school's implementation of its LCAP action plans and progress toward LCAP.	excellence
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
	<ul> <li>□ The Governing Board regularly monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making</li> <li>□ The Governing Board monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making</li> <li>□ The Governing Board inconsistently monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making</li> <li>□ The Governing Board seldom monitors school performance and other internal data to inform decision-making</li> <li>□ SCAL CONDITION - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6</li> <li>□ Governing Board has a system in place to ensure fiscal viability:</li> <li>□ The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports.</li> </ul>	☐ Observation of Governing Board meeting ☐ Discussion with leadership ☐ Other: (Specify)
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school is fiscally strong with positive net assets in the prior two independent audit reports</li> <li>□ The school is fiscally stable, with positive net assets in the most current independent audit report</li> <li>□ The school is fiscally weak (e.g., inadequate cash flow, etc.) and/or net assets are negative in the most current independent audit report, or the school does not have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division</li> <li>□ The school is consistently fiscally weak (e.g., inadequate cash flow, etc.) and/or net assets are negative in the prior two independent audit reports, or the school does not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1.4)</li> <li>□ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1.15)</li> <li>□ Observation of Governing Board meeting</li> <li>□ Discussion with leadership</li> <li>□ Independent audit report(s)</li> <li>□ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)</li> </ul>

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **8** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

G7: FISCAL MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #/				
<ul> <li>The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure sound fiscal management and accountability:</li> <li>The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement.</li> </ul>				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement</li> <li>□ The school generally adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, but has areas noted for improvement</li> <li>□ The school is not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has areas noted for improvement, or has significant fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.)</li> <li>□ The school is continuously not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has recurring areas noted for improvement, or has significant and recurring fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1.4)</li> <li>□ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1.15)</li> <li>□ Observation of Governing Board meeting</li> <li>□ Discussion with leadership</li> <li>□ Independent audit report(s)</li> <li>□ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below)</li> </ul>		
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):				

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):			

Page 9 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Is the charter school a state-identified school under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)?   YES   NO  If yes, what is the school's identification? (See additional information within "Notes" section below)	
☐ Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ☐ Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)	
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
*NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than a 2 if the school has not met the minimum statutory renewal requires clear and convincing evidence that the academic performance of the charter school is at least equal to the academic performance of that the charter school pupils would otherwise have been required to attend, as well as the academic performance of the schools in the school the charter school is located, taking into account the composition of the pupil population that is served at the charter school (e.g. Resident States and A4.	of the public schools ool district in which

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **10** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

<b>A1:</b> S	A1: SBAC SUBGROUP ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1			
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:				
•	• Performance of all numerically significant subgroups (30 or more students and 15 or more Foster Youth or Homeless students) on the CAASPP (SBAC)			
	ELA (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged stu			
•	In accordance with SB1290, increases in pupil academic achievement for all groups of pupil	s served by the charter school.		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
	$\square$ All subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP ELA performance from 2017-2018 to	☐ SBAC report (CDE)		
	2018-2019	☐ Review of LAUSD Office of Data &		
ıce	☐ The majority of subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP ELA performance from	Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)		
naı	2017-2018 to 2018-2019	☐ Other: (Specify)		
Performance	☐ Some subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP ELA performance from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019			
Pe	☐ None of the school's subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP ELA performance			
	from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019			
	☐ No assessment of performance for this indicator			
	BAC SUBGROUP MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFOR			
The sc	hool demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the a	9 1		
•	Performance of all numerically significant subgroups (30 or more students and 15 or more F			
	Math (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged st			
•	In accordance with SB1290, increases in pupil academic achievement for all groups of pupil	ils served by the charter school,		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
	$\square$ All subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP Math performance from 2017-2018 to	☐ SBAC report (CDE)		
	2018-2019	☐ Review of LAUSD Office of Data &		
ıce	☐ The majority of subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP Math performance from	Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)		
nar	2017-2018 to 2018-2019	☐ Other: (Specify)		
orn	☐ Some subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP Math performance from 2017-2018			
Performance	to 2018-2019			
4	☐ None of the school's subgroups demonstrated growth in CAASPP Math performance			
	from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019			
	☐ No assessment of performance for this indicator			

