Teachers Compensation Analysis



For 2016-17 Budget (3/9/2016) Rev 4.0





Plan for the highest affordable salary increase

Consider rising STRS expenses



Address issues from teachers and principals

Commit a proportional share of new revenue to comp

Complete process to issue contracts in March



- Push salaries up to be as competitive as possible with surrounding school districts and charters.
- While maintaining our model, placing a high priority on the learning environment through deans, student activities and paid extra duties for teachers.



Consider rising STRS expenses

The State Teachers' Retirement System (STRS) has notified the state that employer and employee contributions will rise dramatically to cover the underfunding of the projected cost of current and future retirees.

Planning Factors	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
CalSTRS Employer Rate	8.88%	10.73%	12.58%	14.43%	16.28%	18.13%

We need to be careful not to raise salaries now by more than we will be able to afford in future years.



Address issues from teachers and principals

Issue	Action
Keep great benefits while adding flexibility and new coverage for disability	Rebid benefits management contract to get more competitive rates and packages for same or lower cost
Provide more job security year to year	Create 1, 3 and 5 year contract offers
Remove the difference in pay between teachers, teaching different subjects	Included in this proposal
Increase flexibility to compete for hard to find talent	Included in this proposal
Maintain annual bonus pool	Included in this proposal



To achieve this, we established the compensation funding pool by totaling all new general funds, non-facilities funding and setting aside the same percentage of the revenue that was committed in the 2015-16 budget for total teachers' salaries and benefits.

Total New General Fund Revenue	\$1,790,489
% of 2015-16 Non-Capital Budget for Teachers' Com	48.00%
Affordable Teachers' Compensation Pool	\$859,435



Here are the calculations...

	Total		Total		Total		Total	<u>Total Pool</u> for Comp
	General	<u>2015-16</u>	General	<u>2016-17</u>	General	Variance	General	Increase
		Revenue per		Revenue per		Revenue	Revenue	<u>2016-17 @</u>
School	2015-16	ADA	2016-17	ADA *	Variance	per ADA	Variance	48%
MSA-1	\$4,905,366	\$9,417	\$5,251,162	\$10,081	\$345,796	\$664	7%	\$165,981.97
MSA-2	\$4,160,904	\$8,808	\$4,528,779	\$9,587	\$367,875	\$779	9%	\$176,579.97
MSA-3	\$4,032,747	\$9,239	\$4,246,515	\$9,729	\$213,768	\$490	5%	\$102,608.64
MSA-4	\$1,632,186	\$8,998	\$1,744,306	\$9,616	\$112,120	\$618	7%	\$53,817.40
MSA-5	\$1,219,836	\$8,384	\$1,331,954	\$9,154	\$112,118	\$771	9%	\$53,816.40
MSA-6	\$1,367,553	\$8,342	\$1,468,294	\$8,957	\$100,741	\$615	7%	\$48,355.63
MSA-7	\$2,393,833	\$8,481	\$2,538,128	\$8,992	\$144,295	\$511	6%	\$69,261.72
MSA-8	\$4,159,683	\$8,681	\$4,402,207	\$9,187	\$242,524	\$506	6%	\$116,411.69
MSA-SD	\$3,324,429	\$8,102	\$3,367,816	\$8,208	\$43,387	\$106	1%	\$20,825.81
MSA-SC	\$715,916	\$6,898	\$777,129	\$7,488	\$61,213	\$590	9%	\$29,382.43
MSA-SA	\$999,289	\$7,105	\$1,045,942	\$7,436	\$46,652	\$332	5%	\$22,393.10
Total	\$28,911,742	\$8,405	\$30,702,231	\$8,949	\$1,790,489	\$544	6%	\$859,434.78



Traditional school districts notify teachers of new contracts on March 15.

- Teachers decide to stay/leave usually by April.
- Job insecurity can lead teachers to look for new jobs.
- Therefore, in addition to multi-year contracts for high performing teachers, we need to issue new contracts no later than March if possible.



Current salary scale

- Our current salary scale already provides an automatic increase for staff just for staying another year because part of our qualifications pay includes the length of Magnolia service years.
- If the board takes no action, salaries automatically rise by about \$1,000 per teacher annually.

		2016-17 (with
		no salary scale
Salary	2015-16	changes)
Minimum	\$41,615	\$46,081
Average	\$51,981	\$54,798
Maximum	\$66,310	\$67,731



Current Salary Calculation:

 Base salary: varies by about \$2,000 (\$39k-\$41k) among schools based on a combination of size, location and student demographics
 Plus

Qualifications: applies a weighted point scale considering: credential status, degrees, subject matter taught, and years of service outside of Magnolia and inside of Magnolia

Plus

Extra Duty Pay (clubs, department chair, etc.)

Teachers also qualify for \$0-\$2,000 for performance bonuses

- Tuition reimbursement
- Great benefits
- Professional development



2016-17 Proposal

Maintain structure of current salary scale, including annual increase Equalize subject matter pay and add one percent to base pay; hold harmless teachers already paid over-scale

2

Create a one percent performance bonus pool and a one percent flexibility fund for hard to find talent

3

11



2016-17 Proposal

Equalize subject matter pay per teacher (excluding benefits)

Increase base by 1% (excluding benefits)

