



Board Agenda Item #	V A: Information/Discussion Item
Date:	June 24, 2021
To:	Magnolia Board of Directors
From:	Alfredo Rubalcava, CEO & Superintendent
Staff Lead:	David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer
RE:	MPS' Progress on Meeting Local Performance Indicators on the CA School Dashboard

Proposed Board Recommendation

Information/Discussion Item. There is no requirement for the board to approve the schools' reports on meeting the local indicators.

Background

State data is not available for some priority areas identified in the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) law. For these priority areas, the State Board of Education (SBE) approved the local indicators, which are based on information that local educational agencies collect locally. The local indicators that apply to charter schools are:

- Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)
- Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)
- Parent and Family Engagement (Priority 3)
- School Climate (Priority 6)
- Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Local educational agencies receive one of three ratings based on whether they have measured and reported their progress through the Dashboard using locally collected data. The ratings are: Met, Not Met, or Not Met for Two or More Years. For example, on the local indicator for Implementing State Academic Standards (Priority 2), the local educational agency would receive a Met rating if it:

- Measures its progress on the local indicator based on locally available information, and
- Reports the results to the LEA's local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board, and
- Reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

The following are the questions each LEA responds to in the CA School Dashboard to report their progress.

Basic Services and Conditions (Priority 1)

Use locally available information, including data from the CDE’s School Accountability Report Card (SARC), to measure progress and respond to the three prompts in the self-reflection tool included in the Dashboard:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Implementation of State Academic Standards (Priority 2)

The local educational agency is asked to summarize its progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools.

Parent and Family Engagement (Priority 3)

Standard: The local educational agency (LEA) annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs, and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families

2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

School Climate (Priority 6)

Standard: Local educational agency administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the local educational agency serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the California School Dashboard.

The local educational agency is asked to provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12).

Access to a Broad Course of Study (Priority 7)

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

For more information on local indicators please refer to: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/localindicators.asp>

Conclusion

Our school leaders have used the self-reflection tools provided by the CDE to report out their evaluation of the progress the school makes towards meeting the local indicators in the five state priorities. The reports are attached for review of the board and the public before they are submitted to the CDE and made public via the CA School Dashboard. Deadline for submission to the CDE is typically around November. Annually, as required by the Ed Code, this item will be on the same board agenda as the LCAP adoption in alignment with the LCAP development process so that our schools can share their progress publicly and meet their local indicators.

Budget Implications

N/A

How Does This Action Relate/Affect/Benefit All MSAs?

To have the local indicator report as a public information item at the same meeting as the LCAP adoption is an LCFE requirement. An additional benefit is that schools can share their progress on meeting the local indicators with the public before submitting it to the CDE via the CA School Dashboard.

Name of Staff Originator:

David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer

Exhibits (Attachments):

- MPS Local Indicators – Fall 2021 (one for each MPS)

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy	Mustafa Sahin Principal	msahin@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 609-0507

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-1 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-1 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-1 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. We use ParentSquare to communicate with students and parents. This has greatly improved our communication with all stakeholders. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connect with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance. The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PTF

meetings, SSC and ELAC meetings and parent workshops including virtual parent staff meetings. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-1 provides resources to support student learning at home through multiple workshops such as parent college, Guiding Good Choices and through feedback given to parents during parent conferences. We have also partnered with CCSA to help equip parents with the knowledge and tools to become an advocate for their students and for their school. MSA 1’s new communication system Parent Square keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages and voicemail. ParentSquare has also broken language barriers between staff and families through its automatic language translation.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.					5

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-1 holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings and ELAC meetings. During these meetings parents have the opportunity to review the school's LCAP and SARC reports and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-1 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-1 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions.

MSA-1 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-2021, 91.5% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 84% on the eight topics of the survey, with a rating of 70% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 60% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness).

Facility-Our new building allowed for more classroom space. Teachers had their own classrooms and they did not have to share space with other teachers.

Quality of Food- Students' feedback allowed us to improve our school food choices.

Sports- We are now part of California interscholastic Federation (CIF) Sports League. This provided more athletic opportunities for our students to participate in sports and apply for scholarships.