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **11** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click	here	to	enter	text
--------------	-------	------	----	-------	------

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## A3: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:				
•	Schoolwide ELA data (CDE)  Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in ELA is substantially lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	□ SBAC report (CDE) □ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (B2.1) □ Other: (Specify)		
A4: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4  The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:  Schoolwide Math data (CDE)				
Rubric Sources of Evidence				
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met and Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> Grade on the SBAC in Math is substantially lower than the Resident Schools Median.</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	□ SBAC report (CDE) □ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (B2.1) □ Other: (Specify)		

## A5: ENGLISH LEARNER RECLASSIFICATION - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:

• English Learner reclassification rate for 2018-2019 (CDE)

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **12** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

OFE			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school did not reclassify English Learners</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Reclassification report (CDE)</li> <li>□ Review of LAUSD Office of Data &amp; Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)</li> <li>□ ELPAC Criterion reports (CDE) (B2.3)</li> <li>□ Reclassification Criteria for all applicable grade levels (within "Notes" section above) (B2.4)</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>	
	T RISK" ENGLISH LEARNERS – (ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS) ERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL	
	hool demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the ac Providing supports for At-Risk English Learners 2018-2019 (CDE)	chievement gap, as measured by:	
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school's percentage of "At Risk" English Learners is at rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of "At Risk" English Learners is at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of "At Risk" English Learners is at a rate higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of "At Risk" English Learners is at a rate that is substantially higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	☐ "At-Risk" by Grade report (CDE): 2018-2019 ☐ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)	
A7: LONG TERM ENGLISH LEARNERS (LTELs) - (SECONDARY SCHOOLS) - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #7			
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:  • Providing supports for Long Term English Learners 2018-2019 (CDE)			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **13** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

nnual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a	date.
---	-------

Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school's percentage of LTELs is at rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of LTELs is at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of LTELs is at a rate higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's percentage of LTELs is at a rate that is substantially higher than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Long-Term English Learners (LTEL) by Grade report (CDE): 2018-2019</li> <li>□ Review of LAUSD Office of Data &amp; Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)</li> </ul>

## A8: FOUR-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION RATE - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY **INDICATOR #8**

<ul> <li>The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:</li> <li>Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE) (high schools only)</li> </ul>				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school's Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate higher than Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate similar to the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ The school's Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate substantially lower than the Resident Schools Median</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE)</li> <li>□ Review of LAUSD Office of Data &amp; Accountability's Data Set (B2.1)</li> <li>□ Graduation Requirements (within "Notes" section above) (B2.5)</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> <li>A-G passing grade requirement (e.g. C or D) (CSD internal use only)</li> </ul>		

\*INDICATOR A9 IS ONLY APPLICABLE TO NEW CHARTER SCHOOLS WHICH DO NOT HAVE CAASPP (SBAC) SCORES AND CHARTER SCHOOLS WHICH SERVE GRADE LEVELS K, 1, 2, 9, AND 10 (THE GRADE LEVELS WHICH ARE NOT ADMINISTERED THE CAASPP).

A9: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT (Grades K, 1, 2, 9, 10 and New Charter Schools) - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE **OUALITY INDICATOR #9** 

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, for grades K, 1, 2, 9 and 10 or as a new school with no CAASPP (SBAC) data as measured by:

- The school's internal assessments (with analysis of results) by subgroups and grade-levels in ELA and Math
- Other academic achievement data gathered or produced by the school, such as Advanced Placement examination participation and passage rates, A-G requirements progress and completion rates, high school graduation rates, and college acceptance rates

Page 14 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

NOTE: For purposes of evaluation of school performance for this indicator, the CSD will consider data derived from assessments for which the school can provide evidence of validity/reliability. For commonly used and recognized assessments this would not be necessary (for example, NWEA, DIBELS, Illuminate, or SBA Interim assessments).

