

MAGNOLIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Board Of Directors

Board Agenda Item #	VI A
Date:	10.05.2015
То:	Magnolia Board of Directors
From:	Michelle Crumpton, Chief Academic Officer
Staff Lead:	Michelle Crumpton, Chief Academic Officer
RE:	Monthly Academic Board Report

Proposed Board Recommendation

Information item, no actions needed.

Background

Monthly Academic Board Report

Budget Implications

None

Name of Staff Originator:

Michelle Crumpton, Chief Academic Officer

Attachments

Monthly Academic Board Report Academic Presentation SBAC Test Results



MAGNOLIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

13950 Milton Ave. 200B Westminster, CA 92683 P: (714) 892-5066 F: (714) 362-9588

Board of Trustees Academic Update 10/08/15

Michelle Hurst-Crumpton Chief Academic Officer

Updates:

- School openings went well with support from all MPS Academic Staff.
- Academic Team provided on-site support to all MPS schools and completed monitoring visit reports detailing highlights and constructive feedback as well as support.
- Coaching and Professional Development on-site has begun
 - o Block Scheduling
 - o Rigor
 - o Curriculum Implementation
 - o Blended Learning training
- Principals have begun one-on-one monthly meetings with the Chief Academic Officer. Needs and concerns are tracked across all departments to resolve all issues in a timely manner.
- Metrics have been created by the CAO and dashboards will be presented in November.
- All MPS schools received their McGraw Hill curriculum and implementation is the goal over the next month.
- MAP diagnostic testing were extended to September 30, 2015 due to technological issues on the MAP website and other minor technology issues.
- All MPS schools are mandated to use SBAC Interim Assessments to gage student knowledge acquisition based on standards.

Santa Clara Support:

Santa Clara is still receiving assistance to work out additional Coolsis issues. The Academic Team visited the new site in Santa Clara to help with the start-up of the 2015-2016 school year. This assistance included arranging bus transportation and scheduling, master and bell schedule, co-location communication, school beautification, and Student Information Systems support. Although all issues have not been resolved, continued support to Santa Clara as well as the San Diego site is a team priority this year.

Achievement:

With the release of MPS baseline data, attached you will find a presentation on Common Core Implementation in Magnolia Public Schools with attached results.



MAGNOLIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

13950 Milton Ave. 200B Westminster, CA 92683 P: (714) 892-5066 F: (714) 362-9588



" Suggestions and Recommendation - 2010 **Opportunities for LEAs** 55 2010-Support professional learning to promote awareness of and familiarity with the CCSS 66 Area of Focus: Compare and contrast the CCSS with the 1997 content standards 55 2011- Conduct local needs assessment to identify needs and set priorities for professional learning and develop local professional learning plan based on identified needs and full implementation in 2014-15 2012- Support ongoing professional learning to promote transition to the CCSS based upon priorities established in local plan

lation ontinued Recommende 2

55 Areas of Focus may include: 56 Mathematics: the standards for mathematical practice, modeling, and Content shifts in mathematics for grades 56 English language arts: text complexity, text-based questions and tasks, developing literacy across the content areas, writing informational text, collaborative conversations, the new English Language Development (ELD) standards 55 2013- New Challenges: transitioning to the Smarter Balanced assessment system, effective utilization of technology and media, 21st century skills, career and college readiness 56 2014-Provide professional learning to support full implementation of the CCSS 56 Areas of Focus: content shifts in mathematics, transition to Smarter Balanced assessments

Implementation SBE Core 2010-2015 Common 55 66 55 online





2013: 56 January 2013: Career Technical <u>Education Curriculum Standards</u> <u>Presented to SBE for approval</u> Uses YOIK 55 iculum <u>February 2013: Supplemental</u> <u>Instructional Materials Review (SINIR)</u> <u>report posted online</u> SdM New 55 Spring 2013: Pilot testing of summative Curri 2013-Engage 56 August 2013: SIMR Math Category 2 report posted online V Onlin 56 November 2013: Revised mathematics <u>framework available</u>