Safety-We hired an additional security guard to monitor the hallways and the outside of the school.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1- MSA-1 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-1 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-1 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2-As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP English Lit, AP English Lang, AP Stats, AP Computer Science Principles, AP Biology, AP Spanish Lit, AP Spanish Lang, AP US Government, AP US History, AP World History, AP Studio Art 2D, AP Calculus A and B.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-1 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-1 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3- MSA-1 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4-MSA-1 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-1 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics and SEL programs, etc. as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-1 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

MSA-1 provides students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-2	David Garner Principal	dgarner@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 758-0300

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-2 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction which is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in ongoing professional development each year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. In addition to a focus on ELA/Literacy, math, and EL, MSA-2 also provides PD and supports our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-2 builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connects with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance.

The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. In addition, the school holds Coffee with the Principal meetings each Friday where all parents are invited to join school administration and support staff to discuss areas of importance for the parents, ask questions, provide feedback and gather as a community. Further, parents frequently serve on committees which include staff members and students where all stakeholder groups collaborate in planning events, including school dances and award assemblies.

Parents are also invited to attend assemblies which celebrate student academic excellence, behavioral improvement, outstanding attendance, and other accomplishments, as well as build school spirit and help the school take a stand against bullying. Further, parents are invited to attend school events which are a part of the Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) system in which students compete in academic, athletic and creative problem-solving events. Parent stakeholders also participate in the PBIS committee which includes attending trainings with the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE).

MSA2 hosts events which promote a deeper understanding about cultures of families, including a Dia de los Muertos (Day of the Dead) event which included live music and art by students as well as traditional food which was prepared by families and staff members.

Parents also participate as chaperones for field trips where they support the supervision of students during off-campus educational experiences ranging from trips to the Los Angeles Zoo and the California Science Center to public and private universities. Furthermore, parents and families attend CIF sporting events where our students compete with local schools in after school competitive athletic events in sports including cross country, volleyball, soccer, and basketball. Beyond these opportunities, parents also enjoy attending other academic competitions which students participate in, including robotics tournaments and Academic Decathlon events.

During the Covid-19 physical closure of the schools, which took place during March 2020, MSA2 maintained all of the above methods virtually.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including stakeholder surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-2 provides resources to support student learning at home through multiple workshops such as parent college and through feedback given to parents during parent conferences. We have also partnered with CCSA to help equip parents with the knowledge and tools to become an advocate for their students and for their school.

MSA 2's communication system ParentSquare keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages and voicemail. Parent Square has also broken language barriers between staff and families through its automatic language translation and thus, facilitates communication across multiple linguistic and cultural backgrounds.

Parents also have the ability to check student grades in the school information system, Illuminate, as well as stay updated about student attendance in order to remain informed about their child's progress in a variety of areas. Moreover, parents receive daily phone calls from office staff members to verify student absences and gather useful information about areas of support which students may need when they return to school.

MPS Board meetings are open to MSA2 parents to attend, as the campus is open to the public during all Board and committee meetings. Additionally, parents are able to serve as MPS Board members where they vote on decisions which impact the budgets, operations, and decisions of all ten schools in the MPS charter management organization. Currently, an MSA2 parent serves as the only parent stakeholder on the MPS Board.

MSA2 has a Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) coordinator who facilitates parent training, including on topics related to social emotional learning and mental health support. Parents also are invited to attend workshops where English language courses are taught, and college readiness is presented. Parents also serve on the committee to review the School Safety Plan each year in addition to student and staff stakeholders prior to submitting the updated plan to the Magnolia Public School (MPS) Board for approval.

To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including stakeholder surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-2 holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings and ELAC meetings. During these meetings parents have the opportunity to review school goals, priorities and decisions being made which are included in the LCAP and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement. To improve in this area, MSA2 will continue to utilize the existing data from a variety of sources, including stakeholder surveys, and we will make plans to expand upon the existing support and services provided in this area.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

- DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
- MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
- USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA2 annually conducts a Panorama survey for students, parents and staff. We analyze results and share findings with stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. We disaggregate results by grade and student group and also use open-ended questions to further understand stakeholder perceptions.

