



Board Agenda Item #	IV C: Information/Discussion Item
Date:	June 23, 2022
To:	Magnolia Public Schools - Board of Directors
From:	Alfredo Rubalcava, CEO & Superintendent
Staff Lead:	David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer
RE:	MPS' Progress on Meeting Local Performance Indicators on the CA School Dashboard

Proposed Board Recommendation

Information/Discussion Item. There is no requirement for the board to approve the schools' reports on meeting the local indicators.

Background

State data is not available for some priority areas identified in the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) law. For these priority areas, the State Board of Education (SBE) approved the local indicators, which are based on information that local educational agencies collect locally. The local indicators that apply to charter schools are:

- Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)
- Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)
- Parent and Family Engagement (Priority 3)
- School Climate (Priority 6)
- Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Local educational agencies receive one of three ratings based on whether they have measured and reported their progress through the Dashboard using locally collected data. The ratings are: Met, Not Met, or Not Met for Two or More Years. For example, on the local indicator for Implementing State Academic Standards (Priority 2), the local educational agency would receive a Met rating if it:

- Measures its progress on the local indicator based on locally available information, and
- Reports the results to the LEA's local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board, and
- Reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

The following are the questions each LEA responds to in the CA School Dashboard to report their progress.

Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)

Use locally available information, including data from the CDE’s School Accountability Report Card (SARC), to measure progress and respond to the three prompts in the self-reflection tool included in the Dashboard:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

The local educational agency is asked to summarize its progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools.

Parent and Family Engagement (Priority 3)

Standard: The local educational agency (LEA) annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families

2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

School Climate (Priority 6)

Standard: Local educational agency administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

The local educational agency is asked to provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12).

Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

For more information on local indicators please refer to: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/localindicators.asp>

Conclusion

Our school leaders have used the self-reflection tools provided by the CDE to report out their evaluation of the progress the school makes towards meeting the local indicators in the five state priorities. The reports are attached for review of the board and the public before they are submitted to the CDE and made public via the CA School Dashboard. Deadline for submission to the CDE is typically around October/November. Annually, as required by the Ed Code, this item will be on the same board agenda as the LCAP adoption in alignment with the LCAP development process so that our schools can share their progress publicly and meet their local indicators.

Budget Implications

N/A

How Does This Action Relate/Affect/Benefit All MSAs?

To have the local indicator report as a public information item at the same meeting as the LCAP adoption is an LCFF requirement. An additional benefit is that schools can share their progress on meeting the local indicators with the public before submitting it to the CDE via the CA School Dashboard.

Name of Staff Originator:

David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer

Exhibits (Attachments):

- MPS Local Indicators – Fall 2022 (one for each MPS)

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-1	Brad Plonka Principal	bplonka@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 609-0507

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards		2			
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-1 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on implementing standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level, and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has been on the ELA/Literacy, Math, and ELD, MSA-1 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-1 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent/teacher conferences to promote parental participation in programs. We use ParentSquare to communicate with students and parents, which has supported our improved communication with all educational partners. Staff greet students and parents in the mornings during student drop-off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Also, our team connects with families through home visits. Home visits help our learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have considerable rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and engaged students. The school uses home visits as an essential feature of its education program to improve student and school performance.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

The LEA's focus areas for improvement in building relationships between school staff and families are having more parent involvement on the campus, more social events (i.e., cultural), and more workshops for the families after school and on Saturdays.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

The LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families to build relationships between staff and families by providing more opportunities for both groups to connect. These opportunities will include in-person and virtual home visits and having events for families during the instructional day, after school, and on Saturdays.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-1 provides resources to support student learning at home through multiple workshops such as parent college, Guiding Good Choices, and feedback given to parents during parent conferences. We have also partnered with CCSA to help equip parents with the knowledge and tools to advocate for their students and school.

MSA 1's communication system, ParentSquare, keeps parents and staff in constant communication through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages, and voicemail. ParentSquare has also broken language barriers between staff and families through automatic language translation.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-1's focus areas for improvement in building partnerships for student outcomes is to continue to build a bridge between the educational partner and school-site to collaboratively support the student's growth.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-1 will improve engagement of underrepresented families in relation to building partnerships for student outcomes by providing more opportunities for these families to engage with the school-site. These opportunities will include workshops and meetings and home visits.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.					5
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-1 holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PTF meetings, PTF Board meetings, SSC meetings, and ELAC meetings. During these meetings, parents have the opportunity to review the school's LCAP and SARC reports and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-1's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making is to get more educational partner involvement in the mentioned meetings.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-1's will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making by providing more opportunities for these families to engage with the school-site.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-1 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument for students in grades 6-12. After the survey, the MSA-1 staff analyzes the survey results, such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey. It documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year, and CORE district results). Then the findings are shared with our educational partners during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-1 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and uses open-ended questions to understand students' perceptions further. MSA-1 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness. For the 2021-2022 school year, 80.8% of the students took the survey. There was an average approval rating of 58% and an overall satisfaction rate of 66%.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1 - MSA-1 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, Mathematics, Social Sciences, and Science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school's master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-1 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-1 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure the satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2 - As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, all students have access to a comprehensive course of study as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, specific programs and services dependent on student needs and interests. We provide CCSS-aligned ELA and Math intervention classes to all students who need additional support and designated and integrated ELD instruction to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, Math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

MSA-1 provides students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. We offer AP courses AP English Lit, AP English Lang, AP Computer Science Principles, AP Biology, AP Spanish Lit, AP Spanish Lang, AP US Government, AP US History, AP World History, AP Studio Art 2D, AP Calculus A, and B. Additionally, MSA-1 provides students with chances to take Dual Enrollment Courses throughout the academic year. This year, MSA-1 offered Cinema 107, English 101 during the fall, Political Science 101, and Communications 101 during the spring semester.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-1 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure the satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

3 - MSA-1 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study to all our students.

4 - MSA-1 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused comprehensive course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

To provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-1 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, and SEL programs, as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-1 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience to our students.

Criteria: MSA-1 provides students with a broad array of courses, including core subjects and electives outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, specific programs and services dependent on student needs and interests.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy 2	David Garner Principal	dgarner@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 758-0300

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA’s progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-2 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction which is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in ongoing professional development each year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. In addition to a focus on ELA/Literacy, math, and EL, MSA-2 also provides PD and supports our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

MSA2 also provided collaboration time during our Wednesday early dismissal days which align across the Magnolia Public School organization where Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) are able to share best practices across all 10 schools in the organization. Further, MSA2 utilizes the support of the home office to support the achievement of progress implementing the academic standards by means of attending monthly principal meetings, as well as monthly dean of academic meetings where additional systems and training is provided across the organization which are integrated into the school site to support instruction and learning.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.

- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Based upon the analysis of educational partner input and local data, MSA2 has experienced a significant amount of strengths and progress in building relationships between school staff and families during the 2021-22 academic year. This school year was particularly unique in that it involved reintegrating students, staff and families into the in-person school system following the distance learning and hybrid learning systems which took place during the previous school year due to the Covid-19 pandemic. In particular, despite the fact that all of MSA2's categories for parent percentages in the below survey categories experienced a decrease over the current academic year, the overall percentages were still incredibly high for each of the categories, and the decreases since the previous year is incredibly small. Given the challenges of this school year, all of the below data are considered to be successes for MSA2 which we will continue to build upon and consider priorities.

Despite the fact that during the 2021-22 academic year, the participation rate of families completing the annual Educational Partner Survey on the Panorama platform decreased by 5.5% over the last year and MSA2 did not meet our LCAP target parents participation rate of 90%, MSA2's participation rate for the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome at a rate of 86.3%.

Despite the fact that the overall satisfaction rates for families during the 2021-22 academic year decreased by 2% (from 99% to 97%), the rate of 97% during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome. Also, despite the fact that the approval rating for families experienced a slight decrease of 1% from the previous year (from 98% to 97%), the rate of 97% during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome.

MSA2 will continue to work on this area to improve the building of relationships between school staff and families by continuing to hold parent meetings and conferences via Zoom as well as in-person, in person events, including our Friday Coffee with the Principal meetings, Parent Teacher Conferences, PTF meetings, SSC, Title I and ELAC meetings.

Despite the fact that the topic of Climate of Support for Academic Learning for MSA2's families during the 2021-22 academic year showed a decrease of 1 percent, the rate of 98% favorable rating during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome. In addition, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to experience success in this topic.

Despite the fact that the topic of Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms for MSA2's families during the 2021-22 academic year showed a decrease of 1 percent, the rate of 97% favorable rating during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome. In addition, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to experience success in this topic.

Despite the fact that the topic of Safety for MSA2's families during the 2021-22 academic year showed a decrease of 1 percent, the rate of 96% favorable rating during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome. In addition, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to experience success in this topic. MSA2 will continue to support the area of safety by continuing to staff the new positions which were created this year to provide additional supervision and support.

Despite the fact that the topic of Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness) for MSA2's families during the 2021-22 academic year showed a decrease of 1 percent, the rate of 97% favorable rating during the current academic year is still considered a successful outcome. We are also incredibly motivated to continue to work on addressing all of the areas of concern during the upcoming school year and continue to make MSA2 a better school for all educational partners across all categories through our ongoing collaborative approach.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

During the 2021-22 academic year, the participation rate of families completing the annual Educational Partner Survey on the Panorama platform decreased by 5.5% over the last year (from 91.8% to 86.3%). MSA2 did not meet our LCAP target parents participation rate of 90%. MSA2 will consider using both electronic and paper surveys in the future rather than exclusively electronic surveys for all families to help families who have challenges with technology to still have the paper option, while using PACE Coordinator to follow up with each family.