Uses Ore Workbooks び 2014- MPS Nommon 4 6 Kea

55 2014: 56 January 2014: List of SBEadopted K-8 mathematics <u>instructional materials available</u> 55 June 30, 2014: List of SBE-<u>instructional materials available</u> 55 Spring 2014: Field testing of <u>summative assessments</u> 55 July 2014: Revised English-<u>language arts framework</u> available

Inplementation Dentation Begins

MAP testing aligned to Common Core Standards Instructional Data focus for each school (Understanding data to drive instruction). Intervention Plans for Low Performing Students Site-Visit and academic assessments of teacher readiness. Curriculum Committee Formed High-engagement of instructional staff in Professional Development (SBAC, Common Core, NGSS workshops -LACOE and LAUSD) Leadership shift: Principal is the instructional Leader

Continued

Professional Development for School Leaders Begin (This is full implementation year) -Principals and Deans (Determining and sharing goals, Data Wise Improvement Process, Aligning Academic Vision for School Leaders, Principal Goal Presentations, Principal Presentations-Data, Interventions and Monitoring, Taking an Inquiry Stance, School Culture and Teacher Retention).

Continued

55 Differentiated Instruction: Meeting the needs of All Learners, Curriculum Conversations as an Academic Team, MAP Presentations on School Level Data, and Intervention Presentation on Progress Monitoring. 55 Introduction of SBAC Assessments (Not ready until January 2015) and the Digital Library



015 Implementation. Gets et's Done じ What Weasured 56

55 Additional Staff- Director of Curricular and Instructional Models- 80% of time in schools delivering instructional coaching and professional development (ELA, Social Sciences) 17 Blended Learning and Science Advisor- 80% of time in schools delivering instructional coaching and professional development. (Math, Science, Blended Learning) 56 EL Coordinator and Title III compliance – 50% of time in schools and delivering instructional coaching and professional development These are MPS first ever instructional coaches.

2015

" **Common Core Aligned** Curriculum purchased in the summer of 2015: Now in use in schools, Total 1.5 Million invested. " SBAC alignment: Study Sync Connect Ed All text and digital materials and online platforms aligned to California Common Core Standards * (LAUSD/ State also chose McGraw

Principal annual goals are aligned to LCAP and are setting measurable ち academic targets based 201 on this years baseline data from SBAC. 55 Goal must show a percentage of expected growth based on data. 55 Data will be monitored to predict academic outcomes.

Continued

55

56 Piloting local interim assessments 56 Adapting classroom structure to Common Core 55 One-to-One Chromebooks 55 Observations aligned to Common Core 56 Co-Teaching in core classes to support Special Education students 55 100% compliance with LAUSD SELPA (Special Education) 56 Using FuelEd to support individualized EL 56 Monthly grade identification to determine students with failing grades, including credit 56 College readiness including college nights, college counselors and professional development 55 College Counselors are included in the monthly Academic meetings with Deans 56 Best Practice implementation opportunities 55 Week long joint MPS Professional Development covering academics and positive behavior support STEM Expo in collaboration of all Magnolia schools and community refocusing on mission.

Conclusion

55

55 2015 SBAC DATA ATTACHED Although results are showing lower progress than expected, when compared to State and LAUSD, Magnolia has achieved well in comparison to National Averages. 56 Criteria of success may vary in years to come, SBAC and LCAP goals and local percentages may factor into the AYP formula. 55 Although the results were comparable to the district and state and nation, Magnolia must focus on curricular implementation of Common Core with fidelity, and teacher training. 56 The ability for teaching and learning to occur using aligned resources, additional Professional Development and coaching opportunities through increased team capacity, and using data as an impetus for academic change will produce significant changes in achievement. The addition of the three Instructional Leaders will also contribute to SBAC academic growth.