To help address previous survey concerns about bullying, MSA-2 launched a PBIS (Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports) program last year in partnership with LACOE where students compete for positive recognition and team points for meeting behavior expectations. At the end of last school year, the house with the highest points went to Universal Studios as an incentive. For the second year, a parent and MPS Board member are on the PBIS team to support program implementation. Expectations are reinforced with weekly videos made by the ASB student government and are shared with students.

This year, we continued to expand upon athletic facilities for our PE program to incorporate more days on co-location facilities. In addition, to support social-emotional needs, we continue with a full-time psychologist and counselor.

To support school safety, we placed additional large banners in front of the school to help block visibility. We continue to implement monthly K9 safety visits to help increase safety. We installed expanded school surveillance cameras inside classrooms in collaboration with LAUSD LACOE and the MPS Board.

Family satisfaction rates remained relatively constant at 93%. The highest favorable rating for families was Climate of Support for Academic Learning, which remained 95%. The lowest approval area by families is Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, which is 92%. The largest decrease for families is Safety, which showed a decrease of 3% yet still remained 93%.

Student average approval rates increased by 8% with an average overall school experience rate of 83%. Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms showed the greatest increase for students of 8%. Student averages also increased for Climate of Support for Academic Learning and Safety. The 63% approval for Sense of Belonging is the lowest topic for students, yet one of the highest percentage changes for students.

Staff survey results showed the most significant increase of all of the stakeholder groups for overall average approval ratings, which increased by 21% with an approval rating of 98%. The greatest increases for staff were safety and Sense of Belonging which increased 19%. The 81% satisfaction for safety is the lowest percent for staff, yet was the highest percent change for staff.

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-2 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-2 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

In addition to the survey process to gather stakeholder feedback which can be used to improve school climate, MSA2 also receives invaluable feedback from parents during regular Coffee with the Principal meetings, PTF, SSC, and ELAC meetings. Further, invaluable feedback is shared by students through the ASB Student Government which holds regular meetings to support school improvement across a variety of areas. Moreover, staff members provide insightful feedback through a variety of means, including during weekly staff meetings, as well as during grade level meetings, PBIS trainings, and MTSS meetings.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

MSA-2 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-2 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-2 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

MSA-2 has meetings with the Special Education department on a regular basis in which academic, behavioral, and social-emotional progress of students with IEPs are reviewed. Interventions are implemented which are geared toward supporting students in making progress in their graduation plans, as well as toward their goals expressed in IEPs. Accommodations are provided to students which are determined by the IEP team members and which input from teachers, parents and students based on identified areas of need.

School administration holds meetings with home office support staff where data is reviewed from CAASPP, NWEA MAP, California Dashboard, Interim Assessment Blocks which focuses on performance levels of unduplicated student groups and students with exceptional needs and action plans are developed and monitored which hold MSA-2 accountable for the progress of all students in areas of academic standards, chronic absenteeism, college readiness, graduation rates, and other areas. This data is also shared with MSA2 staff members during staff PD meetings.

Midyear progress toward LCAP goals are reviewed and presented to MPS home office members as well as during MPS Board meetings. Further, teachers and school administrators align their professional goals in TeachBoost with these standards and goals, and end of year performance reviews hold teachers and administrators accountable for meeting or failing to meet goals related to MSA-2's success in this area. Monthly meetings take place in the home office with Principals, Dean of Academics, and Dean of Students where this priority and other priorities can be reviewed and supported.

As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP English Language & Composition, AP Calculus AB, AP Spanish Language and Culture, AP Studio Art: 2-D Design.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-2 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-2 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 85% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

MSA-2 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-2 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-2 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-3	Zekeriya Ocel Principal	zocel@magnoliapublicschools.org (310) 637-3806

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-3 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-3 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-3 has homeless and foster youth liaison. This position allows our families to receive SEL (Social Emotional Learning) support. MSA-3 has CWA to get hear from our parents about the concerns they have, and this allows us to check our practices in regards to academics and school operation. MSA-3 has several engagement opportunities for feedback and growth via social media accounts and school events to ensure our school community is cohesive and collaborative.

We have a variety of events(Honor Roll Assembly, Back to School night, Orientation, Parent Teacher Conferences, Open Houses) and utilize our website and ParentSquare to ensure to communicate important updates, successes and any plans for improvement and change.

