



Board Agenda Item #	Agenda # III A – Information/Discussion Item
Date:	November 8, 2018
To:	Magnolia Board of Directors
From:	Alfredo Rubalcava, CEO & Superintendent
Staff Lead:	David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer
RE:	MPS' Progress on Meeting Local Performance Indicators on the CA School Dashboard

Proposed Board Recommendation

This is an information item. There is no requirement for the board to *approve* the schools' reports on meeting the local indicators.

Background

State data is not available for some priority areas identified in the Local Control Funding Formula law. For these priority areas, the State Board of Education approved the local indicators, which are based on information that local educational agencies collect locally. The local indicators are:

- Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)
- Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)
- Parent Engagement (Priority 3)
- School Climate (Priority 6)
- Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Local educational agencies receive one of three ratings based on whether they have measured and reported their progress through the Dashboard using locally collected data. The ratings are: Met, Not Met, or Not Met for Two or More Years. For example, on the local indicator for Implementing State Academic Standards (Priority 2), the local educational agency would receive a Met rating if it:

- Measures its progress on the local indicator based on locally available information, and
- Reports the results to the LEA's local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

The following are the questions each LEA responds to in the CA School Dashboard to report their progress.

Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)

Use locally available information, including data from the CDE's School Accountability Report Card (SARC), to measure progress and respond to the three prompts in the self-reflection tool included in the Dashboard:

- Teacher misassignments
- Student access to instructional material
- Conditions of school facilities

Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

The local educational agency is asked to summarize its progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools.

Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

The local educational agency is asked to summarize the following:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

School Climate (Priority 6)

Standard: Local educational agency administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

The local educational agency is asked to provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12).

Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Conclusion

Our school leaders have used the self-reflection tools provided by the CDE to report out their evaluation of the progress the school makes towards meeting the local indicators in the five state priorities. The reports are attached for review of the board and the public before they are submitted to the CDE and made public via the CA School Dashboard. Deadline for submission to the CDE is November 16, 2018. This item will be on the board agenda annually so that our schools can share their progress publicly and meet their local indicators.

Budget Implications

N/A

How Does This Action Relate/Affect/Benefit All MSAs?

This item is a state requirement. Added benefit is that schools can share their progress on meeting the local indicators with the public.

Name of Staff Originator:

David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer

Attachments

- MPS Local Indicators Progress – Fall 2018 (one for each MSA)

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-1 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and

corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 **3** 4 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 **3** 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 **5**

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-1 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-1 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.
2. Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.
3. Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.
2. Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.
3. Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-1 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-1 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., “Connection” where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-1 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-1 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child’s education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-1 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 40% of our students.

MSA-1 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school’s EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-1 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English

Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-1 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-1 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand

students' perceptions. MSA-1 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2017-18, 83.0% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 63% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 62% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 60% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

Our surveys reflected that students feel the greatest area of need is the improvement and expansion of MSA-1's physical campus. There is "no gym or soccer field", and the school has needs like a "better lunch area" and a "high school separate from middle school." Additionally, students expressed the need for "improving security at our school for better protection." In order to address these areas of needs, the school is currently working on an expansion into a building purchased next door to our current site. The new building will allow us to separate high school from middle school. Our construction plans include a new cafeteria, gym, and a green area for students to play sports. We also hired two part time campus aides to help with security and overseeing our campus safety.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-1 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-1 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information

and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-1 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-1 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-1 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include United States Government, AP United States History, AP World History, AP English Language & Composition, AP Calculus AB, AP Calculus BC, AP Biology, AP Physics, AP Spanish Language & Culture, AP Spanish Literature & Culture, AP Studio Art, and AP Computer Principles.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-1 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-1 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 98% last year where 85% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-1 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-1 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-1 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc.

Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-1 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-1 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-2 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 4 **5**

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 **3** 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 **3** 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 **5**

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-2 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-2 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-2 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-2 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-2 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-2 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with the Principal, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-2 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 25% of our students.