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has demonstrated accomplished levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect significant growth in student achievement in ELA and Math for all subgroups in all grade-levels</li> <li>□ The school has demonstrated proficient levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect moderate growth in student achievement in ELA and Math for the majority of subgroups and grade-levels.</li> <li>□ The school has demonstrated developing levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect limited growth in student achievement in ELA and Math for some subgroups and grade-levels</li> <li>□ The school has demonstrated unsatisfactory levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data and that reflect no growth or a decline in student achievement in ELA and Math for the majority of subgroups and grade-levels, or the school has not collected and/or analyzed and monitored internal assessment or other academic achievement data.</li> <li>□ No assessment of performance for this indicator.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Internal academic performance and progress data and information (B2.2)</li> <li>□ School Internal Assessment Data Report or equivalent (B2.6)</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **15** of **38** 

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.		

nnual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report	DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date

CALIFORNIA SCHOOL DASHBOARD STATE INDICATORS			
Summary of School Performance			
Indicators A10 – A16 reflect the school's ratings on the Dashboard. Indicators A10 – A16 will not impact the overall Student Achievement and Educational Performance Rating for 2019-2020 oversight but will provide informational areas of focus.			
I. Academic Performance			
A10: <u>CAASPP ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS</u> - □ Grades 3-5 □ Grades 6-8 □ Grade 11			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
A11: <u>CAASPP MATHEMATICS</u> - □ Grades 3-5 □ Grades 6-8 □ Grade 11			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
A12: ENGLISH LEARNER PROGRESS			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
A13: COLLEGE/CAREER (high schools only)			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
II. Academic Engagement			
A14: CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
A15: GRADUATION RATE			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			
III. Conditions and Climate			
A16: SUSPENSION RATE			
Performance Level Color: Choose an item.			
Change Level: Choose an item.			

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **16** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

AND OF FOUCATION	Timidal Tellorinance Based eversight visit Report	Chek Here to effect a date.
Of ED		
NOTES:		
NOTES.		
Progress on	LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT (if applicable):

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **17** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
*NOTE: A charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to have Emergency Plan in place; (2) Failed to conduct child abuse mandated reporter training in accordance with AB 1432; (3) Failed background clearances for all new staff and sole proprietor (as defined on the ESSA Grid) prior to employment; or (4) F clearance certification, as appropriate, from a vendor. A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2	to complete criminal Failed to obtain DOJ

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **18** of **38** 

core instructional program is not appropriately credentialed and assigned per legal requirements and the school's current approved charter.



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.	
		Ξ

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## O1: SCHOOL SAFETY AND OPERATIONS: SCHOOL SAFETY PLAN AND PROCEDURES - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #1

## The school has a system in place to ensure that:

- The school has a current site-specific comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan (Note: for co-locations, the charter school adheres and complies with the District school's Health, Safety and Emergency Plan)
- The school is able and prepared to implement its emergency procedures in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency (includes threat assessment protocol)
- School staff and other mandated reporters working on behalf of the school receive timely training on child abuse awareness and reporting in accordance with the requirements of AB 1432
- School staff receives annual training on the handling of bloodborne pathogens
- The school has a Visitor's policy and it's visible in the main office
- A Pupil Suicide Prevention Policy (grades 7-12) is in place, in compliance with AB 2246

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has a highly developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety</li> <li>□ The school has a well-developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety</li> <li>□ The school has a minimal or no system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1.10)</li> <li>□ Comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan (B3.1b)</li> <li>□ Evacuation route maps (B3.1b)</li> <li>□ Documentation of emergency drills and training (B3.1c)</li> <li>□ Evidence of provision and location of onsite emergency supplies (B3.1b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of AB 2246 implementation (grades 7-12) (B3.1f)</li> <li>□ Child abuse mandated reporter training documentation (B3.1d and B3A.4)</li> <li>□ Bloodborne pathogens training documentation (B3.1e and B3A.4)</li> <li>□ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2019-2020 ("ESSA Grid") (B3A.1)</li> <li>□ Site/classroom observation</li> <li>□ Visitor's Policy (B3.1a)</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **19** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## **O2: HEALTH AND SAFETY** - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #2