The overall satisfaction rates for families during the 2021-22 academic year decreased by 2% (from 99% to 97%). Despite the high rate of overall satisfaction for families of 97% during the current academic year, MSA2 will focus on improving this area even more during the upcoming school year. Additionally, despite the fact that families approval rates were nearly maintained over the past year, this rate still experienced a slight decrease of 1% from the previous year (from 98% to 97%). Despite the high approval rate for families of 97% during the current academic year, MSA2 will focus on improving this area even more during the upcoming school year. We will continue to work on this area to improve the building of relationships between school staff and families by continuing to hold parent meetings and conferences via Zoom as well as in-person, in person events, including our Friday Coffee with the Principal meetings, Parent Teacher Conferences, PTF meetings, SSC, Title I and ELAC meetings.

For the topic of Climate of Support for Academic Learning, MSA2's families favorable rating during the 2021-22 academic year showed a 98% favorable rating, which was down by 1 percent from the previous year. Despite the decrease across families for this topic this year year, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to experience success in this topic. The slight decrease for parents in this topic during the current academic year is attributed to the increased challenges that MSA2 faced in returning to in-person learning following during the Covid-19 pandemic, including increased teacher absences during the 2021-22 academic year which were not preventable due to exposure to Covid-19, close contacts and symptoms during the Covid-19 pandemic.

For the topic of Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms, MSA2's families favorable rating is 97% which was down by 1 percent from the previous year. Despite the decrease across families for this topic this year year, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to

experience success in this topic. The slight decrease for parents in this topic during the current academic year is attributed to the increased challenges that MSA2 faced in returning to in-person learning following during the Covid-19 pandemic, including increased teacher absences during the 2021-22 academic year which were not preventable due to exposure to Covid-19, close contacts and symptoms during the Covid-19 pandemic.

For the topic of Safety, MSA2's families favorable rating is 96% during the current year, which was down by 1 percent from the previous year. Despite the decrease across families for this topic this year year, these results are higher than the MPS average for parents and demonstrate that MSA2 continues to experience success in this topic. The decrease across the families educational partners survey in this topic during the current academic year is attributed to the increased challenges that MSA2 faced in returning to in-person learning following during the Covid-19 pandemic. In particular, during distance learning, families did not have to deal with issues of school safety as much during the distance learning while students were all isolated from each other. In addition, students were not able to interact with other students during the distance learning from the isolation in their homes, so the adjustment which students needed to experience when returning to in-person led to increased behavioral issues at school during the transition period. However, MSA2 has responded to this challenge during the 2021-22 academic year by hiring 1 additional SPED teacher, 3 additional SPED paraprofessionals, 3 additional administrative assistants in the students services department, 1 additional administrative assistant to support the academic department, and 1 additional full time security guard to provide additional supervision and support.

For the topic of Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness), MSA2's families favorable rating is 97% during the current school year, which was down by 1 percent from the previous year. We are also incredibly motivated to continue to work on addressing all of the areas of concern during the upcoming school year and continue to make MSA2 a better school for all educational partners across all categories through our ongoing collaborative approach.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-2 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connects with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance.

The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. In addition, the school holds Coffee with the Principal meetings each Friday where all parents are invited to join school administration and support staff to discuss areas of importance for the parents, ask questions, provide feedback and gather as a community. Further, parents frequently serve on committees which include staff members and students where all educational partner groups collaborate in planning events, including school dances and award assemblies.

Parents are also invited to attend assemblies which celebrate student academic excellence, behavioral improvement, outstanding attendance, and other accomplishments, as well as build school spirit and help the school take a stand against bullying. Further, parents are invited to attend school events which are a part of the Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) system in which students compete in academic, athletic and creative problem-solving events. Parents also participate in the PBIS committee which includes attending trainings with the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE).

MSA2 hosts events which promote a deeper understanding about cultures of families, including a Dia de los Muertos (Day of the Dead) event which included live music and art by students as well as traditional food which was prepared by families and staff members.

Parents also participate as chaperones for field trips where they support the supervision of students during off-campus educational experiences ranging from trips to the Los Angeles Zoo and the California Science Center to public and private universities. Furthermore, parents and families attend CIF sporting events where our students compete with local schools in after school competitive athletic events in sports including cross country, volleyball, soccer, and basketball. Beyond these opportunities, parents also enjoy attending other academic competitions which students participate in, including robotics tournaments and Academic Decathlon events.

Since the Covid-19 physical closure of the schools, which took place during March 2020, MSA2 began maintaining all of the above methods virtually. During the 2021-22 academic year, MSA2 hosted a combination of virtual and in-person events, meetings and workshops related to the above categories.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including educational partner surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.					5
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.					5

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-2 provides resources to support student learning at home through multiple workshops such as parent college and through feedback given to parents during parent conferences. We have also partnered with CCSA to help equip parents with the knowledge and tools to become an advocate for their students and for their school.

MSA 2's communication system ParentSquare keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages and voicemail. ParentSquare has also broken language barriers between staff and families through its automatic language translation and thus, facilitates communication across multiple linguistic and cultural backgrounds. Parents also have the ability to check student grades in the school information system, Infinite Campus, as well as stay updated about student attendance in order to remain informed about their child's progress in a variety of areas. Moreover, parents receive daily phone calls from office staff members to verify student absences and gather useful information about areas of support which students may need when they return to school.

MPS Board meetings are open to MSA2 parents to attend, as the campus is open to the public during all Board and committee meetings. Additionally, parents are able to serve as MPS Board members where they vote on decisions which impact the budgets, operations, and decisions of all ten schools in the MPS charter management organization. Currently, an MSA2 parent serves as the only parent educational partner on the MPS Board.

MSA2 has a Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) coordinator who facilitates parent training, including on topics related to social emotional learning and mental health support. Parents are also invited to attend workshops where English language courses are taught, and college readiness is presented. Parents also serve on the committee to review the School Safety Plan each year in addition to student and staff educational partners prior to submitting the updated plan to the Magnolia Public School (MPS) Board for approval.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including educational partners surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA2 will continue to focus on maintaining the current priorities of providing ongoing opportunities to participate, collaborate and reflect amongst all educational partners within the school community, including between students, families, and the school. In particular, the focus areas which will be priorities in the upcoming school year relate to continuing to improve upon the CTE pathways opportunities provided to students in middle school and high school, as well as to provide increase college courses to the high school community through community partnerships at LA Valley College, Pierce College and Mission College.

In addition, MSA2 will provide opportunities to begin taking advanced English pathways in middle school to support students in developing more technical skills for the students which can lead to increased success in college and advanced courses in high school. Further, MSA2 will continue to analyze data gathered from online resources including the NWEA MAP, myON, ALEKS, iXL, and other sources to support student growth in math, reading and writing.

Moreover, MSA2 will continue to utilize the MTSS systems to improve SEL supports for students, including by expanding educational programs provided to students through Zones of Regulation curriculum which continue to produce weekly videos which permit students to develop better SEL skills which can help them better access their academic program. Finally, MSA2 will continue with the PBIS partnership with LACOE and will continue to build the program in hopes of achieving a Gold metal status for the program in the upcoming year.

MSA2 also aims to improve outcomes academically for all student groups and across all demographics of students, including homeless / foster youth, EL students, students with disabilities, socioeconomically disadvantaged youth, and all racial / ethnic demographics of students.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

As was stated previously, MSA2 will continue to improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to building partnerships for student outcomes through a variety of methods, opportunities, and partnerships.

MSA-2 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connects with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance.

The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. In addition, the school holds Coffee with the Principal meetings each Friday where all parents are invited to join school administration and support staff to discuss areas of importance for the parents, ask questions, provide feedback and gather as a community. Further, parents frequently serve on committees which include staff members and students where all educational partner groups collaborate in planning events, including school dances and award assemblies.

Parents are also invited to attend assemblies which celebrate student academic excellence, behavioral improvement, outstanding attendance, and other accomplishments, as well as build school spirit and help the school take a stand against bullying. Further, parents are invited to attend school events which are a part of the Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) system in which students compete in academic, athletic and creative problem-solving events. Parents also participate in the PBIS committee which includes attending trainings with the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE).

MSA2 hosts events which promote a deeper understanding about cultures of families, including a Dia de los Muertos (Day of the Dead) event which included live music and art by students as well as traditional food which was prepared by families and staff members.

Parents also participate as chaperones for field trips where they support the supervision of students during off-campus educational experiences ranging from trips to the Los Angeles Zoo and the California Science Center to public and private universities. Furthermore, parents and families attend CIF sporting events where our students compete with local schools in after school competitive athletic events in sports including cross country, volleyball, soccer, and basketball. Beyond these opportunities, parents also enjoy attending other academic competitions which students participate in, including robotics tournaments and Academic Decathlon events.