Our demographic is almost equally split between African American and Latino populations. We try to ensure we are inclusive of all genders, races, ethnicities, and cultures. Being 100% inclusive takes time but MSA-3 is 100% invested in making a mark of cohesion at all parts of our school's staff, families and students!
 One area of improvement is Climate of Support. MSA-3 admin and staff will increase support of families through;

- 1) various modes like getting feedback surveys.
- 2) informing parents more of volunteer opportunities.
- 3) including data points for Academic and Behavior during parent meetings to better drive the school operations.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-3 is investing more to improve students' test taking skills, along with professional development on classroom management. We also invest in more college counselors to ensure all of our students finish high school and get college acceptances. College Career Indicator turned to "green" with substantial A-G completion as well as SBAC proficiency.

We spend a lot of focus on home visits and getting our staff familiar with the student's families. Building a bond and a connection of trust is the most effective way to improve engagement.

One area of improvement is Climate of Support for our students. MSA-3 admin and staff will increase support of families through;

- 1) Student Led academic engagement meeting academic challenges using UDL support model.,
- 2) providing continued SEL support by using our MTSS Model.
- 3) acknowledging and celebrating student success each quarter.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.			3		
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.			3		

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Monthly, we have a meeting called PAC/Coffee with the Admin so parents can stop in and have a meet and greet or voice concerns and recommend new items to make the school more efficient.
MSA-3 will continue to focus on well planned SSC and ELAC meetings to involve underrepresented groups.
MSA-3 has adjusted Coffee with the Admin on a monthly basis to provide comprehensive data points for academics and behavior.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

There was a positive increase with students, staff and families with approval rates. The students' rates increased 13 points. The staff approval rates increased 20 points and the families approval rates increased 9 points. Areas that were addressed and were strengths were positive programs, conducting staff surveys and addressing academic concerns such as grading policies and academic concerns. These programs contributed to the success because the decisions were based on school data, statewide data and social emotional data. 98% of families believe that staff treats them with respect. 97% of the staff believe that personnel are involved in school wide decisions. 88% of students believe that teachers give them a chance to participate in class discussions.

Routines and expectations are clear in how to meet parents' needs and concerns. All staff is knowledgeable on how to provide help in all areas.

MSA-3 will maintain the success by engaging with the community and outside organization to bring improved programs.

Admin will continue to work collaboratively with the stakeholders (students, teachers, MPS Home office and Board) and seek input from the stakeholders to make informed decisions.

For students, the lowest rated topic was for the question "I feel close to people at the school." It can be inferred that this may be due to Distance Learning and students' inability to interact in person with their peers and teachers. In order to raise this area of student connection, the PBIS team will hold a Welcome Back Assembly to establish a positive school culture from the beginning. The team is also working more closely with ASB to allow students to more actively lead school activities based on their peer requests & preferences. This percentage may also be due to the lack of clubs and sports being offered in Distance Learning. As a next step, clubs as well as sports teams will be a greater focus of school culture in order for students to participate and feel greater pride & identity in the extra-curricular activities they are involved in.

37% of students responded that they do see disrespectful situations at school. In order to address this, assemblies will be held that focus on different PBIS topics, including respect. With this topic, school-wide strategies of how to resolve conflicts positively can be provided to be emphasized across campus by all.

The 47% of teachers who responded favorably to having close professional relationships with one another may be lower than expected due to Distance Learning and teachers not feeling they were able to collaborate as much as they would usually be able to in person. With new teachers added this year, teachers may not feel as close to them because they have not yet had the chance to meet one another aside from zoom staff meetings. The team hopes to coordinate more team-building activities as well as consistently scheduled grade-level and department collaboration sessions.

Tier 1, 2, & 3 behaviors and staff-managed, office-managed steps will be refreshed school-wide. To increase transparency with discipline issues, clear communication will be provided to teachers outlining the steps taken for situations.

Parents gave a rating of 91% (lowest in all areas of approval rates) to staff responding to my needs. Admin will go over procedures with staff members to ensure emails are responded to within a timely manner (within 48 hrs). In order for teachers to be proactive and to establish communication with parents, teachers will be asked to reach out to parents of students who are at-risk of failing at least two weeks prior to progress report closing dates.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1.MSA-3 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-3 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-3 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2.As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student needs and interests. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World, AP Computer Science, AP English Lit, AP Statistics, AP Spanish, AP Environmental Science.