#### The school has a system in place to ensure that:

- For each school site, the school has a current site-specific Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent that authorizes the current use of the site
- School provides documentation of student immunization and
- School provides documentation of health screening per applicable law and terms of the charter (vision screenings upon school entry and every third year thereafter through grade 8 and hearing screenings are mandated in kindergarten/first grade and in second, fifth, eighth, tenth/eleventh grade and upon first school entry)
- School maintains an emergency epinephrine auto-injectors ("epi-pen") onsite and has provided training to volunteer staff member(s) in the storage and emergency use of the epi-pen
- Per AB 1871, charter schools are required to provide needy students with one nutritionally adequate free or reduced priced meal each day
- Per AB 2009, any charter school that offers an interscholastic athletic program is required to have at least one automated external defibrillator (AED)

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has a highly developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety for Certificates of Occupancy, immunization, health screenings and emergency epi-pens</li> <li>□ The school has a well-developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety for Certificates of Occupancy, immunization, health screenings and emergency epi-pens</li> <li>□ The school has a partially developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety for Certificates of Occupancy, immunization, health screenings and emergency epi-pens</li> <li>□ The school has a minimal or no system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety for Certificates of Occupancy, immunization, health screenings and emergency epi-pens</li> </ul>	□ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1.10) □ Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent (B3.2a) □ Evidence of student immunization (B3.2b) □ Evidence of health screening (B3.2b) □ Evidence of Epi-pen (B3.2c) □ AED (schools with an interscholastic athletic program) (B3.2e) □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

## O3: STANDARDS-BASED INSTRUCTION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #3

#### The school has:

- Implemented standards-based instruction schoolwide in accordance with the California academic content standards, including the California Common Core State Standards (CA CCSS), and the California Next Generation Science Standards (CA NGSS) that are applicable to the grade levels served
- Obtained WASC accreditation (high schools only)

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **20** of **38** 



## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

•	Implemented a system to monitor student progress toward and completion of graduation and Received UC/CSU approval of courses (UC Doorways) (high schools only)	A-G requirements (high schools only)	
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has fully-implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction in accordance with the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS &amp; CA NGSS</li> <li>□ The school has substantially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction in accordance with the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS &amp; CA NGSS</li> <li>□ The school has partially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction in accordance with the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS &amp; CA NGSS</li> <li>□ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction in accordance with the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS &amp; CA NGSS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Evidence of standards-based instructional program         (B3.3a)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of CA NGSS (B3.3a)</li> <li>□ LCAP (B3.3b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of technology readiness to administer CAASPP assessments (B3.3c) *new schools only</li> <li>□ WASC documentation (B3.3d)</li> <li>□ UC Doorways course approval documentation (B3.3e)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of Transitional Kindergarten (B3.3i)</li> <li>□ Professional development documentation (B3.4b)</li> <li>□ Classroom observation</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>O4: MEETING THE NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS; SUBGROUP DATA ANALYSIS - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #4</li> <li>Implements the differentiation, intervention, and other instructional strategies and approaches described in the charter designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including all subgroups identified in the school's LCAP and by CDE</li> <li>Disaggregates and analyzes data on a regular basis to address individual student needs</li> <li>Implements, monitors, and modifies, as appropriate, its Master Plan for English Learners (EL identification, designated and integrated ELD standards-based instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)</li> </ul>			
•	Has appointed a designee to assist and support foster youth  Rubric	Sources of Evidence	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **21** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has fully implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and modifies instruction based on data analysis</li> <li>□ The school has substantially implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and generally modifies instruction based on data analysis</li> <li>□ The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and partially modifies instruction based on data analysis</li> <li>□ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and does not consistently modify instruction based on data analysis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Evidence of standards-based instructional program         (B3.3a)</li> <li>□ LCAP (B3.3b)</li> <li>□ Professional development documentation (B3.4b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of intervention and support for all students, including but not limited to foster youth, at-risk students, and high performing students (B3.3j)</li> <li>□ Implementation of the school's English Learner Master Plan (B3.3j)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of a data analysis system (B2.1 and B2.6)</li> <li>□ School Internal Assessment Data Report, or equivalent (B2.6)</li> <li>□ Classroom observation</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>

## O5: IMPLEMENTATION OF KEY FEATURES OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #5

The school has implemented the key features components of the educational program described in the school's charter			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has fully implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter</li> <li>□ The school has substantially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter</li> <li>□ The school has partially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter</li> <li>□ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the key features of the educational program described in the charter</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Professional development documentation (B3.4b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of key features of educational program (B3.3k)</li> <li>□ Classroom observation</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **22** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## O6: SPECIAL EDUCATION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #6