Since the Covid-19 physical closure of the schools, which took place during March 2020, MSA2 began maintaining all of the above methods virtually. During the 2021-22 academic year, MSA2 hosted a combination of virtual and in-person events, meetings and workshops related to the above categories.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including educational partners surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.					5
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA2 has a tremendous amount of strengths related to the school's methods for seeking input for decision-making. In particular, MSA2 has continued to hold Friday Coffee with the Principal weekly meetings with educational partners in which a tremendous amount of input is regularly collected. Further, MSA2 holds quarterly SSC, ELAC, and Title I meetings in which additional input is provided by committee members, including elected representative feedback across multiple educational partnership groups. Additionally, MSA2 continues to have a Parent and Community Engagement coordinator who works with the families and community to gather feedback and improve opportunities to seek input for decision-making. MSA2 also continues to hold elections for student government representatives which include grade level representatives from middle school and high school, as well as executive officers for the full school and 12th grade. These student government members provide feedback related to the content which will be taught to students each week during the weekly PBIS videos which are created by the student government members and which integrate the Zones of Regulation curriculum. The staff also continue to vote for PBIS Ambassadors who serve as the leaders of each of the 4 houses in the school and lead activities as well as attend trainings and provide input about PBIS programs which the school will implement. Beyond this, staff continue to fill out surveys related to the additional committees and duties which they would like to be involved in during the upcoming school year which included providing feedback to the school operations. There are numerous strengths related to the opportunities to seek input for decision-making beyond the ones highlighted here, but these are some of the most significant ones currently at MSA2.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-2 holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings and ELAC meetings. During these meetings parents have the opportunity to review school goals, priorities and decisions being made which are included in the LCAP and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including educational partners surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

As was stated previously, MSA2 will continue to improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to seeking input for decision-making through a variety of methods, opportunities, and partnerships. In particular, MSA2 will build upon the below existing systems, structures, programs and services related to this matter.

MSA-2 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connects with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance.

The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. In addition, the school holds Coffee with the Principal meetings each Friday where all parents are invited to join school administration and support staff to discuss areas of importance for the parents, ask questions, provide feedback and gather as a community. Further, parents frequently serve on committees which include staff members and students where all educational partner groups collaborate in planning events, including school dances and award assemblies.

Parents are also invited to attend assemblies which celebrate student academic excellence, behavioral improvement, outstanding attendance, and other accomplishments, as well as build school spirit and help the school take a stand against bullying. Further, parents are invited to attend school events which are a part of the Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) system in which students compete in academic, athletic and creative problem-solving events. Parents also participate in the PBIS committee which includes attending trainings with the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE).

MSA2 hosts events which promote a deeper understanding about cultures of families, including a Dia de los Muertos (Day of the Dead) event which included live music and art by students as well as traditional food prepared by families and staff members.

Parents also participate as chaperones for field trips where they support the supervision of students during off-campus educational experiences ranging from trips to the Los Angeles Zoo and the California Science Center to public and private universities. Furthermore, parents and families attend CIF sporting events where our students compete with local schools in after school competitive athletic events in sports including cross country, volleyball, soccer, and basketball. Beyond these opportunities, parents also enjoy attending other academic competitions which students participate in, including robotics tournaments and Academic Decathlon events.

Since the Covid-19 physical closure of the schools, which took place during March 2020, MSA2 began maintaining all of the above methods virtually. During the 2021-22 academic year, MSA2 hosted a combination of virtual and in-person events, meetings and workshops related to the above categories.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including educational partner surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA2 annually conducts a Panorama survey for students, parents and staff. We analyze results and share findings with educational partners during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. We disaggregate results by grade and student group and also use open-ended questions to further understand educational partners' perceptions.

To help address previous survey concerns about bullying, MSA-2 launched a PBIS (Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports) program last year in partnership with LACOE where students compete for positive recognition and team points for meeting behavior expectations. At the end of the first year implementing the PBIS house system, the house with the highest points went to Universal Studios as an incentive. For the second year, a parent and MPS Board member were brought on the PBIS team to support program implementation. Expectations are reinforced with weekly videos made by the student government and are shared with students.

This year, we continued to expand upon athletic facilities for our PE program to incorporate more days on co-location facilities. In addition, to support social-emotional needs, we continue with a full-time psychologist and counselor.

To support school safety, we continued to maintain large banners in front of the school to help block visibility. We continue to utilize the expanded school surveillance cameras inside classrooms which was installed in collaboration with LAUSD LACOE and the MPS Board.

Family satisfaction rates remained relatively constant at 97%, with a slight decrease of 2 percent over the past year. The highest favorable rating for families was Climate of Support for Academic Learning, which remained relatively constant at 98%, with a slight decrease of 1 percent over the past year. The lowest approval area by families is Safety which is 96%. The largest decrease for families was tied in all four categories between Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Safety; Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; and Sense of Belonging which each showed a decrease of 1% and all still remained high at rates ranging from 96% to 98%.

Student average overall satisfaction rates during the 2021-22 school year stayed constant at a rate of 88%. Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness) showed the greatest increase for students of 3%. Student averages also increased for Safety which increased by 2% over the last year. The 65% approval for Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness) is the lowest topic for students, yet the highest percentage change for students.

Over the past school year, staff survey results tied with student results in having the smallest decrease for overall average satisfaction ratings, which showed a decrease by 0% with an satisfaction rating of 98%. The greatest increase for staff was Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness) which increased by 1% over the past year. The 76% satisfaction for safety is the lowest percent for staff, and was the largest percent decrease change for staff of 18% over the past year. This change took place as a result of the increase in students being around other students during this academic year in larger percentages than they did last year while hybrid and distance learning took place, which led to increased conflict which impacted staff sense of safety.

Educational partners' voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-2 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-2 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our educational partners during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

In addition to the survey process to gather educational partners' feedback which can be used to improve school climate, MSA2 also receives invaluable feedback from parents during regular Coffee with the Principal meetings, PTF, SSC, and ELAC meetings. Further, invaluable feedback is shared by students through the ASB Student Government which holds regular meetings to support school improvement across a variety of areas. Moreover, staff members provide insightful feedback through a variety of means, including during weekly staff meetings, as well as during grade level meetings, PBIS trainings, and MTSS meetings.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

MSA-2 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-2 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-2 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

MSA-2 has meetings with the Special Education department on a regular basis in which academic, behavioral, and social-emotional progress of students with IEPs are reviewed. Interventions are implemented which are geared toward supporting students in making progress in their graduation plans, as well as toward their goals expressed in IEPs. Accommodations are provided to students which are determined by the IEP team members and which input from teachers, parents and students based on identified areas of need.

School administration holds meetings with home office support staff where data is reviewed from CAASPP, NWEA MAP, California Dashboard, Interim Assessment Blocks which focuses on performance levels of unduplicated student groups and students with exceptional needs and action plans are developed and monitored which hold MSA-2 accountable for the progress of all students in areas of academic standards, chronic absenteeism, college readiness, graduation rates, and other areas. This data is also shared with MSA2 staff members during staff PD meetings.

Midyear progress toward LCAP goals are reviewed and presented to MPS home office members as well as during MPS Board meetings. Further, teachers and school administrators align their professional goals in TeachBoost with these standards and goals, and end of year performance reviews hold teachers and administrators accountable for meeting or failing to meet goals related to MSA-2's success in this area. Monthly meetings take place in the home office with Principals, Dean of Academics, and Dean of Students where this priority and other priorities can be reviewed and supported.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP Spanish Language and Culture, and AP Computers.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-2 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-2 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 97.6% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

MSA-2 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-2 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs,

clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-2 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-3	Zekeriya Ocel Principal	zocel@magnoliapublicschools.org (310) 637-3806

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	1

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-3 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-3 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

We have a variety of events(Honor Roll Assembly, Back to School night, Orientation, Parent Teacher Conferences, Open Houses) and utilize our website and ParentSquare to ensure to communicate important updates, successes and any plans for improvement and change.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-3 has hired a PACE coordinator to better in touch with our families. Our focus areas of improvement is to establish a parent teacher club. Parents will have more engagement via this club.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-3 has homeless and foster youth liaison. This position allows our families to receive SEL (Social Emotional Learning) support. MSA-3 has CWA to get hear from our parents about the concerns they have, and this allows us to check our practices in regards to academics and school operation. MSA-3 has several engagement opportunities for feedback and growth via social media accounts and school events to ensure our school community is cohesive and collaborative.

Our demographic is almost equally split between African American and Latino populations. We try to ensure we are inclusive of all genders, races, ethnicities, and cultures. Being 100% inclusive takes time but MSA-3 is 100% invested in making a mark of cohesion at all parts of our school's staff, families and students!

One area of improvement is Climate of Support. MSA-3 admin and staff will increase support of families through;

- 1) various modes like getting feedback surveys.
- 2) informing parents more of volunteer opportunities.
- 3) including data points for Academic and Behavior during parent meetings to better drive the school operations.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-3 is investing more to improve students' test taking skills, along with professional development on classroom management. We also invest in more college counselors to ensure all of our students finish high school and get college acceptances. College Career Indicator turned to "green" with substantial A-G completion as well as SBAC proficiency.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

We spend a lot of focus on home visits and getting our staff familiar with the student's families. Building a bond and a connection of trust is the most effective way to improve engagement.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

One area of improvement is Climate of Support for our students. MSA-3 admin and staff will increase support of families through;

- 1) Student Led academic engagement meeting academic challenges using UDL support model.,
- 2) providing continued SEL support by using our MTSS Model.
- 3) acknowledging and celebrating student success each quarter.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.			3		
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.			3		

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

We engage with our staff via anonymous survey where we collect data and open ended questions in regards to academics, discipline, school culture.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Monthly, we have a meeting called PAC/Coffee with the Admin so parents can stop in and have a meet and greet or voice concerns and recommend new items to make the school more efficient.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-3 will continue to focus on well planned SSC and ELAC meetings to involve underrepresented groups. MSA-3 has adjusted Coffee with the Admin on a monthly basis to provide comprehensive data points for academics and behavior.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-3's approval rates slightly went down for students and staff around 3% and 5% respectively. We attributed the decline due to challenges of in-person education compared to distance learning rating last year. However, historically, MSA-3 got the highest rating for all groups. Our in-person learning data for the last three years and this year's data is below.