MSA-2 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-2 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local

governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-2 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-2 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-2 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

The student and parent survey responses expressed concerns which placed a significant emphasis on bullying. To help counterbalance this concern, MSA-2 launched a schoolwide PBIS (Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports) program this year in partnership with LACOE. During this program, students have been placed into four houses who compete for positive recognition and team points related to excellent behavior expectations being met, as well as during other team activities. Teachers are also assigned to houses to support and compete for rewards. At the end of the year, the house with the highest points will be going to Universal Studios as a reward for their hard work. Last year, a leadership team comprised of a PBIS Coach as well as the Dean of Students attended three coaching day trainings with LACOE, and additional PBIS Committee members joined in three more days of team training days, which included a Special Education teacher, and a math teacher. The goal of the trainings last year was to prepare for the launch of the PBIS system this year. This year, a parent and school district Board member have joined the team training days for our school with LACOE to support the program implementation. The team branded "Be RAD" as the motto for the schoolwide behavioral expectations which stands for "Be Respectful Attentive and Dependable". This expectation has been reinforced with weekly videos made by the ASB student government elected stakeholders and which are shared with the full students during their PBIS Team time during Advisory and SSR classes each week. Data is compiled related

to each house and shared with all students during weekly announcements on the PA system as well as displayed on a bulletin board for all students and parents to view. Students, staff and parents have all expressed positive results thus far related to the decreased incidents of bullying which have occurred as a result of this intervention.

In 2017-18, 89.0% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 72% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 65% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 50% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

Based on student feedback, student facilities posed a concern during the previous academic year which contributed to a decreased sense of belonging and school connectedness. To address this concern, student restrooms were improved this year to include upgraded lock systems in the stalls, seat covers, paper towel dispensers, as well as to renovate some of the stalls which were in disarray. Further, this year, we expanded the athletic facilities which students are able to utilize during our Physical Education program to incorporate more days on the co-location facilities. The goal of these changes is to create an increased sense of connection to the school and belonging. In addition, to help students cope with Social Emotional needs, we hired a full-time psychologist and counselor who helps students cope with issues which are causing them to not feel a sense of belonging.

To support students' concern about a lack of school safety, this year, we implemented a walkie talkie system for a more efficient communication between school administration and supervision staff. Additionally, we placed large banners in front of the school on the Victory Blvd. entrance to school to help block the visibility of the students at the entrance to campus from the outside passers by and increase students' privacy and sense of security. Further, we implemented a monthly K9 search calendar to help increase student safety related to banned substances on campus. Moreover, we submitted a proposal to our MPS Board of Directors which was approved granting us the ability to expand school surveillance cameras to include a video monitoring system inside classrooms in collaboration with LAUSD and LACOE.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-2 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-2 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

- 1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.**

MSA-2 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to

all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-2 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-2 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP United States History, AP English Language & Composition, AP Calculus AB, AP Environmental Science, AP Spanish Language and Culture, AP Studio Art: 2-D Design, AP Computer Science Principles.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-2 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-2 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-2 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-2 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-2 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-2 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-2 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-3 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA 3 is working toward State Board for Education requirements. This year we enhanced health education, physical education in alignment with model content standards. We have a band, and we have graphic design for another VAPA possibility. Teachers are collaborating weekly to ensure curriculum and instruction are excellent.

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 **4** 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 **5**

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-3 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-3 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Great success take away focusing on our teachers----> Most teachers are doing a great job communicating with parents regularly, and it's good that parents know how easy it is to contact the teacher using Coolsis messages. **Also another big celebration from the comments, the academic roadmaps and support we provide to ensure that students have a chance to go to college. We have an increase overall in all areas except growth mindset. This is a big jump for staff to consider Fairness, Rules and Norms are in place.

Findings Based on Average Approval Rates of Survey Topics/Questions:

There is a 2% increase for student average approval, 4% increase for parents and 8% increase for staff. More strategies and time dedicated by the admin team, staff, and teachers are needed in order to have significant improvements for all stakeholders.

GREATEST PROGRESS:

For each topic, there is an increase in the average approval ratings for 99% of the areas with all stakeholders. The 1% is topic #5, which is the growth mindset. To increase this and other areas the school goals, summer bridge program, and onboarding practices for staff, and orientation have to center on hitting these topics. Also providing opportunities for ASB, Assemblies and other professional development plans center on the topic areas.

The highest approval ratings for students are the climate for academic learning, self-efficacy, and self-management. This is probably a result of our tutoring, power math/english, saturday schools, our edge coach/therapist, and our ssr classes that focus on college/career development, and life skills. We hope to increase

this by having one-to-one chromebooks, helping parents receive free wi-fi in the home, 24 hour tutoring help online, offering more saturday intervention and skills building courses, along with more parenting courses on how to get their children to and through classes.