	<u>Current</u>				<u>Current</u>	Current Base	<u>Variance</u>
<u>School</u>	<u>Average</u>	Proposed	<u>Variance</u>	<u>School</u>	<u>Base</u>	<u>+1%</u>	<u>+1%</u>
MSA-1	\$3 <i>,</i> 045	\$4,060	\$1,015	MSA-1	\$40,600	\$41,006	\$406
MSA-2	\$3,248	\$4,060	\$812	MSA-2	\$40,600	\$41,006	\$406
MSA-3	\$3 <i>,</i> 322	\$4,060	\$738	MSA-3	\$41,615	\$42,031	\$416
MSA-4	\$2 <i>,</i> 944	\$4,060	\$1,117	MSA-4	\$39,585	\$39,981	-
MSA-5	\$3,158	\$4,060	\$902	MSA-5	\$40,600	\$41,006	
MSA-6	\$3,335	\$4,060	\$725	MSA-6	\$39,585	\$39,981	-
MSA-7	\$4,060	\$4,060	\$-	MSA-7	\$40,600	. ,	
MSA-8	\$2,944	\$4,060	\$1,116	MSA-8	\$41,615	. ,	
MSA-SA	\$3,045	\$4,060	\$1,015	MSA-SD	\$40,600	. ,	-
MSA-SC	\$3,383	\$4,060	\$677	MSA-SC	\$41,615	. ,	
MSA-SD	\$2,876	\$4,060	\$1,184	MSA-SA	\$39,585		
Average	\$3,214	\$4,060	\$846	Average	\$40,600		



2016-17 Proposal Affordability

<u>School</u>	<u>Total Pool for</u> Comp Increase <u>2016-17</u>	<u>Cost of</u> <u>Current Scale</u> <u>Increase</u> <u>2016-17</u>	Cost of Subject Equalization Increase 2016-17	Cost Per 1% of Base Increase 2016-17	<u>Total</u> <u>Proposed</u> <u>Increase</u> <u>2016-17*</u>	Affordability
MSA-1	\$165,981.97	\$42,630.00	\$30,450.00	\$16,422.08	\$105,924.16	\$60,057.81
MSA-2	\$176,579.97	\$35,525.00	\$20,300.00	\$14,312.95	\$84,450.90	\$92,129.07
MSA-3	\$102,608.64	\$31,485.30	\$16,240.00	\$11,982.58	\$71,690.47	\$30,918.17
MSA-4	\$53,817.40	\$14,108.50	\$11,165.00	\$5,439.15	\$36,151.79	\$17,665.61
MSA-5	\$53,816.40	\$12,789.00	\$8,120.00	\$4,794.11	\$30,497.22	\$23,319.18
MSA-6	\$48,355.63	\$9,875.95	\$5,075.00	\$3,668.89	\$22,288.73	\$26,066.90
MSA-7	\$69,261.72	\$18,473.00	\$-	\$7,073.97	\$32,620.95	\$36,640.78
MSA-8	\$116,411.69	\$28,623.00	\$22,330.00	\$10,858.64	\$72,670.28	\$43,741.41
MSA-SD	\$20,825.81	\$21,335.22	\$21,315.00	\$9,136.66	\$60,923.55	\$(40,097.73)
MSA-SC	\$29,382.43	\$12,880.35	\$6,090.00	\$5,023.79	\$29,017.94	\$364.49
MSA-SA	\$22,393.10	\$15,631.00	\$11,165.00	\$5,690.82	\$38,177.64	\$(15 <i>,</i> 784.54)
Total	\$859,434.78	\$243,356.32	\$152,250.00	\$94,403.65	\$584,413.61	\$275,021.16

*Table includes bonus pool, but not flexibility fund

2016-17 Proposal Affordability



<u>School</u>	<u>Total Pool for</u> Comp Increase <u>2016-17</u>	Increase of Employee Benefits	Total Proposed Increase 2016-17 (Including Benefits)	Affordability Revised	Affordability
MSA-1 MSA-2 MSA-3 MSA-4 MSA-5 MSA-6 MSA-7	\$165,981.97 \$176,579.97 \$102,608.64 \$53,817.40 \$53,816.40 \$48,355.63 \$69,261.72	\$25,075.04 \$21,286.23 \$10,734.14 \$9,055.19 \$6,617.94	\$109,525.94 \$92,976.70 \$46,885.93 \$39,552.41 \$28,906.67	\$67,054.02 \$9,631.94 \$6,931.47 \$14,263.99 \$19,448.96	 \$92,129.07 \$30,918.17 \$17,665.61 \$23,319.18 \$26,066.90
MSA-8 MSA-SD MSA-SC MSA-SA Total	\$116,411.69 \$20,825.81 \$29,382.43 \$22,393.10 \$859,434.78	\$21,577.16 \$18,089.33 \$8,615.97 \$11,335.65	\$94,247.44 \$79,012.88 \$37,633.90 \$49,513.29	\$(58,187.07) \$(8,251.47) \$(27,120.19)	5 \$(40,097.73) 4 \$364.49 4 \$(15,784.54)

*Table includes bonus pool, but not flexibility fund



These schools have lower per Average Daily Attendance (ADA) revenue in general because of having fewer Title 1 eligible students. The new Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) heavily favors schools with predominately historically underserved populations.

Santa Ana: Growth in enrollment of disproportionately Title 1 eligible students will compensate for variance and underscores the need for the raise, to attract highly qualified staff.

Santa Clara: Compensating cuts can be found elsewhere in the budget.

San Diego: In addition to having a low percentage of historically underserved populations, MSA San Diego is moving from an extremely low cost facility into one that requires the typical 10-15% of revenue. This puts a tighter squeeze on the budget overall, providing less slack to address unanticipated costs and future economic shocks. Nevertheless, we believe that the \$58,000 deficit in the teachers' compensation line items anticipated in this analysis can be addressed in the \$3.4 million budget through enrollment increases that only bring marginal cost increases.