Three years in-person data for students: 56% This year's data: 64

Three years in-person data for families: 89% This year's data: 96

Three years in-person data for staff: 69% This year's data: 80

MSA-3 takes pride in improving in all these areas. We attribute the success to having more student oriented learning environments. Our intervention is geared towards students' needs in Math and English.

For decline in staff, we attribute the issue with the challenges of in-person learning during the pandemic. Teaching with masks, weekly Covid testing impacted our staff's tasks. In addition, challenges around Prop-39 added more responsibility on our traveling teachers as well as receiving teachers. This year, we have had five teachers rotate classrooms. This is an issue to have set classroom procedures, prepare materials and equipment for the traveling teachers.

Student approval rate increase is due to student center activities like assemblies, student leadership events, spirit week activities, field trips.

We have found the following items as identified needs:

Add sports teams (football, soccer, baseball)- A middle school flag football team has been added. For the 2022-2023 year assistant coaches will be added to each team.

Student bathrooms (dirty, vandalism)- A restroom schedule will be created and student expectations for the restrooms will be added.

Facilities; not having enough rooms for every teacher

More activities/electives for students

Limited staff that speak Spanish (we hired 2 bilingual employees after this survey has been taken: one front office staff and one PACE coordinator)

Student discipline, student consequences need to improve- More discipline training has been added to the PD's. A data system has been added to track infractions.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1.MSA-3 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-3 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-3 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2.As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student needs and interests. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World, AP Computer Science, AP English Lit, AP Statistics, AP Spanish, AP Environmental Science.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-3 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-3 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 75% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3.MSA-3 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4.MSA-3 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide a well-rounded education to our students, MSA-3 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc.,as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-3 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-4	Musa Avsar Principal	mavsar@magnoliapublicschools.org (310) 473-2464

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards					5
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts			3		
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-4 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-4 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-4 uses an annual survey to measure how we are meeting the needs of our families in this area. We also conduct home visits to reach out to our parents to receive real-time feedback from them. We have increased our home visit rate during the 2020-21 school year. Home visits have provided us with greater insight as to how to support our students and what might be shaping their perspectives around learning.

MSA-4 has a Parent College program coordinator. Our Parent College program coordinator has served as an informational resource to parents concerning school programs, services, attendance issues, and other matters. With the implementation of the Parent College program, we provided information concerning related activities as well as encouraging parent participation in various school and community programs and services.

The Parent College coordinator has organized Parent College to expose our families to experiences and information that is usually not easily accessible to underserved families.

Furthermore, we have improved our communication lines for our parents and families to keep in touch with our school. We effectively utilized ParentSquare and Remind application to inform our parents about our education programs.

Lastly, we have regularly held parent involvement activities such as Coffee with the Admin, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)/Parent Task Force Meetings (PTF), ELAC meetings, School Site Council meetings, Open Houses, Back to School Night, Parent/Teacher Conferences, and virtual parent information meetings to keep the parents informed about our school programs and receive feedback from them.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.					5
7. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
8. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-4 offers Parent College to our families. This program is designed to support our families as they learn about pathways to college and career readiness. This program also addresses advocacy and informs them of their rights.

MSA-4’s Admin works to engage our school community in advocacy and learning more about our rights. There is also access to information and resources by way of monthly SSC and PAC/PTF meetings. MSA 4’s communication system Parent Square keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages, and voicemail.

A focus area of improvement is to get more participation from parents. We will organize more parent activities according to the feedback that our parents provided during the 2020-2021 school year.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.					5
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-4 provides numerous opportunities for all stakeholders to be a part of the decision-making process. Parents have active decision-making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC), and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings.

In addition, we utilize the annual CORE Districts survey. All of our stakeholders are able to provide feedback on our educational practices by completing the survey in the spring. We analyze the survey results and share them and findings with our stakeholders during the meeting for further discussion to determine strategies for improvement.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-4 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 9-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-4 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-4 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, MSA-4 has observed an increase in the area of our overall approval rating with all stakeholder groups.

In 2020-21, 96.9% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 79.0%% on the four topics of the survey, with a rating of 88% on Topic 1 (The climate of Support for Academic Learning) and a rating of 82% on Topic 2 (Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms), and a rating of 76% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating 63% Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging), and 91% answered positively to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students."

Sense of belonging is the category with the lowest approval rate. The sense of belonging to school can be described as the perception of students as individuals, respecting their existence, and supporting them in the social environment of the school.

A low sense of belonging to the school, unfortunately, translates as low attendance, low preparation for school, and low levels of obedience to school and classroom rules. From this viewpoint, the sense of belonging to school is the single most important topic that we will address as the greatest need for improvement for the next school year as it directly affects the other survey topic.

We are implementing the following strategies to improve the sense of belonging among our students:

1. Involving all stakeholders to create community norms.
2. Listening to students and teachers to share their experiences of feeling uncertain about their belonging to the school.
3. Emphasizing common purposes and ideals.
4. Organizing more professional development around culturally responsive teaching.
5. Organizing more community-building activities and involving students in the process.
6. Implementing more cooperative learning activities in which classrooms work together to achieve a common purpose.
7. Cultivating respectful, supportive relationships among students, teachers, and parents.

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-4 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-4 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey, students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-4 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the

school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-4 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-4 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include English Language and Composition, Spanish Language and Culture, Statistics, and World History (Modern).

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-4 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-4 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3.MSA-4 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-4 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-4 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-4 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-5	Ali Kaplan Principal	akaplan@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 705-5676

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education		2			
Health Education Content Standards					5
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-5 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to English Learners (EL) s by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and MPS framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, Math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, Math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-5 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career and Technical Education, Health/Sex Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Our observations regarding students dealing with a new location, integrating back from online to in-person learning, and adapting to protocol changes allowed us to re-evaluate our academic programs, and extracurricular activities and implement Social-emotional learning in order to aim to foster social and emotional skills within the school curricula. We also have new teachers so students are adapting to new classrooms and new staff at a new location. Parents and Staff showed their satisfaction through the survey averaging +95% in most of the categories, that's why MSA-5 thrives on word of mouth type of student enrollment more. Parents and staff feel that adults at MSA-5 treat each other with respect and that MSA-5 promotes inclusion. We increased greatly in the section that states that the school allows for participation in decision-making. 100% of the staff feel that the school is a supportive and inviting place for them to work. 100% of the staff also feel that staff help students academically when needed. In regards to discipline, bullying has decreased, and fighting is an insignificant problem. Treatment of peers such as racial disparities is not a significant problem. Staff also feel that the school rules are fair and that the school handles disciplinary problems fairly. 100% of the staff feel that students know the school rules and expectations. Students appreciate that the school hosts fun/enjoyable activities such as games during lunch, hot chocolate day, and plenty of field trips, so students see that teachers are helpful and caring to students. In addition school rules, health and safety guidelines and expectations are enforced fairly and equitably, for which parents feel that the school communicates effectively. T

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Regarding family surveys, the two major areas of concern safety and parking lot issues are MSA-5 has continued to implement new strategies to improve building relationships with its educational partners. Families have often

commented on the area not being desirable, the lunch and nutrition areas have recently been moved to a covered tent area east of where they previously were located. The tentative plan for MSA5 is to secure our new location in August 2024. Implementing this plan into action will alleviate a lot of these concerns and create a more open platform for troubleshooting any new challenges. When folks feel safe and are already invested in the MSA community, our enrollment numbers will most likely increase.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-5 Los Lobos offers many opportunities to engage the community in the decision-making process and to evaluate our programs and initiatives. Some are Coffee with the Admin, PAC/Parent Task Force Meetings, Open House/Back to School, Parent/Teacher Conferences, Home Visits, Fundraising Activities, and Assemblies to just name a few. Due to the pandemic, MSA-5 offered those meetings and events in-person and virtual sitting to provide more equity. We will be looking at creating a safety committee. MSA-5 has hired an outreach and parent liaison "PACE Coordinator" in an effort to involve more partners, including underrepresented families, to participate in opportunities on and off-campus. We implemented a partnership with the Tarzana Treatment Center which is serving as an informational resource to parents, students, staff, and others concerning social issues that support the child. Also, MSA-5 utilizes a communication portal "Parentsquare" that informs of school programs, services, attendance issues, and other matters, and services. With adequate translation services for parents, MSA-5 intends on offering events at different times of the day, will continue our Parent College program next year, and make virtual and in-person home visits

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.					5

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

The faculty believes that the admin team supports the staff for various projects and personal matters. Therefore, our focus is to support teachers to develop a stronger collaborative culture among colleagues and students, that's why we adopted the "train the trainer" model and sharing the "promising practices" for the "Adaptive School" PD series and other instructional programs such as GIMKIT, myON, NWEA, etc, which really establish a small family environment. Lastly, staff outing activities (Thanksgiving potluck at Balboa park, End-of-year luncheon at a local restaurant, and staff bowling) are appreciated. Also, MSA-5 has an in-person and virtual home visit program where staff go after school to students' homes or virtually through zoom to meet with parents/guardians to discuss student progress, and school updates, and listen to parents' questions and comments.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Students, parents, and staff showed their request to secure a permanent location, which is under the way. Sharing a campus with uncertain future affected the survey results and is a struggle to build a strong school culture. Nonetheless, facility limitation is a challenge to achieve our mission and fulfill the school mission as a true science academy. There seems to be a pattern in students not being happy with dress code/uniform. We can improve by having more variety for uniforms and explaining the reason why the uniform policy is conducive.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

A focus area of improvement is to get more participation from parents and to offer more workshops for educational partners to attend to be better informed of information pertaining to MSA-5 after 3pm taking the work hours of parents into consideration.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

There are several platform where educational partners have provided input and be a part of the decision making process. School site changes and updates, school budget, restricted funds such as Title and ESSER, student recruitment, the length of instructional year, school climate and culture related events are discussed and reviewed at the weekly faculty meetings, SSC, ELAC, PAC , Restorative Justice Committee and Student Council

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

A focus area for improvement would be to obtain consistent attendance of the parents at the gatherings and have more events outside the school grounds to better connect with the community.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-5 simultaneously utilizes printed notification and electronic communication means to reach out to underrepresented families, and offer PBIS incentives to the students of those families in order to relay the notifications and announcements to their parents. The PACE coordinator supports parents to set up the parent portal account to receive information on school related news and notification based on preferred language.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?