The highest ratings for families are climate support for academic learning, and a sense of belonging. and this can be contributed due to our coolsis system, progress reports are more frequent home visits. There are opportunities to meet with at least one administration for a one on one meeting within 4-24 hours and our parent stakeholder meetings weekly. Teachers are encouraged to reply to parents within 24 hours to resolve any issues.

The two highest ratings for staff were the climate support for academic learning at 91%, and knowledge and fairness of Discipline, rules and norms (2016 it was 57% and now its 75%). Our efforts included reviewing the entire parent student handbook with all students on their first three days of school, setting the rules, expectations in every class. The goal is to streamline classroom rooms, expectations to be consistent school wide.

GREATEST NEEDS:

Students have to improve in all topics (Climate of Support for Academic Learning, Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Safety; Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness); Growth Mindset; Self-Efficacy; Social Awareness). One serious concern is safety from the staff perspective. It's still very low. We want students, staff and any guests to feel safe. There are too many fights on our campus; additional support is needed. We are working on parent volunteers but we need trained professionals who can help get fighting down to zero. Each topic can be taught throughout one or two days of the school year. We can have our teachers focus on it in all classes and build a curriculum around these areas that everyone teachers. We will embed more restorative practices next year. The goal is to have more learning communities with our teachers and staff to help streamline and follow up on these topics that need support and focus. Time has to be given to deans and principals to focus on increasing the rates for all of these topics.

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.
2. Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.
3. Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.
2. Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.

3. Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-3 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-3 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-3 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-3 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-3 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 40% of our students.

MSA-3 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-3 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-3 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-1 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-3 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

Student: 59% Family: 91% Staff: 72%

In 2017-18, 86.3% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 59% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 57% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 50% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the

primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-1 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-1 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

- 1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.**

MSA-3 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master

schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-1 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-3 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World, AP Art History, AP Computer Science, AP English Lit.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-1 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-3 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 75% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-3 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-3 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-3 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-3 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-3 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of mis-assignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher mis-assignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-4 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards

- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 **3** 4 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 5

World Language

1 2 3 4 5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 4 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 4 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 4 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-4 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs that is focused on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-4 has also provides PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

- 1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;**
- 2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and**

- 3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

- 1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;**
- 2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and**
- 3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.**

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

- 1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.**
- 2. Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
- 3. Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

- 1. Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
- 2. Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
- 3. Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-4 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-4 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school

decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., “Connection” where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-4 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, and eight PTF meetings.

MSA-4 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 10% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin/PTF, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child’s education.

MSA-4 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school’s EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-4 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-4 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-4 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-4 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

We realized an increase in overall satisfaction with our student population. Unfortunately, we experience a slight decline with our families and a decline with our staff. This data is crucial to the well-being of our school community and we will make every effort to maintain and/or increase our students' satisfaction rate and improve our family and staff rates.

We experienced a change in personnel which has caused some dissatisfaction with our staff. There is a consistent effort being made to support all staff as we collectively strive to move our students toward greater academic and social success.

We have had an increase in challenging behaviors and we continue to employ PBIS strategies with our students. Our enrollment is very transitory and it can take time for our new students to assimilate, but it usually happens. We will

continue to support our students and staff in this area.

To highlight what is being done well at MSA 4, this is what one of our parents wrote, "They're preparing all students for college and adulthood." Lastly, one of our students stated that he/she likes "That the **teachers** care about us and our **future**."

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA 4 has realized an increase in the area of our overall approval rating with our student stakeholder group. It is imperative to continue working in improving our students' experiences as they are our best advertisement.

GREATEST PROGRESS:

Even with a slight decline with our student and staff stakeholder groups, climate of support for academic learning has the highest rating for each group. MSA 4 will continue to provide our students with academic supports during and after the instructional day.

GREATEST NEEDS:

All stakeholder groups have expressed concerns around student behavior/discipline. We have begun having critical conversations around how to appropriately address this concern - staffing, additional supports, training, etc.

OPTIONAL:

I found our staff survey results to be most surprising. We have begun having meaningful individual and whole group conversations in an effort to improve our staff's overall experience.

MSA 4 will continue to analyze its survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-4 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-4 primary goal is to offer a well-rounded educational experiences to our students.