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-3 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-3 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 75% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3.MSA-3 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4.MSA-3 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide a well-rounded education to our students, MSA-3 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc.,as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-3 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-4	Musa Avsar Principal	mavsar@magnoliapublicschools.org 310-473-2464

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards					5
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts			3		
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-4 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-4 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-4 uses an annual survey to measure how we are meeting the needs of our families in this area. We also conduct home visits to reach out to our parents to receive real-time feedback from them. We have increased our home visit rate during the 2020-21 school year. Home visits have provided us with greater insight as to how to support our students and what might be shaping their perspectives around learning.

MSA-4 has a Parent College program coordinator. Our Parent College program coordinator has served as an informational resource to parents concerning school programs, services, attendance issues, and other matters. With the implementation of the Parent College program, we provided information concerning related activities as well as encouraging parent participation in various school and community programs and services.

The Parent College coordinator has organized Parent College to expose our families to experiences and information that is usually not easily accessible to underserved families.

Furthermore, we have improved our communication lines for our parents and families to keep in touch with our school. We effectively utilized ParentSquare and Remind application to inform our parents about our education programs.

Lastly, we have regularly held parent involvement activities such as Coffee with the Admin, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)/Parent Task Force Meetings (PTF), ELAC meetings, School Site Council meetings, Open Houses, Back to School Night, Parent/Teacher Conferences, and virtual parent information meetings to keep the parents informed about our school programs and receive feedback from them.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.			3		
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.					5
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-4 offers Parent College to our families. This program is designed to support our families as they learn about pathways to college and career readiness. This program also addresses advocacy and informs them of their rights.

MSA-4’s Admin works to engage our school community in advocacy and learning more about our rights. There is also access to information and resources by way of monthly SSC and PAC/PTF meetings. MSA 4’s communication system Parent Square keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages, and voicemail.

A focus area of improvement is to get more participation from parents. We will organize more parent activities according to the feedback that our parents provided during the 2020-2021 school year.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-4 provides numerous opportunities for all stakeholders to be a part of the decision-making process. Parents have active decision-making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC), and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings.

In addition, we utilize the annual CORE Districts survey. All of our stakeholders are able to provide feedback on our educational practices by completing the survey in the spring. We analyze the survey results and share them and findings with our stakeholders during the meeting for further discussion to determine strategies for improvement.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?

3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-4 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 9-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-4 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-4 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, MSA-4 has observed an increase in the area of our overall approval rating with all stakeholder groups.

In 2020-21, 96.9% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 79.0%% on the four topics of the survey, with a rating of 88% on Topic 1 (The climate of Support for Academic Learning) and a rating of 82% on Topic 2 (Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms), and a rating of 76% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating 63% Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging), and 91% answered positively to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students."

Sense of belonging is the category with the lowest approval rate. The sense of belonging to school can be described as the perception of students as individuals, respecting their existence, and supporting them in the social environment of the school.

A low sense of belonging to the school, unfortunately, translates as low attendance, low preparation for school, and low levels of obedience to school and classroom rules. From this viewpoint, the sense of belonging to school is the single most important topic that we will address as the greatest need for improvement for the next school year as it directly affects the other survey topic.

We are implementing the following strategies to improve the sense of belonging among our students:

1. Involving all stakeholders to create community norms.
2. Listening to students and teachers to share their experiences of feeling uncertain about their belonging to the school.
3. Emphasizing common purposes and ideals.
4. Organizing more professional development around culturally responsive teaching.
5. Organizing more community-building activities and involving students in the process.
6. Implementing more cooperative learning activities in which classrooms work together to achieve a common purpose.
7. Cultivating respectful, supportive relationships among students, teachers, and parents.

Stakeholder voices (i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members) play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff opinions about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what are areas for improvement so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. MSA-4 uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and students' own strengths and weaknesses.