		N	1SA 1 - El	Α.		LAUSD	State
	6th 7th 8th 11th ALL						
Exceeded	4%	2%	11%	17%	7%	10%	16%
Met	16%	13%	49%	45%	28%	23%	28%
Nearly Met	32%	35%	30%	31%	32%	26%	25%
Not Met	49%	50%	10%	6%	32%	41%	31%

	MSA 1 - Math							
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All	
Exceeded	4%	10%	13%	10%	9%	9%	14%	
Met	10%	10%	24%	21%	15%	16%	19%	
Nearly Met	41%	38%	32%	38%	38%	28%	29%	
Not Met	46%	42%	31%	32%	38%	47%	38%	



	MSA 2 - ELA									
9/	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All			
Exceeded	3%	6%	5%	11%	5%	10%	16%			
Met	14%	30%	25%	31%	24%	23%	28%			
Nearly Met	40%	33%	40%	29%	36%	26%	25%			
Not Met	43%	31%	31%	29%	34%	41%	31%			

	MSA 2 - Math						
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	14%	6%	12%	4%	10%	9%	14%
Met	16%	16%	14%	22%	16%	16%	19%
Nearly Met	32%	39%	32%	22%	33%	28%	29%
Not Met	38%	39%	42%	51%	41%	47%	38%



		LAUSD	State								
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All				
eeded	1%	0%	2%	14%	3%	10%	16%				

Exceeded	1%	0%	2%	14%	3%	10%	16%	
Met	15%	14%	31%	17%	19%	23%	28%	
Nearly Met	32%	32%	37%	39%	34%	26%	25%	
Not Met	51%	54%	30%	31%	44%	41%	31%	
	MSA 3 - Math							
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All	

	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	1%	2%	3%	8%	3%	9%	14%
Met	11%	10%	9%	8%	10%	16%	19%
Nearly Met	42%	36%	38%	28%	37%	28%	29%
Not Met	46%	51%	49%	56%	50%	47%	38%



		N	/ISA4 - EL	A		LAUSD	State
6th 7th 8th 11th ALL							All
Exceeded	0%	0%	0%	15%	4%	10%	16%
Met	28%	22%	28%	54%	32%	23%	28%
Nearly Met	50%	22%	47%	19%	34%	26%	25%
Not Met	22%	56%	25%	12%	30%	41%	31%

	MSA4 - Math						
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	6%	0%	11%	4%	5%	9%	14%
Met	6%	3%	8%	12%	7%	16%	19%
Nearly Met	33%	41%	28%	36%	34%	28%	29%
Not Met	56%	56%	53%	48%	53%	47%	38%



	MSA5 - ELA						
	6th	7th	8th	ALL	All	All	
Exceeded	0%	4%	0%	1%	10%	16%	
Met	17%	19%	15%	17%	23%	28%	
Nearly Met	46%	23%	38%	39%	26%	25%	
Not Met	37%	54%	46%	42%	41%	31%	

2014-15	SBAC	Test	Results
---------	------	------	---------

	MSA5 - Math						
	6th	7th	All	All			
Exceeded	0%	8%	8%	3%	9%	14%	
Met	3%	4%	0%	3%	16%	19%	
Nearly Met	38%	38%	15%	35%	28%	29%	
Not Met	59%	50%	77%	59%	47%	38%	



	MSA6 - ELA						
	6th	7th	All	All			
Exceeded	6%	7%	21%	12%	10%	16%	
Met	24%	22%	40%	29%	23%	28%	
Nearly Met	30%	35%	29%	31%	26%	25%	
Not Met	40%	35%	10%	28%	41%	31%	

2014-15	SBAC	Test	Results
---------	-------------	------	----------------

	MSA6 - Math						
	6th	7th	All	All			
Exceeded	10%	9%	19%	13%	9%	14%	
Met	12%	13%	16%	14%	16%	19%	
Nearly Met	30%	33%	33%	32%	28%	29%	
Not Met	48%	44%	33%	41%	47%	38%	