Our college counselor provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. We also encourage and support our students as they pursue dual-enrollment at local community colleges.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes

to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include, AP World History, AP English Language & Composition, AP Spanish Language & Culture, and AP Statistics and Probabilities.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-4 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-4 had a four-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-4 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-4 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-4 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, arts programs, civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-4 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-4 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-5 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 **4** 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 **5**

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-5 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-5 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-5 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-5 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-5 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-5 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-5 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 40% of our students.

MSA-5 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-5 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local

governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-5 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-5 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-5 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

WRITE HERE YOUR FINDINGS OF STUDENT SURVEY LAST YEAR (HIGHEST, LOWEST AREAS, ... For example;)

In 2017-18, 83.0% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 63% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 62% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 60% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

WRITE HERE YOUR REFLECTIONS OF THE STUDENT SURVEY FINDINGS, WHAT ACTIONS YOU HAVE IMPLEMENTED TO ADDRESS THEM AND WHETHER THESE ACTIONS ARE HELPING.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-5 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-5 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-5 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-5 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-5 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP: Spanish and Language Arts.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-5 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-5 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-5 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-5 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-5 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-5 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-5 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services

outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-6 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

History-Social Science

1 2 3 4 **5**

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts (we offer this as after school club)

1 2 3 4 5

World Language

1 2 3 4 5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 4 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 4 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 4 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-6 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-6 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-6 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-6 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-6 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-6 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-6 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 25% of our students.

MSA-6 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-6 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local

governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-6 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-6 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-6 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2017-18, 83.0% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 62% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 70% on Topic 1 (Climate of support for academic learning) and a rating of 53% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

Our surveys reflected that students feel the greatest area of need is the improvement of school activities and positive reinforcement. There is not enough after school clubs and sport tournaments. Additionally, students expressed the need for more student engagement and more fun projects/homework. In order to address these areas of needs, our school added more fun clubs for our after school program and established some sport games with our sister school MSA-4. PE teachers are working together and setting some games such as boys and girls soccer games. Moreover, we are implementing PBIS this year and having assemblies to recognize students for their academic and behavior improvements.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-6 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-6 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-6 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-6 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-6 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-6 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-6 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-6 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-6 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-7 conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 **4** 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-7 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 88 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-7 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-7 pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), PACE Coordinators and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-7 has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-7 achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings.

MSA-7 has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Community Events such as Spelling Bee, STEAM EXPO, Harvest Festival, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-7 has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least 10 activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 24 % of our students.

MSA-7 is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-7 has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local

governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-7 conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 2-5, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-7 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-7 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2017-18, 98% of our 3rd grade to 5th grade students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 69% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 62% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 59% on Topic 4 (Self Efficacy), and a rating of 58% on Topic 5 (Growth Mindset). Whereas 86% answered the question positively to the question of "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students."

Our teachers are trained to increase growth mind set during the inservice days. Also all of our staff members getting training to implement PBIS.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-7 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-7 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-7 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-7 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition such as Computer, PE/Health, Life Skills (Character Education)

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Enrichment Courses based on student needs and interests.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-7 provides access to a college awareness, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-7 will continue to provide access to a college career week to awaken college going culture. In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-7 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-7 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-7 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA Bell conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans help ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 5

World Language

1 2 3 4 5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 4 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 4 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 4 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA Bell ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 36 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, supports and intervention for Students with Disabilities, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, SPED, and ELD over the past few years, MSA Bell has also provided PD and supported our teachers on induction, NGSS, History-Social Science, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. *Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making*

1. **Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.**
2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA Bell pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA Bell has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and nine PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA Bell achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting six SSC, four ELAC, and ten PTF meetings.

MSA Bell has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee Chats, Parent College, parent workshops, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA Bell has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least fifteen activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 25% of our students.

MSA Bell is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA Bell has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings or informational sessions, school events, surveys, home visits, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA and other school plans.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee Chats, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need. (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the yearly SPSA. In addition, MSA Bell conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the staff makes home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA Bell conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA Bell disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA Bell strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2017-18, 100.0% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 80% favorable school experience and 80% report a favorable climate for learning. The areas of need are in the area of growth mindset with 59% and self-efficacy with 57% of the students answering favorably.