The sc	<ul> <li>he school has a system in place to ensure that the school:</li> <li>Provides special education programs and services in accordance with students' IEPs and the terms of the Modified Consent Decree</li> <li>Provides special education training for staff in accordance with requirements of the Modified Consent Decree</li> <li>Conducts a special education self-review annually, using the Special Education Self-Review Checklist</li> <li>Maintains timely IEP timeline records and accurate service provision records in Welligent</li> </ul>				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has a highly developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree</li> <li>□ The school has a well-developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree</li> <li>□ The school has a partially developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree</li> <li>□ The school has a minimal or no system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1.10)</li> <li>□ Professional development documentation (B3.4b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of intervention and support for students with disabilities (B3.3j)</li> <li>□ Self-Review Checklist (B3.4a)</li> <li>□ Other special education documentation (B3.4a)</li> <li>□ Consultation with Charter Operated Programs office</li> <li>□ Welligent reports and/or other MCD documentation, including from the Division of Special Education (B3.4a)</li> <li>□ Classroom observation</li> <li>□ Discussion with school leadership</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>			

## O7: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #7

## The school has a school climate and schoolwide student discipline system in place to ensure that the school's practices:

- Align with the principles of the District's Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights Resolution, including but not limited to, tiered behavior intervention, alternatives to suspension, and schoolwide positive behavior support, data monitoring and, includes a discipline system complaint process
- Provide positive opportunities for student wellness, growth and success, aimed at making the school safe, welcoming, supportive and inclusive
- Minimize discretionary suspensions and expulsions
- Reduce or eliminate suspension disproportionality for student subgroups

Per AB 2291, adopt on or before December 31, 2019, procedures for preventing acts of bully	ring, including cyberbullying
Rubric	Sources of Evidence

Page 23 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



Annual	Performance	-Rased O	versight V	Jisit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

The school has a highly developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ The school has a well-developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ The school has a partially developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ The school has a partially developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ The school has a minimally developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ The school has a minimally developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  □ Evidence of implementation of tiered behavior intervention, such as SST/COST (B3.4c)  □ Evidence of implementation of alternatives to suspension (B3.4c)  □ Evidence of data monitoring (B3.4c)  □ Evidence of data monitoring (B3.4c)  □ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality (B2.1)  □ Suspension rates, and disproportionality rates  □ Evidence of implementation of AB 2291 (B3.4c)  □ Interview of stakeholders  □ Discussion with school leadership			
□ Evidence of data monitoring (B3.4c) □ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality (B2.1) □ Suspension rates, and disproportionality rates □ Evidence of implementation of AB 2291 (B3.4c) □ Interview of stakeholders	formance	that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  The school has a well-developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  The school has a partially developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights  The school has a minimally developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy	<ul> <li>□ LCAP (B3.3b)</li> <li>□ Professional development documentation (B3.4b)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of school climate and student discipline system that aligns with Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights principles (B3.4c)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of tiered behavior intervention, such as SST/COST (B3.4c)</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of alternatives to suspension (B3.4c)</li> </ul>
☐ Other: (Specify)	Perfor	and school Chinate Bill of Rights	behavior support system (B3.4c)  □ Evidence of data monitoring (B3.4c)  □ Review of LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality (B2.1)  □ Suspension rates, and disproportionality rates □ Evidence of implementation of AB 2291 (B3.4c) □ Interview of stakeholders □ Discussion with school leadership

## **O8: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT** - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #8

## The school:

- Has a schoolwide professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports the educational program set forth in the charter and targets identified needs
- Provides faculty and other instructional staff with professional development opportunities to improve instructional practice

	•	*			*	*		
•	Provides opportunities for teachers to c	ollaborate regularly for	the purpose of pla	nning and im	proving	g curriculum and instruction	on	
	I	Rubric				Sources of E	vidence	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **24** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click he	ere t	o ent	ter te	ĸt.
CHOOL NAME. CHEK HE	are i	o em	ter te	ΧL.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