3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-5 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, and documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our educational partners during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-5 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-5 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2021-22, 98% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 81% on the four topics of the survey, with a high rating of 79% on Climate of Support for Academic Learning and our lowest rating of 56% on Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness, which was an 11 points drop due to the pandemic/distance learning setting to in-person from the previous year. There has been a decrease in approval rates in each of our categories. Sense of Belonging was the biggest drop at 56%, which will be caused by the location change as we establish our presence on the new campus. Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms is an area where we need to work on average at 70% compared to the past, there is a decline. For this category, there needs to be a collaborative effort to communicate the rules and policies school-wide. here are a few statements that went from strongly agreeing to agreeing which also dropped our score. There are a few reasons that could be affecting this change, one being that we move sites from Reseda HS to MSA-1, another being that we changed our grading policy due to the pandemic to close the achievement gap. Lastly, our staff are curious about our school's future location and whether getting our own site is a fact or a dream.

We will continue to push to have a high level of participation while implementing the same strategies that helped our survey data improve amongst students and work with our students, families, and staff to improve the areas where the data was not as strong as the other topic areas.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-5 designs its master schedule in order to meet the needs of its students ensuring all academic content areas are available to all students, including subgroups. Core subjects (English, Mathematics, Social Sciences, and Science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-5 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-5 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure the satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services is dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS-aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELLs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.). In addition, every student has access to a computer in class in order to utilize instructional software such as myON, StudySync, Khan Academy, Illuminate, etc.

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP Spanish Language and Culture, AP World History, and AP Computer Science Principles. Moreover, high school students are assisted to enroll in dual enrollment classes through Los Angeles Mission and Pierce Colleges.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-5 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure the satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

3. Some of our newcomers are behind expected coursework, MSA-5 admin team designs their schedule accordingly in an effort to keep them on track to culminate or graduate. Due to the facility constraints, offering more elective courses is a challenge.

4. MSA-5 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and are career-ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-5 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, digital citizenships, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-5 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy 6	James Choe Principal	jchoe@magnoliapublicschools.org (310) 842-8555

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA’s progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards					5
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts			3		
World Language	1				

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-6 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-6 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Magnolia Science Academy 6 has been focused on Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families. This year, we were able to conduct many meetings throughout the year in order to make sure that we have opportunities for our parents to be involved with our staff and our school.

On a monthly basis, the principal will host a SSC/Coffee with the Principal meeting with students and with parents in order to keep our families up to date with the latest news about our school. Due to the pandemic, most of our meetings have been shifted from in-person to zoom. However, the shift from in-person to online has been a positive change where more parents have been able to attend. Throughout the school year, the attendance from parents has increased about 10% (rough estimate) and the participation that can happen, via chat, has also increased. Students have also been included in these meetings to make sure that all of our educational stakeholders are aware of what is going on with the school.

Furthermore, the PTF meeting, which is hosted by the principal and the PTF president, is done on a monthly basis as well. The increased participation in these meetings has also shown that the parents are more interested in their children's education than in the previous year, thanks again to the fact that we are able to use Zoom as our online platform.

Lastly, we have had other opportunities for our families to be connected with our school through our ELAC meetings, which is held 4 times per year, and through our Parent College Program, which was held 5 times this year.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Although there are many ways to communicate with the school; including Coffee with the Principal, SSC meetings, ELAC meetings, and the Parent College Program, we would still like to have other opportunities to Build Relationships with our Families. We are currently brainstorming other ways that we can get families to become a part of our Magnolia family by thinking of ideas like; Bingo night, Family movie night, and any other event that might interest our families to come to our school and to meet the school team.

In addition to this, we would like to improve our relationship with our families by having access to our school in a more normal way. Currently, whenever parents come to our school, even prospective parents must go through the site school, Pio Pico Middle School's, office in order to reach us. This is a bit of a hassle for our staff and our families as well.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Here at Magnolia Science Academy-6, we do our best to build positive relationships with staff members, the families, the students, and any other support members within our community. We have been sending staff member(s) to training to help increase positive relationships, particularly the PBIS training that has been offered by Los Angeles County of Education. This was the first year that we attended this training and are planning to continue to implement and develop our PBIS system. Furthermore, we have opened up as many communication lines as possible for our parents and families to contact our school. Beyond our typical email and phone system we have also implemented other technological apps that build bridges between the school and its families. Some of the apps that we use are: Parent square, Class Dojo, Twitter, Facebook and Illuminate (our SIS system). Also, our school website is always up to date to keep all stakeholders posted.

For face to face meetings, we have an open door policy where parents are able to join our School Site Council Meetings (SSC), English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC), our Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, Title I meetings, and our Coffee with the Principal meetings. All of these face to face meetings occur multiple times (min 4 times for each meeting) throughout the year at various days of the week and at various times of the day in order to help maximize participation from all parent/culture groups. We always have one bilingual staff member who provides translation in these meetings. As an added way of building relationships with families, we have fun activities like Back to School BBQ, back to school night, and the Multicultural Food Festival to help bridge the gap between families and the school and orientation meetings in order to meet and greet parents and students before the year begins.

Magnolia Science Academy 6 will do it's best to help improve all families, including engagement from underrepresented families, by making sure that we do our Home Visit, which is a staple of all Magnolia Science Academy schools. This year, our target was to hit 25% of our students. We were able to hit that target by the end of the first semester. With that in mind, we want to be strategic about who we do Home Visits for. We would like to make sure that we are targeting students who are in need of a Home Visit or underrepresented families who may benefit from a Home Visit as well.

Next year, we will have a PACE coordinator, a Parent and Community Engagement Coordinator from the beginning of the school year. I am hoping that with this position filled at the beginning of the school year, we will be able to visit more families than ever before. In addition, we will also have another teacher who will be the Family and Outreach coordinator in order to assist and take lead with meeting families in our community and outside our community.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-6 feels that building partnerships for student outcomes is an important aspect of achieving higher results. Our teachers are given opportunities to grow professionally with our tuition reimbursement policy. The teachers are encouraged to take advantage of the tuition reimbursement policy in order to help with their own development but also to help with student outcomes as well. Some of the professional development opportunities that our teachers have taken are the: LACOE induction program (beginning teacher program), CAHPERD (California Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance) conference, Administrative degrees, and Master degrees in education. As a result of these professional development growth opportunities, teachers become more adept in their content knowledge and teaching pedagogy. This then helps students in class, during after-school tutoring clubs, and even during Saturday school.

Teachers also have the opportunity to build relationships with parents and their child(ren) by enrolling in a course, "Parent College," and going through a curriculum that helps parents become more familiar with the education sector. The topics that are included in this program are; Common Core State standards, high school readiness, college applications, grants, enrollment, statistics, testing, extra-curricular activities...etc. At the end of the curriculum, the parents who attend every session graduate from the Parent College course, where we have a ceremony just like how we do for our culminating 8th graders. Lastly, per our charter petition, we do "Home Visits" throughout the school year. Every year we try to hit our target of meeting the home visit goal. The goal is visiting min. 25% of our school's population, which is usually around 40 families. This is where we are able to go over to families' homes, chat with them, and bond with them to help increase the sense of belonging at our school.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Magnolia Science Academy 6 looks at its own data and does an analysis of its data to make sure that we are familiar with our overall data and our detailed data as well. Aside from the overall score, we look at the data from an ethnic standpoint, and from a special programs standpoint. One of the areas that we want to improve on will be to increase our scores for the special programs group; English language learners and our Students with Disabilities group. The students in these two groups have not shown significant improvement from last year's MAP results (Measures of Academic Progress) and we would like to make sure we have improvement and a focus on these two groups.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Next year, we will have a PACE coordinator, the Parent and Community Engagement Coordinator from the beginning of the school year, and the Family and Outreach Engagement coordinator. I am hoping that with these two positions filled at the beginning of the school year, we will be able to connect with families more than ever before. This will then help strengthen our partnership with the families and which we hope will help with our academics. The PACE coordinator and the Family and Community will help us be able to bring in more families to our afterschool programs, to our Saturday school programs, and to our online tutoring service, Tutorme. We hope that with the added academic support we can see growth from all of our students who are involved.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.			3		
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.			3		
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.			3		
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.			3		