One of our areas of focus the previous year and will continue to be the focus for the 2018-19 is the area of social-emotional development. Mentorships and advisory lessons that focus on areas of need have been strategy that are currently being practiced.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA Bell uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA Bell uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey, students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA Bell designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA Bell strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness and socio-emotional development. Furthermore, MSA Bell has provided students with information regarding A-G courses, as well as offered opportunities to take courses such as Algebra 1, Geometry, or two different world language courses that would earn credit towards their high school pathway.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA Bell provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA Bell will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA Bell will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA Bell will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA Bell provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-San Diego conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing

needs as needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 **3** 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 **3** 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 **5**

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

World Language

1 2 3 4 **5**

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 **4** 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 **4** 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 **4** 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-San Diego ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-San Diego has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-San Diego pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), Local Governance Committee and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-San Diego has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four Local Governance Committee Meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-San Diego achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting the SSC,PTF , and LCAP meetings.

MSA-San Diego has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 12% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-San Diego has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 16% of our students.

MSA-San Diego is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing,, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-San Diego has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings,, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).Feedback from our parent advisory committee provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-San Diego conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-8, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-San Diego disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-San Diego strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2017-18, 88.7 % of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 66% on the eight topics of the survey., with a rating of 54% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 58% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness). Our approval rating for students decreased 1 percent point, Topic 3 decreased 2 percent points and Topic for decreased 5 percent points compared to last year. Based on the free responses the main reason for the decrease is not having the school facilities for the first 8 weeks and the late completion of the permanent site. One of our goals for our students is to provide a learning environment where student learn skills to become independent learners. Based on student survey results the highest approval rates are "Self Management" and "Climate of Support for Academic Learning". These results attest that we are on the right path.

MSA-San Diego also analyzes open-ended questions to further understand our students' perceptions and strives to improve their perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-San Diego uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-San Diego uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-San Diego designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-San Diego strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-San Diego provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-San Diego will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-San Diego will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips,, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-San Diego will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-San Diego provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (Priority 1)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; and provides information annually on progress meeting this standard to its local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below. In the future, this information will be auto-populated within the web-based evaluation rubrics system (California School Dashboard) for local educational agencies that use the California Department of Education's School Accountability Report Card template. Currently, all local educational agencies will need to provide the following information:

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: * 0

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: * 0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): * 0

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-SA conducts credential review as part of teacher hiring process and supports our teachers' credentialing needs as

needed. We annually review master schedule/teacher assignments to ensure compliance. Additionally, we review alignment of instructional materials to standards and annually keep an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchase of materials. The annual review of budget and plans helps ensure adequate funds is available for instructional materials so that there are no students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, including digital resources. Finally, we conduct monthly and yearly facility inspections to screen for safety hazards. Daily general cleaning by custodial staff maintains campus cleanliness.

Self-Reflection Tool for Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science

- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 **4** 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 **4** 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 **4** 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 **5**

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 **5**

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 **5**

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 **4** 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 **4** 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 5

World Language

1 2 3 4 5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. During the 2016-17 school year (including summer 2016) or during the 2017-18 school year (including summer 2017), rate the local educational agency's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators?

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

1 2 3 4 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

1 2 3 4 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

1 2 3 4 5

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-SA ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-1 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Self-Reflection Tool for Parent Engagement (Priority 3)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school districts, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the evaluation rubrics.

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflection tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the evaluation rubrics web-based system (California School Dashboard).

Local educational agencies will provide a narrative summary of their progress toward (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs.

The summary of progress must be based either on information collected through surveys of parents/guardians or other local measures. Under either option, the local educational agency briefly describes why it chose the selected

measures, including whether the local educational agency expects that progress on the selected measure is related to goals it has established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in its Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Option 1: Survey

If the local educational agency administers a local survey to parents/guardians in at least one grade within each grade span that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), summarize:

1. The key findings from the survey related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The key findings from the survey related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected survey and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Option 2: Local Measures

Summarize:

1. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to seeking input from parents/guardians in school and district decision making;
2. The local educational agency's progress on at least one measure related to promoting parental participation in programs; and
3. Why the local educational agency chose the selected measures and whether the findings relate to the goals established for other Local Control Funding Formula priorities in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

Examples of measures that local educational agencies could select are listed below.

A. Seeking Input in School/District Decision Making

1. Measure of teacher and administrator participation in professional development opportunities related to engaging parents/guardians in decision making.