The school has fully implemented a professional development plan for teachers and	$\square$ LCAP (B3.3b)
other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with	☐ Professional development documentation (e.g.
the education program set forth in the charter	professional development calendar, agendas and sign-ins)
The school has implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff	(B3.4b)
that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the	☐ Interview of teachers and/or other staff
education program set forth in the charter	☐ Discussion with school leadership
The school has partially implemented a professional development plan for teachers and	☐ Other: (Specify)

the education program set forth in the charter ☐ The school has implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other sta that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter  $\square$  The school has partially implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter ☐ The school has not implemented a professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter

#### **O9: STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION AND INVOLVEMENT** - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #9

The school has a stakeholder communication system for gathering input, facilitating and encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns, which:

- Engages in communication that notifies parents, teachers, pupils and other stakeholders of the process for resolving concerns, including how they may contact board members, and supports students, families, and other stakeholders in effectively resolving concerns
- Provides all stakeholders with appropriate, accessible and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance
- Informs parents of high school students about transferability of courses to other public high schools and the eligibility of courses to meet college entrance requirements (high schools only)
- Provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school's charter, and the school LCAP
- Per AB 1104, schools that maintain any of grades 6-12, inclusive, to identify the most appropriate methods of informing parents and guardians of pupils in those grades of human trafficking prevention resources and to implement the identified methods by January 1, 2020

those grades of number transfer entron resources and to imprement the racintinea men	10d5 55 Validary 1, 2020
Rubric	
	G AN 1
	Sources of Evidence

Page 25 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

OF E		
	☐ The school has a highly developed stakeholder communication system for gathering	☐ Parent-Student Handbook ( <b>B1.10</b> )
	input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	□ LCAP ( <b>B3.3b</b> )
	$\hfill\square$ The school has a well-developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input,	☐ Evidence of stakeholder consultation ( <b>B3.4d</b> )
	encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	☐ Evidence of parent/stakeholder involvement and
	☐ The school has a partially developed stakeholder communication system for gathering	engagement (B3.4d)
	input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	☐ Evidence of sharing accessible and relevant information
	☐ The school has a minimal or no stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance with all stakeholders as appropriate (B3.4d)
Performance		☐ Evidence that parents are informed about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (B3.4d)
Per		☐ Evidence of provision of stakeholder access to school's approved charter ( <b>B3.4d</b> )
		☐ Evidence of communication to parents and other
		stakeholders of complaint resolution process(es) (B3.4d)
		☐ Evidence of informing parents/guardians of human
		trafficking prevention resources (January 1, 2020) grades 6-12 ( <b>B3.4d</b> )
		☐ Interview of stakeholders
		☐ Discussion with school leadership
		☐ Other: (Specify)

## O10: STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION AND TRANSPARENCY- ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #10

The school's documents that are available both manually and electronically (website preferred) serve as a vehicle for transparency through its displays and provision of information.

- Information is easily accessible to the public and school stakeholders
- UCP and all complaint procedures
- Title IX information in accordance with SB 1375\*\*
- AB 2246 Suicide Prevention applicable posting (Gr 7-12)
- Applicable categories described in Charter School Transparency Resolution

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **26** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

**requ	Per AB 2022, notification requirements to pupils and parents or guardians of pupils on how t campus, in the community, or both no less than twice during the school year ired on website	to initiate access to available pupil mental health services on
rcqu	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	<ul> <li>□ The school has a highly developed communication system to share information with stakeholders, that is easily accessible via its documents available both manually, electronically and on its website</li> <li>□ The school has a well-developed communication system to share information with stakeholders via its documents available both manually, electronically and on its website</li> <li>□ The school has a partially developed communication system to share information with stakeholders via its documents available manually/electronically or on its website</li> <li>□ The school has a minimally developed communication system to share information with stakeholders with limited to no availability of documents manually/electronically or on its website</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Review of the availability of information to the public/stakeholders (B3.4e) for:</li> <li>• UCP Procedure and Forms</li> <li>• Complaint Forms</li> <li>• SB 1375 Information</li> <li>• AB 2246 (grades 7-12)</li> <li>• LCAP</li> <li>• Financial Audit</li> <li>• Student Demographics</li> <li>• Student Achievement Information</li> <li>□ Evidence of implementation of AB 2022 (B3.4e)</li> <li>□ Other: (Specify)</li> </ul>
	EVALUATION OF SCHOOL STAFF - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY hool has a system in place for the evaluation of school staff designed to ensure that:	INDICATOR #11