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Through Magnolia Science Academy 6's meetings; (PTF, Coffee with the Principal, SSC, ELAC...etc.) with our educational partners, any important information that will affect their lives will be presented in a way so that our families can be informed, make comments, and/or ask questions about the decision making process. We encourage all of our parents to make sure that they are in attendance so that we can make a collaborative/joint decision on things that will affect our school. Some of the examples, of decisions that we have made, include our bus transportation pick up locations and times, our Title I fund expenditures, our ESSER 3 funding expenditures, and our 8th-grade fundraiser/graduation decisions.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Magnolia Science Academy 6's is always looking for further improving our decision making process by gathering as much data as possible, analyzing that data, and then making an informed decision with that data. One of the newest ways that we are utilizing, to gather more data is to use surveys/polls from our Zoom meetings that is featured on our zoom call. In addition to the poll function, the private chat, that is also on zoom is another great way to have input from our parents. Google forms has been a helpful tool as well when looking for family suggestions/comments/questions. It gives families the time and place to do the google forms at their own convenience, which increases the participation from our families. Lastly, our parentsquare app, has helped us make sure that we communicate with all of our families about the important decisions that we are making and to share the news with them. The parentsquare app also has features similar to Zoom and google forms, if needed.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Next year, we will have a PACE coordinator, the Parent and Community Engagement Coordinator from the beginning of the school year, and the Family and Outreach Engagement coordinator. We are hoping that with these two positions, we will be able to reach all families, especially underrepresented families, to help us with the decision making process. We are hoping that these two positions will help us with Home Visits, phone calls, zoom calls, emails...etc. to make contact with them to become more engaged and to be a part of our school. Through making these connections, we plan to have these underrepresented families come to important meetings where they can listen, learn, and make informed choices/comments/questions about any decision that the school would like to make.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-6 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-8, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our

findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-6 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-6 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2021-22, 100% of our students participated in the survey.

Climate of Support for Academic Learning

85

80th - 99th

- 2

Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms

77

80th - 99th

- 4

Safety

75

60th-79th

+6

Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness)

65

60th-79th

- 6

Next Steps

MSA 6 will first and foremost continue to seek enrollment for school growth, because an increase in student population will open up more funding and opportunities for extracurricular activities. We have a very small staff that is quite heavily occupied with their standard duties, coordinator roles, professional development, and school operations. As we develop a stronger presence in the Mid City community, we will begin to have parent volunteers that can lead extracurricular activities. We will need more space for these things as well, so we will rely on the Home office to find an open door to more.

Next, we will continue to work alongside our SPED coordinator through the rest of the year as they transition to a full residency at MSA 6. The ability to collaborate with staff and faculty can be improved as the SPED coordinator is more present throughout each day, especially in the classroom. We will facilitate more meetings between the SPED department and the staff to encourage a more cohesive environment for both. Beginning of next school year, we would like to also sit down with our SPED department and make sure that we have a list of items that we would like for our SPED team to work on throughout the school year.

For next year, the uniform issue will be addressed by giving away crew neck sweaters, instead of the usual sweaters with hoodies. This should cut down on the amount of hoodies that are worn on campus, which should lead to less issues with hoodies being on in classes and out of classes. Crew neck sweaters are being placed as of April 2022 for the following year, along with other uniform clothes such as the PE shorts, and PE shirt.

Regarding the math teacher suggestion, we will continuously look for a candidate through all of our hiring channels, LinkedIn, Edjoin, Paycom...etc. We will also monitor the classes by having check-ins with the covering teacher to assist in any way possible. Lastly, although there were lots of thoughts and suggestions about the math teacher, we are confident that the teacher is teaching the standards/content to the kids and getting them ready for their next grade level and for the state test.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-6 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-6 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

3. MSA-6 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-6 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready. In an effort to provide a more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-6 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-6 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy 7	Meagan Wittek Principal	mwwittek@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 886-0585

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language	1				

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-7 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to EL students by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. EL students have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year in addition to the weekly two hours of staff collaboration and PD time built into the 2021-22 school year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-7 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, and Visual and Performing Arts. Staff members also trained on Social Emotional Learning (SEL) supports and Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) for students. This year we added a year long training program in the area of Equity and Implicit Bias.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

One strength that MSA-7 has in the area of building relationships between school staff and families is the fact that we hired a full-time bilingual Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) Coordinator for the first time. Having this additional position has allowed us to strengthen our community relationships and partnerships as well as our communication with families. Despite the challenges of this year and feeling disconnected in many ways, we were able to bridge gaps between families and staff due to the efforts of the PACE Coordinator and the number of meetings and opportunities we created for school to home connections. Another strength in this area and one we hope to continue to progress in is the number and quality of community partnerships and resources we have established over the past few years to help support our families during this difficult time.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

The past couple of years have presented it's challenges when it comes to building relationships and school culture due to the COVID-19 restrictions and protocols. We were unable to host as many staff and family events as we have in the past and most meetings were held virtually. Our Home Visit rate has dropped as well from an average of 25% of our families participating to only 8% this school year. We have felt disconnected from each other and from our families. Although things are starting to return to normal and we were able to host our first in person event in April, we are looking forward to the 2022-23 school year when we can get back to building and sustaining relationships with our school staff and families more consistently. On the 2021-22 Educational Partner Surveys, our families maintained a 98% approval rating in the area of Sense of Belongingness, however, our staff dropped 13% in this area as a result of a historically challenging year and limited opportunities to build relationships and school culture with each other.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-7 hired a full-time bilingual Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) Coordinator this school year to increase our effectiveness in this area even more. This position allows for our school and families to build relationships within our community as well as assist with parent meetings, school events, newsletters, community partnerships and resources, and the home visit program. MSA-7 has SSC meetings (4 times per year), PAC/PTF meetings (8 times per year), ELAC meetings (4 times per year) and Coffee with the Admin (4 times per year). In addition to these meetings, we have increased the quality and quantity of the communication tools with parents. We have social media accounts on Facebook and Twitter, a monthly bilingual school newsletter and Parent Square as a school-wide means of communication to our families which is set up in their preferred language. Our annual gatherings with parents such as Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Fall/Spring Parent teacher conferences, Open House/STEAM Expo, Fall Festival, Literacy Night and PTF organized Family Social nights help increase the school to home communication. Although we were not able to hold all of these this year due to the COVID-19 health and safety protocols, we still held many of them virtually and were even able to host our Spring Open House and STEAM Expo in person. We have also strengthened our community partnerships during the past couple of years in an attempt to provide as many resources to our families as possible during this challenging time. This has included meals, baby supplies, school supplies, grocery and gas gift cards, mental health supports and more.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.					5
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

To better support our parents, in addition to the meetings shared above, we have Student Success Planning Team Meetings (SSPT), Academic Support Meetings, ELD Coordinator Meetings and IEP meetings with the students and their parents. During these meetings, the students' individualized needs are discussed and improvement plans are

prepared collaboratively. We have also strengthened our community partnerships during the past couple of years in an attempt to provide as many resources to our families as possible during this challenging time. This has included meals, baby supplies, school supplies, grocery and gas gift cards, mental health supports and more. We also prioritized funding this school year for staff PD in the areas of Culturally Responsive Teaching and Implicit Bias to help further close any existing equity gaps and strengthen relationships. Another prioritized area to strengthen partnerships for student outcomes was prioritizing the addition of more Social Emotional Learning and Mental Health supports by increasing partnerships, adding an SEL music program and hiring a full time school psychologist.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

One area of improvement for building partnerships for student outcomes is a need to bring back the Parent Workshop programs we used to have pre-pandemic and even virtually during the 2020-21 school year. With so many other priorities this year, we did not offer any parent workshops for our families so that is a definite need and priority for the upcoming school year. Although we still held all parent meetings virtually including parent/teacher conferences, it was difficult to connect with families to speak with them about their children's learning, behavior and social emotional progress as effectively as when done so in person. We have also identified a need to build systems and programs to better connect with and support our Newcomer families. Our ELD Coordinator is working with our PACE Coordinator to develop these supports for next year.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

One area of improvement for building partnerships for student outcomes with underrepresented families is a need to bring back the Parent Workshop programs focused on topics that are relevant to these groups. Another way is to increase the translation supports we have for families who speak languages other than English and Spanish. We have also identified a need to build systems and programs to better connect with and support our Newcomer families. Our ELD Coordinator is working with our PACE Coordinator to develop these supports for next year.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -
- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
11. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

One strength that MSA-7 has in seeking input for decision-making is the number of opportunities and platforms in which we offer to our educational partners to give us feedback. These include committee meetings, Town Hall meetings, surveys, and more. We also provide follow up to these surveys and meetings to let partners know that we heard them and we acted. It's important that our families, staff and students feel represented so anytime we are able to act on a suggestion of theirs, we like to highlight it. Another strength is that our staff and administrative team is available and accessible to our families. They know we are here for them and their child and welcome the chance to speak with them. That was a comment we received repeatedly on this year's educational partner surveys, that the families appreciated how quickly staff responds to their needs, questions and suggestions and is aware of what is happening in the school.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-7 provides many opportunities for all educational partners to be a part of the decision making process. Parents have active decision making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings. During these meetings, current and future programs are discussed with all partners, school-wide plans are reviewed, and we receive input on what our educational partners would like to see for the school. We value our partners and their feedback to strengthen our programs for the upcoming school year. In addition to the annual Panorama Educational Partner Surveys administered in the Spring, we also administer LCAP planning needs assessment surveys to the parents, staff members and to the students. Parents have active decision making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings. To increase the efficiency and engagement of being part of these committees we implemented training sessions during the first of these committee meetings this school year. We do still struggle with attendance at many of these meetings and have tried several different day and time options to try and find which works best for our families.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

One area of improvement for seeking input for decision-making with underrepresented families is a need to increase the translation supports we have for families who speak languages other than English and Spanish. We have also identified a need to build systems and programs to better connect with and support our Newcomer families. Our ELD Coordinator is working with our PACE Coordinator to develop these supports for next year. We would also like to build our culturally responsive programs so that all families feel represented in our school and more encouraged to participate in meetings, committees and events.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-7 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 3-5, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our educational partners during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-7 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-7 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and school connectedness.