MSA-4 uses the CORE survey instrument for school climate indicators which include the following four topics for students, families, and staff: Topic 1: Climate of Support for Academic Learning; Topic 2: Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms; Topic 3: Safety; Topic 4: Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness). In a separate survey, students are also asked questions in additional four topics which include indicators for social-emotional competencies: Topic 5: Growth Mindset; Topic 6: Self-Efficacy; Topic 7: Self-Management; Topic 8: Social Awareness.

Annually, we analyze survey results and share the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-4 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-4 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-4 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include English Language and Composition, Spanish Language and Culture, Statistics, and World History (Modern).

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-4 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements. MSA-4 had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3. MSA-4 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-4 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-4 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-4 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-5	Brad Plonka Principal	bplonka@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 705-5676

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-5 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, Math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, Math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-5 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career and Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-5 has continued to implement new strategies to improve building relationships with their stakeholders. They do this by creating many opportunities for stakeholders to be involved with the school. These opportunities are, Coffee with the Admin, PAC/Parent Task Force Meetings, Open House/Back to School, Parent/Teacher Conferences, Home Visits, Fundraising Activities, Assemblies to just name a few.

MSA-5 has implemented a partnership with the Tarzana Treatment Center which is serving as an informational resource to parents, students, staff and others concerning social issues that support the child. Also, MSA-5 utilizes a program that shares on school programs, services, attendance issues and other matters, programs and services.

MSA-5 is still focusing on getting more stakeholders, including underrepresented families, to participate in opportunities on and off campus. MSA-5 intends on offering events at different times of the day, continuing our Parent College program, and making virtual and in person home visits to those that have difficulty coming to the school in order to involve our community.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Currently, MSA-5 has a Parent Awareness program, which is open to all Stakeholders to attend, that teaches stakeholders on how to have successful outcomes from school. Also MSA-5, has a in person and virtual home visit program where staff go after school to students' homes or virtually through zoom to meet with parents/guardians to discuss student progress, school updates, and listens to parents' questions and comments.

A focus area of improvement is to get more participation from parents and to offer more workshops for stakeholders to attend to be better informed of information pertaining to MSA-5.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-5 provides many opportunities for all stakeholders to be a part of the decision making process. MSA-5 has SSC, ELAC, PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF), Student Council, Coffee with Admin (Parents), Hot Chocolate with Admin (students) to discuss current and future programs with all stakeholders, review plans, and receive input on what stakeholders would like to see for the school.

A focus area for improvement would be to obtain consistent attendance on behalf of the parents and have more events outside the school grounds to better connect with the community.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

- DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
- MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
- USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-5 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-12, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-5 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to

further understand students' perceptions. MSA-5 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, 98% of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 76% on the four topics of the survey, with a high rating of 86% on Climate of Support for Academic Learning and our lowest rating of 67% on Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness, which was an 9% growth from the previous year.

We will continue to push to have a high level of participation while implementing the same strategies that helped our survey data improve amongst students and work with our students, families, and staff to improve the areas where the data was not as strong as the other topic areas.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-5 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, Mathematics, Social Sciences, and Science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-5 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-5 provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELLs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.). In addition, every student has access to a computer in class in order to utilize instructional softwares such as myON, StudySync, Khan Academy, Illuminate, etc.

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP Spanish Language and Culture, AP World History, and AP Computer Science Principles. Moreover, high school students are assisted to enroll dual enrollment classes through Los Angeles Mission and Pierce Colleges.

All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-5 offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction of UC/CSU requirements.

3. MSA-5 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-5 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-5 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-5 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-6	John Terzi Principal	jterzi@magnoliapublicschools.org (310) 842-8555

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts			3		
World Language	1				

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-6 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-6 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Here at Magnolia Science Academy-6, we do our best to build positive relationships with staff members, the families, the students, and any other support members within our community. We have been sending staff member(s) to trainings to help increase positive relationships, particularly the PBIS training that has been offered by Los Angeles County of Education. This was the first year that we attended this training and are planning to continue to implement and develop our PBIS system. Furthermore, we have opened up as many communication lines as possible for our parents and families to contact our school. Beyond our typical email and phone system we have also implemented other technological apps that build bridges between the school and its families. Some of the apps that we use are: Parent square, Class Dojo, Twitter, Facebook and Illuminate (our SIS system). Also, our school website is always up to date to keep all stakeholders posted.