	MSA 7 - ELA							
	3th	All	All					
Exceeded	24%	27%	16%	23%	10%	16%		
Met	29%	15%	38%	26%	23%	28%		
Nearly Met	37%	23%	28%	30%	26%	25%		
Not Met	10%	35%	19%	21%	41%	31%		

	MSA7 - Math						
	3th	4th	All	All			
Exceeded	20%	10%	22%	16%	9%	14%	
Met	35%	25%	16%	27%	16%	19%	
Nearly Met	31%	48%	47%	41%	28%	29%	
Not Met	14%	17%	16%	16%	47%	38%	



	MSA8 - ELA						
	6th	All	All				
Exceeded	6%	6%	9%	7%	10%	16%	
Met	30%	25%	35%	30%	23%	28%	
Nearly Met	37%	33%	33%	34%	26%	25%	
Not Met	27%	36%	22%	28%	41%	31%	

	MSA8 - Math						
	6th	7th	All	All			
Exceeded	3%	7%	9%	6%	9%	14%	
Met	12%	14%	18%	15%	16%	19%	
Nearly Met	40%	34%	31%	35%	28%	29%	
Not Met	45%	45%	43%	44%	47%	38%	



Magnolia Science Academy Santa Clara

	MSA SC - ELA						
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	20%	36%	28%	33%	28%	0%	16%
Met	43%	29%	44%	33%	39%	4%	28%
Nearly Met	24%	23%	20%	14%	22%	15%	25%
Not Met	13%	12%	7%	19%	11%	80%	31%

	SC County	State					
	6th	7th	8th	11th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	37%	39%	56%	25%	43%	1%	14%
Met	30%	25%	12%	45%	23%	1%	19%
Nearly Met	20%	25%	17%	15%	20%	5%	29%
Not Met	13%	12%	15%	15%	14%	92%	38%



Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana

		MSA S	A - ELA		SAUSD	State
	6th	7th	8th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	12%	18%	5%	14%	6%	16%
Met	35%	38%	39%	39%	19%	28%
Nearly Met	38%	28%	32%	29%	29%	25%
Not Met	15%	18%	24%	18%	46%	31%

		MSA SA	- Math		SAUSD	State
	6th	7th	8th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	23%	19%	15%	17%	6%	14%
Met	19%	16%	22%	21%	15%	19%
Nearly Met	42%	43%	27%	37%	31%	29%
Not Met	15%	22%	37%	25%	49%	38%



Magnolia Science Academy San Diego

		MSA S	D - ELA		SDUSD	State
	6th	7th	8th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	12%	18%	13%	15%	22%	16%
Met	50%	46%	43%	46%	29%	28%
Nearly Met	25%	26%	29%	27%	23%	25%
Not Met	13%	9%	14%	12%	26%	31%

2014-15 SBAC Test Results

		MSA SD) - Math		SDUSD	State
	6th	7th	8th	ALL	All	All
Exceeded	32%	24%	31%	29%	19%	14%
Met	36%	29%	20%	28%	22%	19%
Nearly Met	17%	31%	29%	26%	28%	29%
Not Met	15%	16%	20%	17%	31%	38%



All

SDUSD

All

State

6th

7th

8th

MSA SD - Math

ALL

📕 Met

Nearly Met
Not Met

2015 Science Test Results

MSA-1	8th grade	11th grade
Students Tested	71	20
% Advanced	37%	21%
% Proficient	21%	29%
% Basic	28%	39%
% Below Basic	7%	11%
% Far Below Basic	7%	%0

MSA-3	8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	85	44
% Advanced	32%	%L
% Proficient	31%	30%
% Basic	21%	39%
% Below Basic	11%	16%
% Far Below Basic	8%	%6

	stn grade
Students Tested	13
% Advanced	8%
% Proficient	8%
% Basic	38%
% Below Basic	31%
% Far Below Basic	15%