2. **Measure of participation by parents/guardians in trainings that also involve school/district staff to build capacity in working collaboratively.**
3. **Measure of parent/guardian participation in meetings of the local governing board and/or advisory committees.**

B. Promoting Participation in Programs

1. **Measure of whether school sites have access to interpretation and translation services to allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education.**
2. **Measure of whether school sites provide trainings or workshops for parents/guardians that are linked to student learning and/or social-emotional development and growth.**
3. **Measure of whether school and district staff (teachers, administrators, support staff) have completed professional development on effective parent/guardian engagement in the last two school years.**

Text is limited to 3000 characters

MSA-SA pursues an integrated strategy to support the exchange of information, purposeful interaction, and meaningful participation between the school, families, and community partners to support student learning and achievement. This includes, but is not limited to, School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, parent activities/events, web portal, home-visits, and school experience surveys.

MSA-SA has selected the following metrics as measures in seeking input in school decision making: holding at least four SSC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and four PTF meetings per year. Such meetings provide ways to seek input from our stakeholders in school decision making and the numbers of those meetings are selected as measurable outcomes in Goal 3 of our LCAP, i.e., "Connection" where all students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness. MSA-SA achieved its annual measurable outcomes on seeking input in school decision making in the past year by hosting four SSC, four ELAC, and seven PTF meetings. Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) Coordinator was hired to increase parents' involvement.

MSA-SA has selected the following metrics as measures in promoting participation in programs: holding at least five parent involvement activities/events per year and conducting home visits to at least 25% of our students. Activities/events to involve parents include, but are not limited to, Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Coffee with Admin, Parent College, and parent conferences. Such activities allow parents/guardians to participate fully in educational programs and individual meetings with school staff related to their child's education. The measurable outcomes are listed in Goal 3 of our LCAP. MSA-SA has achieved its annual measurable outcomes on promoting participation in programs in the past year by hosting at least five activities/events and conducting home-visits to at least 25% of our students.

MSA-SA is also working to schedule annual workshops for parents including parents of ELs and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, SEL frameworks, and family literacy.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on parent engagement.

Text limit is 1500 characters

In order to promote learning and provide a more positive learning experience for our students, MSA-SA has established a culture of gathering input from parents, students, staff, community members, and other stakeholders through multiple channels including meetings, school events, surveys, home visits, newsletters, and other means of communication. To the extent possible, all stakeholders are invited to be involved in the process of school review and improvement including the development of our annual SPSA.

Information/input sessions include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Coffee with the Principal meetings, Board of Directors meetings, Principal meetings, and staff meetings. Parents on our PTF and SSC also serve as our parent advisory committee for Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Along with ELAC, such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, English learners, foster youth, etc.) Feedback from our parent advisory committee and ELAC provide valuable input for the new SPSA. In addition, the Charter School conducts surveys for parents, students, and staff, and the Charter School staff make home visits. These all serve as ways to inform, educate, and gather input & feedback from all critical stakeholders.

School Climate (Priority 6)

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

Standard: The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local

governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies (LEAs) will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. DATA: Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. MEANING: What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. USE: What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Annually, MSA-SA conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 3-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-SA disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-SA strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

Students in Elementary like their teachers and all the STEAM related activities that take place in their school. Students in Secondary also like their teachers and their college counselor. The student enjoy their AP classes and PE.

Additionally, students in secondary like having small class size, the friends that they've made and the pizza hut they get for lunch once a month!

The Elementary students like least the food and not having enough area to play or a permanent playground. The students also reported that there are mean comments/name calling/bad words happening in school. The Secondary students strongly dislike the school food, the crowded hallways, the restrictions to bathroom usage and having multiple Spanish teachers this year.

In 2017-18, 88.5% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 64% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 61% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 61% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

The Elementary students suggested that we offered better food, a playground, and more sports. The Secondary students

suggested better food, having more sports, and having a gym. Additionally, the students suggested that we revisited our teacher contracts because they would not like to see teacher leaving in the middle of the year.

Lastly, students suggested that the school have stricter sanctions for some students that display repetitive negative behaviors.

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text limit is 1500 characters

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-1 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-SA uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) - Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12 , as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

MSA-SA designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-SA strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-SA provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students

have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World History, AP Language & Composition, AP Stats, AP Computer principles

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-SA offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-SA had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

MSA-SA provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

MSA-SA will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-SA will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-SA will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Text is limited to 3000 characters

Criteria:

Please assess the local educational agency performance on meeting the standard by designating the following: *

Met

Not Met

Not Met For Two or More Years

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on access to a broad course of study.

Text limit is 1500 characters

MSA-SA provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.