In 2021-22, 97.2% of our 3rd to 5th grade students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 77% on the four topics of the survey, with a rating of 80% on Topic 1 (Climate of Academic support) and a rating of 78% on Topic 2 (Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline), a rating of 71% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 79% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging), whereas 88% answered positively to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students."

The changes in average approval rates from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022 were slightly down for all three educational partner categories with an decrease of 4 points for students (81% to 77%), 1 point for families (99% to 98%), and 4 points for staff (97% to 93%).

One highlight from the surveys was the parent approval rating of Safety was 99%. Although this was a 1 point decline from last year, it is still very high. Considering how much had to be done and focused on in regard to health and safety this year and the fact that only six families chose to keep their students in Independent Study, we are relieved to see that our families felt safe sending their children to school with us during this stressful and unsure year.

After analyzing all partner surveys we identified the biggest areas of concern and will put an action plan in place to address these concerns. These areas include: implementing a before-school program for our students, collaborate with Schools in Action to identify a new vendor or course of action to increase the food quality for the students, review and adjust recess and lunch procedures to implement post-pandemic protocols, collaborate with the PBIS team to reflect glows and grows for this school year, continue working on expansion plans for more space and increase parent involvement by working with the PACE Coordinator to create an action plan to re-engage families to acceptable levels per educational partner survey.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-7 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and non-core subject areas such as PE, Health, and Art are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. Our students with disabilities have access to all general education curriculum and programs with RSP support as indicated in their IEPs. As evidenced by the school master schedule, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-7 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, after school club forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of our students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and specials as outlined in our charter petition such as Computer, PE/Health, and Life Skills (Character Education). We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.) We provide students with opportunities to participate in Enrichment Programs based on student needs and interests.

3. MSA-7 provides access to a college and career awareness, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-7 will continue to provide access to a college and career week to develop and motivate students to be college and career ready. In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-7 will strive to offer additional non core subject programs to bring awareness to college and career readiness, health and physical education, diverse arts programs, sports programs, character education, and SEL programs, etc. In addition, we will continue to provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-7 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience for our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-Bell	Laura Schlottman Principal	lbschlottman@magnoliapublicschools.org (323) 826-3925

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language				4	

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA Bell ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.). We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 36 hours of professional development per year in areas such as:

1. Common Core ELA/Literacy, math
2. Supports and Intervention for Students with Disabilities, especially Universal Design for Learning (UDL)
3. ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas
4. MTSS and PBIS
5. Increase learning loss interventions

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

This year, the school has increased parent engagement by returning our signature parent engagement activities. We brought back: Parent College, Parent workshops, School Site Council meetings and Parent Task Force. The events were offered both in person and virtually so that we could increase our participation.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Now that the signature events are back into motion the goal is not increase the number of events and participants.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

The pandemic has created many positive opportunities to enhance learning, but it has also created barriers such as connectivity, academic program uncertainty, etc. MSA Bell's leadership and learning community are very resilient and ready to adapt to the challenges of tomorrow through an Agile Decision-making Approach.

Upon review of the stakeholder survey, the ability to connect virtual versus full in-person instruction has been changed for all. MSA Bell will continue to provide counseling and training to support the SEL component through the usage of resources such as school personnel and Zones of Regulations. For the start of the 2021-22 school year, a more extensive plan will be developed to support the return of students to campus.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

The following are strengths as identified by each stakeholder group:

- Students: Supportive staff with many resources available; Fair and Respectful treatment of everyone; School facilities
- Families: Staff is supportive of family needs; Rigorous instruction; Communication through Parent Square
- Staff: Welcoming environment and supportive; Collaborative spirit among staff; Availability of resources to support learning

Connection is a hallmark of MSA Bell, across all stakeholders the value and importance of connecting and building relationships are essential. MSA Bell team will continue to adapt to the needs of the community to ensure this continues, especially as students transition to a hybrid. MSA Bell has an array of resources that are available to enhance learning. Leadership will continue to create messaging and training for all stakeholders to fully utilize the resources available.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

The following are needs as identified by each stakeholder group:

1. Students: Remote learning and the pace of instruction; Amount of student work; Pre-pandemic issues related to middle school such as uniform, bullying, etc.
2. Families: Accessibility and availability of office hours and staff; Pre-pandemic issues such as traffic, outside environment
3. Staff: Additional workload due to Distance Learning; Lack of physical interaction with students that diminishes accountability; Need for culture building across all stakeholders

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

There are a couple of pre-pandemic issues that resonate with middle school experience, although it has been more than a year since students and teachers have set foot on campus. Some of these issues include traffic and bullying (social media and COVID), these will need to be monitored closely, especially as the school returns to a hybrid format. The remote environment has increased the urgency to educate families with the tools and available resources to fully take advantage of the available opportunities.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA Bell provides various opportunities for parent involvement and education. Involvement is facilitated through avenues such as PAC meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, and family workshops/conferences with a focus on the whole child development. Furthermore, the

administration and support staff are visible and approachable. This ensures safety is a priority and concerns are addressed in a timely manner.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Although various opportunities are provided to engage in the learning community, the pandemic has made it a challenge for families to take on additional responsibilities/availability. Leadership is working to build student and family capacity to fully comprehend and utilize the resources that are available to enhance learning. Such resources include ParentSquare, Paper, Surveys, etc. An additional area of focus is to build technology partnerships with the community to provide families with resources such as technology education, low-cost internet, and computers to assist with the changing educational landscape.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

The LEA wants to increase home visits and give parents an opportunity to provide feedback more frequently.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Traditionally, MSA Bell conducts a school climate survey every year during the early spring through Panorama. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff voices about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what areas for improvement are so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. This feedback instrument provides teachers and school leaders with valuable data about how students see their classes, how much parents are involved, how supported staff feels, and how to facilitate improvement. Throughout the document, many references have been made to the various components of the survey. This portion will be dedicated to the free responses from our stakeholders.

The following are strengths as identified by each stakeholder group:

- Students: Supportive staff with many resources available; Fair and Respectful treatment of everyone; School facilities
- Families: Staff is supportive of family needs; Rigorous instruction; Communication through ParentSquare
- Staff: Welcoming environment and supportive; Collaborative spirit among staff; Availability of resources to support learning

Connection is a hallmark of MSA Bell, across all stakeholders the value and importance of connecting and building

relationships are essential. MSA Bell team will continue to adapt to the needs of the community to ensure this continues, especially as students transition to a hybrid.

MSA Bell has an array of resources that are available to enhance learning. Leadership will continue to create messaging and training for all stakeholders to fully utilize the resources available.

The following are needs as identified by each stakeholder group:

- Students: Remote learning and the pace of instruction; Amount of student work; Pre-pandemic issues related to middle school such as uniform, bullying, etc.
- Families: Accessibility and availability of office hours and staff; Pre-pandemic issues such as traffic, outside environment
- Staff: Additional workload due to Distance Learning; Lack of physical interaction with students that diminishes accountability; Need for culture building across all stakeholders

There are a couple of pre-pandemic issues that resonate with middle school experience, although it has been more than a year since students and teachers have set foot on campus. Some of these issues include traffic and bullying (social media and COVID), these will need to be monitored closely, especially as the school returns to a hybrid format. The ability to access staff through office hours or hours of operation has been a challenge. The pandemic has shifted the office of operation to ensure COVID mitigating factors are in place such as schedule through appointments, health screening, and limiting operation hours. As Los Angeles county transitions to less restrictive tiers, so do the operating hours and support for families.

Here are some other factors that are being explored and implemented to ensure a rigorous academic program that adapts to the needs of the community:

- Increase tele-outreach to support in various areas such as the transition to hybrid, and educate with online tools such as Illuminate and ParentSquare.
- Evaluate instructional practices to highlight promising instructional practices such as a focus on power standards, ensure assignments are relevant to finish product, emphasize learning skill sets and recognition of the process for learning, staff sharing, and highlighting instructional practices during meetings.
- Adjustment of schedule to ensure time is allocated for an appropriate break and lunchtimes, and shift advisory to assist with academic interventions
- Dedicated task force members to highlight and solicit information about reopening, to be part of the decision-making process.
- As students return, opportunities to increase student-to-student interactions will be explored further through professional development.
- As students return to campus, the instructional staff will focus on reviewing and adjusting the school-wide expectation matrix to continue to align with our PBIS goals.
- Explore communication inhibiting factors

Findings have been shared with various stakeholders through various meetings/informational sessions.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent

to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA Bell designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the schoolmaster schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-Bell strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students. Furthermore, MSA Bell support staff responsibilities shifted due to the pandemic to ensure connectivity to school. This included phone outreach to determine student needs such as Hot Spots for Internet, Chromebook, or providing technical support to ensure connectivity to classes and the available platforms. All technology is managed and monitored to ensure appropriate usage and inventory.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs, and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example, we provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our internal assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.) All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness and socio-emotional development. The Learning Management System provides teachers with resources to monitor academic progress and tips to connect with students. Mentorship is monitored through the LMS to ensure every child has an adult connection on campus. Furthermore, MSA Bell has provided students with information regarding A-G courses, as well as offered opportunities to take courses such as Algebra 1, Geometry, or world language courses (Spanish 1 and 2) that would earn credit towards their high school pathway.