the school's educational program yields high student achievement

- the school complies with all applicable legal requirements

1		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence

Page 27 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



and complies with all applicable legal requirements

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.	SCHOOL NAME: Cli	ck he	re to	enter	text.	
--	------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report DATE	OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.
The school has a highly developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	☐ Documentation related to a system for evaluation of staff
designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	and administrator(s) (B3.4f)
and complies with all applicable legal requirements	☐ Discussion with school leadership
The school has a well-developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	☐ Other: (Specify)
designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	
and complies with all applicable legal requirements	
The school has a partially developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	
designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	
and complies with all applicable legal requirements	
The school has a minimal or no system in place for the evaluation of school staff	
designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	

#### O12: CLEARANCES AND CREDENTIALING COMPLIANCE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #12

The school is in compliance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter regarding clearances and credentialing:

- All certificated staff are fully credentialed, including EL authorizations, and appropriately assigned as authorized by their credentials at all times
- The school has obtained all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- The school has obtained all necessary vendor clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to the provision of service, and keeps all clearances current
- The school has conducted volunteer clearances in accordance with applicable law and policy, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform schoolsite services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students

Rubric **Sources of Evidence** 

Page 28 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19



Performance

#### LAUSD CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

☐ Other: (Specify)

DATE OF VISIT:

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

☐ The school has fully implemented and continually monitors systems and procedures that maintain 100% compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements at all times	☐ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2019-2020 form ("ESSA Grid") (B3A.1a)
☐ The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain substantial compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements	<ul> <li>☐ Staff rosters and school master schedule (B3A.1b and B3A.1c)</li> <li>☐ Custodian(s) of Records documentation</li> </ul>
☐ The school has partially implemented and intermittently monitors systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements	(B3A.1d)  ☐ Criminal Background Clearance Certifications (B3A.2a and B3A.3a)
☐ The school has not implemented and/or does not monitor systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements	☐ Teaching credential/authorization documentation (B3A.2b) ☐ Vendor certifications (B3A.5) ☐ Volunteer (TB) risk assessment/clearance certification (B3A.6)
	☐ Discussion with school leadership

Click here to enter a date.

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT (if applicable):	

Page 29 of 38 FORM REV. 8/12/19

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

[INSERT FISCAL TABLE]

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **30** of **38** 



## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

FISCAL OPERATIONS	RATING
You have been assessed by the Fiscal Oversight team and you are receiving the rating of <i>insert rating score</i> , <i>insert rating name</i> .	Choose a rating
Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and are noted in this evaluation.	
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress:	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement:	
Other Observations (Items described in this section, while not addressed in the charter school's Fiscal Policies and Procedures, are recommended for improvement to align with optimal business practices).	
Corrective Action Required:	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **31** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Notes:	
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to FISCAL OPERATIONS (if applicable):	

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **32** of **38** 



## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

## **Fiscal Operations Rubrics**

**Existing School** – a charter school that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-4] **New School** – a charter school that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-2]

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the
Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be
considered as Accomplished.

## Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

#### **REOUIRED CRITERIA**

- 1. Net Assets are positive in the prior two audits;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. The two most current audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings;
- 4. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 5. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 6. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 7. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 8. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD;
- 9. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD;
- 10. There is no apparent conflict of interest;
- 11. A signed written statement which indicates that the charter school is providing each needy pupil with one nutritionally adequate free or reduced-price meal during each schoolday (except as provided for a charter school that offers nonclassroom-based instruction) is provided (pursuant to AB 1871);
- 12. The EPA allocation and expenditures, the most current Audited Financial Statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website;
- 13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.

## Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

## **REQUIRED CRITERIA**

- 1. Net Assets are positive in the most current audit;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. The most current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings;
- 4. Vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 5. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 6. Charter school generally adheres to the governing board-approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 7. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 8. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD;
- 9. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD;
- 10. There is no apparent conflict of interest;
- 11. A signed written statement which indicates that the charter school is providing each needy pupil with one nutritionally adequate free or reduced-price meal during each schoolday (except as provided for a charter school that offers nonclassroom-based instruction) is provided (pursuant to AB 1871);
- 12. The EPA allocation and expenditures, the most current Audited Financial Statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website;
- 13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **33** of **38** 



## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.

- 14. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals:
- 15. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner;
- 16. There are no discrepancies cited in the Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement;
- 17. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other;
- 18. Proper segregations of duties are in place; and
- 19. If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal benchmark(s) are met based on the required deadline(s).

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.

- 14. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals:
- 15. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner;
- 16. There are no significant recurring issues; and
- 17. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

### SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

- 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 4% of prior year expenditures;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year expenses;
- 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items:
  - o Most current financial reports presented to the governing board
  - Employee handbook
  - Student handbook
  - Salary schedules/benefits/information
  - Budget development process
  - Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location
  - The most current approved petition
  - Administration/school contact
  - School calendar
  - Enrollment policies and procedures
  - o Fiscal policies and procedures manual

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

#### **SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA**

- 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 3% of prior year expenditures;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 4% of the prior year expenses;
- 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items:
  - o Most current financial reports presented to the governing board
  - o Employee handbook
  - Student handbook
  - o Salaries schedule/benefits/information
  - Budget development process
  - Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location
  - o The most current approved petition
  - Administration/school contact
  - School calendar
  - Enrollment policies and procedures
  - o Fiscal policies and procedures manual

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **34** of **38** 



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.	An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.
<ul> <li>4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; and</li> <li>5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; and</li> <li>5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting.</li> </ul>
<u>Note</u> : Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.	Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of the supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.

An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:

## Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

#### **REQUIRED CRITERIA**

- 1. Net Assets are positive, or net assets are negative with strong trend toward positive (be positive at the end of the third year, per applicable audit, and beyond);
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. Vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 4. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 5. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 6. A signed written statement which indicates that the charter school is providing each needy pupil with one nutritionally adequate free or reduced-price meal during each schoolday (except as provided for a charter school that offers nonclassroom-based instruction is provided (pursuant to AB 1871);
- 7. The EPA allocation and expenditures, the most current Audited Financial Statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website;

## Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. The charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide a satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members lack fiscal capacity.

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **35** of **38** 



be noted in the evaluation.

#### LAUSD CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Click here to enter a date.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report DATE OF VISIT:

An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of the An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered below: as Developing. 8. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies; 9. Have an audit conducted annually by an independent auditing firm; and 10. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD. Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation. **SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA** 1. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (Enrollment changes are reflected in annual budget and facilities); 2. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract; 3. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; 4. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 5. Current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or 6. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures: 7. There is no apparent conflict of interest; and 8. Governing board approves any amendment(s) to the charter school's budget. Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **36** of **38** 

noted in the evaluation.



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

A new school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:

A new school that meets all of the Required criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.

## New Schools:

## **REQUIRED CRITERIA**

- 1. A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. If enrollment is below the funding survey, the charter school has made significant adjustments in their operations to allow for the reduced income, and submitted a revised three-year budget and three-year cash flow statement;
- 4. Projected debt is managed efficiently and will not cause the charter school to end the fiscal year with negative net assets. The non-profit organization is financially viable to support the charter school;
- 5. Interim reports and unaudited actuals project:
  - a. Positive net assets
  - b. Expenses less than revenues
  - c. Projected expenses and revenues have no significant variance from budget
- 6. As a practice, the governing board receives and reviews the charter school's financial reports as evidenced by the governing board meeting minutes;
- 7. A signed written statement which indicates that the charter school is providing each needy pupil with one nutritionally adequate free or reduced-price meal during each schoolday (except as provided for a charter school that offers nonclassroom-based instruction) is provided (pursuant to AB 1871);
- 8. The most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website; and
- 9. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies.

<u>Note</u>: A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.

## New Schools:

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. A charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members lack fiscal capacity.

<u>Note</u>: A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **37** of **38** 



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

## Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

A new school that meets all of the Required criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.	A new school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:
Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.	Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

FORM REV. 8/12/19 Page **38** of **38**