MSA-2	8th grade	11th grade
Students Tested	82	
% Advanced	29%	25%
% Proficient	20%	30%
% Basic	24%	10%
% Below Basic	16%	28%
% Far Below Basic	11%	8%

MSA-4		8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	pa	31	30
% Advanced		23%	13%
% Proficient		16%	10%
% Basic		42%	40%
% Below Basic		3%	10%
% Far Below Basic	asic	16%	27%

MSA-6		8th grade
Students Tested	ed	58
% Advanced		55%
% Proficient		28%
% Basic		14%
% Below Basic	U	2%
% Far Below Basic	3asic	2%

2015 Science Test Results

MSA-7	5th grade
Students Tested	32
% Advanced	25%
% Proficient	47%
% Basic	25%
% Below Basic	3%
% Far Below Basic	%0

MSA-SA	8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	40	14
% Advanced	33%	14%
% Proficient	33%	36%
% Basic	25%	36%
% Below Basic	8%	14%
% Far Below Basic	3%	%0

Sth grad s Tested 8th grad aced 6 nced 2 ient 2 v Basic 1		
s Tested 5 nced 6 ient 2 ient 2 v Basic 1 elow Basic 1	MSA-SD	8th grade
nced 6 ient 2 8 v Basic 1 elow Basic	Students Tested	117
asic	% Advanced	63%
V Basic 1	% Proficient	21%
asic	% Basic	11%
	% Below Basic	4%
	% Far Below Basic	1%

MSA-8	8th grade
Students Tested	174
Advanced	41%
% Proficient	30%
% Basic	23%
% Below Basic	4%
% Far Below Basic	2%

MSA-SC	8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	126	28
% Advanced	84%	32%
% Proficient	10%	36%
% Basic	4%	29%
% Below Basic	2%	%0
% Far Below Basic	1%	4%

Palm Lane	5th Grade
Students Tested	88
% Advanced	3%
% Proficient	27%
% Basic	30%
% Below Basic	18%
% Far Below Basic	22%

2015 Science Test Results

By County

Los Angeles County	5th grade	8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	106,284	106,579	109,051
% Advanced	21%	%6E	22%
% Proficient	34%	%23%	27%
% Basic	28%	19%	31%
% Below Basic	10%	10%	12%
% Far Below Basic	7%	%8	8%

San Diego County	5th grade	8th grade	10th grade
Students Tested	34,667	34,502	35,244
% Advanced	25%	44%	28%
% Proficient	37%	23%	29%
% Basic	25%	17%	27%
% Below Basic	8%	%6	%6
% Far Below Basic	5%	%L	%L

y 5th grade 8th grade 20,211 19,2 35% 5 34% 1 21% 1 21% 1 6% 4%				
sted 20,211 19,2 5 35% 5 6 34% 1 1 21% 1 1 21% 1 1 6%	Santa Clara County		8th grade	10th grade
35% 5 34% 1 34% 1 36% 1 sic 6%	Students Tested	20,211	19,271	18,402
34% 1 321% 1 sic 6% bic 6%	% Advanced	35%	55%	37%
21% 1 6%	% Proficient	34%	19%	26%
6%	% Basic	21%	13%	23%
701	% Below Basic	6%	%L	8%
4%	% Far Below Basic	4%	5%	6%

Students Tested 34,525 35,605 37,342 % Advanced 30% 54% 35% % Proficient 35% 20% 29% % Basic 14% 24% 24% % Below Basic 7% 5% 7% % Far Below Basic 5% 5% 4%	Orange County	5th grade	8th grade	10th grade
30% 54% 14% 20% 23% 20% 7% 6% 5% 5%	Students Tested	34,525	35,605	37,342
35% 20% 14% 14% 7% 6% 5% 5%	% Advanced	30%	24%	35%
23% 14% 7% 6% 5% 5%	% Proficient	35%		29%
7% 6% 5 %	% Basic	23%		24%
5% 5%	% Below Basic	7%		2%
	% Far Below Basic	5%		4%

Page 3