3. MSA Bell has executed extensive plans to ensure and provide ongoing connectivity. The major hindrance impacting student connection is the inability to form a personal connection in the virtual environment versus in-person. The pandemic has led to the monitoring of various data points that identifies our neediest students and extensive plans have been generated to connect or reconnect students and families. These plans include, but not limited to, home visits, conferences and follow-ups, creation of personalized scheduled, support to connect to the various platforms, etc.

4. The following is a list of strategies and actions that are being reviewed for implementation/execution. Some of these plans are currently in action and will be adjusted to continually enhance positive student outcomes.

- Increase tele-outreach to support in various areas such as the transition to hybrid, and educate with online tools such as Illuminate and ParentSquare.
- Evaluate instructional practices to highlight promising instructional practices such as a focus on power standards, ensure assignments are relevant to finish product, emphasize learning skill sets and recognition of the process for learning, staff sharing, and highlighting instructional practices during meetings.
- Adjustment of schedule to ensure time is allocated for an appropriate break and lunchtimes, and shift advisory to assist with academic interventions
- Dedicated task force members to highlight and solicit information about reopening, to be part of the decision-making process.
- As students return, opportunities to increase student-to-student interactions will be explored further through professional development.
- As students return to campus, the instructional staff will focus on reviewing and adjusting the school-wide expectation matrix to continue to align with our PBIS goals.
- Explore communication inhibiting factors

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy - Santa Ana	Steven Keskindurk Principal	skeskinturk@magnoliapublicschools.org (714) 479-0115

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0%
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0%
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	7%

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0%

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0%

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA’s progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-Santa Ana ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 25 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-Santa Ana has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

This year we we have a bilingual Parent and Community Engagement Coordinator. This position allows for our school and families to build relationships within our community. Based on our surveys given to our families, staff, and students we have added additional meetings with parents such as Coffee with Admin, Parent Check ins, Parent College; these are added to our regular SSC, PAC/PTF, and ELAC committee gatherings. In addition to these meetings, we have increased the quality and quantity of the communication tools with parents. Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram), our weekly bilingual newsletter the Hook, Leave a Message form (bilingual), and a suggestion box. These are all located in the main office. Magnolia wide we are using the MSA mobile application for our families. We have started to use the Parent Square software which allows us to communicate to our families without any language barriers. Our traditional gatherings with parents such as Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Fall/ Spring Parent teacher conferences, Open House, Home visits, and grade level informational meetings. Fall/ Winter, Cinco de Mayo festivals and volunteer appreciation day.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSASA will be ensuring that all Educational Partners have a voice. By doing this, we aim to allow for an open door policy during our weekly Coffee with Principals, Educational Partner surveys, and any Town Hall meetings that may arise. In addition to ensuring there is voice, we will continue to strengthen our community partnerships by continuing with food distributions, school supply drives, and a referral network that provides mental health supports for all educational partners.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Based on our surveys given to our families, staff, and students, we have added additional meetings with parents such as Second Cup of Coffee with the Principal, Parent Check-ins, Parent College; these are added to our regular SSC, PAC/PTF, and ELAC committee gatherings. In addition to these meetings, we have increased the quality and quantity of the communication tools with parents. Social media (Facebook, Twitter), Leave a Message form (bilingual), and a suggestion box. These are all located in the main office. Magnolia wide we are using the MSA mobile application for our families. We have started to use the Parent Square software, which allows us to communicate with our families without language barriers. Our traditional gatherings with parents such as Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Fall/ Spring Parent-teacher conferences, Open House, Home visits, and grade-level informational meetings. Fall/ Winter, Cinco de Mayo festivals, and volunteer appreciation day.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Strengths are PAC/Coffee with Admin meetings. This is an opportunity to introduce MTSS support for SEL to the parents. Parent College and third party partnerships like Parent Union, Milan Teen Project, and Olive Crest parenting classes. Grade level college counseling meetings with our parents and students for supporting and informing parents and students for University going culture. For example, 8th grade high school transition meeting, college signing day, FAFSA meetings. As we establish our parent outreach and provide meetings to involve all our families, building Parent attendance is a focus for us.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

At MSASA we will strive to improve our partnerships for student outcomes by making connections with out local city council, congressman, as well as local businesses to strengthen the collaboration among all our partners. A focus area will be our mental health/SEL supports as well as the quality of the food that is being served to our students - breakfast and lunch.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

At MSASA we will continue to promote our home visits so that all families' voices are heard, ideas are met, and that everybody feels included. We will also offer Parent College classes like EL classes, computer skills, and child development classes. We will continue to offer weekly Coffee with Principal sessions in English and Spanish.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

At MSASA our current strengths include inviting our food vendor in a meeting with parents so their voices can be heard, letting the parents bring up all concerns in the weekly Coffee with Principal, and sending multiple surveys home throughout the course of the year.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

We value our stakeholders and are using their feedback to strengthen the upcoming school year. Schoolwide instant surveys from staff provide input for a positive and supportive school climate. Parent check-in meetings allow for decision making to become ongoing. Surveys are designed to support all academics, behavioral, and social-emotional needs of the whole child.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSASA will improve engagement of underrepresented families by using Parent Square as an active two way tool of engagement. We will ensure that we have a PACE (Parent and Family Engagement) coordinator who is a reflection of our current school community.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

GLOWS

We are very proud of the fact that we went up in stakeholder happiness. The one thing that was repeated over and over is the satisfaction with and love of the teachers by both the students and the families. Another success is the communication this year between all stakeholders. It is very important to us to keep building the relationships between school and home - being transparent and supportive to our teachers who in turn, are supportive of the students. We would like to build on the positive environment that we have nurtured this year by collaborating with the teachers more and showing them that they are being heard and focusing on PBIS structures.

GROWS

The Elementary students like least the food and not having enough area to play or a permanent playground. The Secondary students strongly dislike the school food, the crowded hallways, the restrictions to bathroom usage. In addition, all students dislike not maintaining teacher retainment.

SUGGESTIONS

The Elementary students suggested that we offer better food and more sports. The Secondary students suggested better food, grass field (soccer), water fountain outside, and having more sports because of our brand new gym. Additionally, the students suggested that we revisit our teacher contracts because they would not like to see any teacher leaving in the middle of the year.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1) MSA-Santa Ana designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the schoolmaster schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-Santa Ana strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-Santa Ana provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction with UC/CSU requirements.

2) As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs, and services are dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support, and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World History, AP Language & Composition, AP Stats, AP Computer principles

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-Santa Ana offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction with UC/CSU requirements. MSA-Santa Ana had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3) MSA-Santa Ana provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study for all our students.

4) MSA-Santa Ana will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-Santa Ana will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with

experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-Santa Ana will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy San Diego	Gokhan Serce Regional Director and Principal	gserce@magnoliapublicschools.org (619) 644-1300

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA’s progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-San Diego ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-San Diego has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, MTSS and Personalized PD. Due to Covid-19 school closures we have also provided additional PD to provide a successful distance Learning.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

- Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MSA-San Diego builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, home visits, parent dinner nights and student led conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connect with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance. The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC Meetings, coffee with the principal meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)


1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MSA-San Diego staff is trained on MTSS expectations and school culture model during summer inservice. As part of our program, MSA-San Diego supports student learning through multi tiered support systems , parent meetings, online tools shared by teachers on a weekly basis, weekly newsletters and voice messages and grade level specific communications. Also student specific feedback and resources given to parents during home visits, student-led conferences and parent meetings.

MSA San Diego's new communication system Parent Square keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages and voicemail. Parent Square has also broken language barriers between staff and families through its automatic language translation. Finally our teachers use Kickboard positive behavior point system and Illuminate SIS to share student success and progress both academically and socially-emotionally on a daily basis. We continued to utilize the same resources during the Distance Learning.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.



Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):


Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.



2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MSA-San Diego holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, MTSS meetings, coffee with principal meetings and Local Governance Community meetings. During these meetings parents have the opportunity to review the school's draft budget, MTSS plan, LCAP, WASC, Health and Wellness plan, Safety plan and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-San Diego annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instruments to students in grades 6-8, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information , feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-San Diego disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-San Diego strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, 94.7 % of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 76% on the eight topics of the survey., with a rating of 75% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 65% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness). Our approval rating, Safety rating, and sense of belonging rate for students increased significantly compared to last year. Our student approval rates increased 14% from the past school year. There has been a concerted effort in supporting our students' social-emotional wellbeing through weekly SSR lessons, daily advisory ZOR lessons, as well as explicitly teaching students the values we share in our character education initiative we call the WIZARD way. One of our goals for our students is to provide a learning environment where students learn skills to become independent learners. Based on student survey results the highest approval rates are "overall school experience" 89% favorable and "Climate of Support for Academic Learning" 82% favorable. These results attest that we are on the right path focusing the whole child.

89% of our students indicated that "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students." Given that we were in distance learning for nearly a year, the overall satisfaction rate is a testament to the dedication of our teachers who were determined to provide an engaging distance learning program for our student body. Moreover, it is a reflection of MSA San Diego leadership's guidance, coaching and efforts to adjust to the educational landscape that had many changes.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-San Diego designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-San Diego strives to offer a well-rounded education to all of our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We also offer honors math classes in each grade.

3. MSA-San Diego provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all of our the students.

4. MSA-San Diego will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students are equipped with necessary tools to graduate college and become career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-San Diego will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, study Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips,, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-San Diego will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to all of our students.