For face to face meetings, we have an open door policy where parents are able to join our School Site Council Meetings (SSC), English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC), our Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, Title I meetings, and our Coffee with the Principal meetings. All of these face to face meetings occur multiple times (min 4 times for each meeting) throughout the year at various days of the week and at various times of the day in order to help maximize participation from all parent/culture groups. We always have one bilingual staff member who provides translation in these meetings. As an added way of building relationships with families, we have fun activities like Back to School BBQ, back to school night, and the Multicultural Food Festival to help bridge the gap between families and the school and orientation meetings in order to meet and greet parents and students before the year begins.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-6 feels that building partnerships for student outcomes is an important aspect of achieving higher results. Our teachers are given opportunities to grow professionally with our tuition reimbursement policy. The teachers are encouraged to take advantage of the tuition reimbursement policy in order to help with their own development but also to help with student outcomes as well. Some of the professional development opportunities that our teachers have taken are the: The LACOE induction program (beginning teacher program), CUE conference (for technology), CAHPERD (California Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance) conference, administrative degrees, and master degrees in education. As a result from these professional development growth opportunities, teachers become more adept in their content knowledge and teaching pedagogy. This then helps students in class, during after-school tutoring clubs, and even during Saturday school.

Teachers also have the opportunity to build relationships with parents and their child(ren) by enrolling in a course, “Parent College,” and going through a curriculum that helps parents become more familiar with the education sector. The topics that are included in this program are; Common Core State standards, high school readiness, college applications, grants, enrollment, statistics, testing, extra-curricular activities...etc. At the end of the curriculum, the parents who attend every session graduate from the Parent College course, where we have a ceremony just like how

we do for our culminating 8th graders. Lastly, per our charter petition, we do “Home Visits” throughout the school year. Every year we try to hit our target of meeting the home visit goal. The goal is visiting min. 25% of our school’s population, which is usually around 40 families. This is where we are able to go over to families’ homes, chat with them, and bond with them to help increase the sense of belonging at our school.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.			3		
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.			3		
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.			3		
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.			3		

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-6 encourages all families to be a part of the decision making process. Some of the meetings that we have are the SSC meetings, ELAC meetings, PTF meetings, and Coffee with the Principal meetings. Throughout the year, we have at least four of these meetings in order to help create a bond between our families and the school. During these meetings, an agenda is created to hear the opinions of our stakeholders. Beyond the meetings and since we have an open-door policy, we have parents coming to our school to speak and share their concerns, or praise, about our school. For each meeting, we have a bilingual staff member to provide translation. Lastly, we have a stakeholder survey that we provide twice a year. This is an extensive survey that gives students, parents, and staff members a voice on how the school is doing. The survey looks at many aspects of the school such as, sense of belonging, academics, safety, etc. After the survey has been completed, an analysis of the answers are given to the school administration in order to help improve on things we need to improve on and to recognize and identify some of the successes that we have had as a school. We share the survey results with all stakeholders during staff and parent meetings.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-6 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 6-8, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-6 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-6 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, 98.8% of our students participated in the survey.

Climate of Support for Academic Learning

87

80th - 99th

+10

Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms

81

80th - 99th

+15

Safety

69

(new set of questions therefore difficult to have a percentile)

0

Sense of Belonging (School Connectedness)

71

80th - 99th

+8

Cleanliness of the restroom (facilities) - this is from the previous years and we have already taken action about this and have contracted with a professional cleaning company. Two custodians will be regularly cleaning the campus, daily.

Facility improvement - We have budgeted some funds and have already started the improvements. We will have to negotiate with the landlord, the church administration, for bigger projects like the HVAC systems/filters.

SPED/EL support - We were able to hire a full-time SpED teacher and two teacher aides. These aides will specifically focus on these two subgroups and we are looking to renew them for next year to strengthen our team and support for our students.

Electives - Based on our enrollment and budget, we will look into some electives such as computer, foreign language, etc.

More variety in our clubs - We will do our best to have our teachers run clubs that satisfy the needs of the students. We will try to do a survey and meet the needs of the students. We are considering having partnerships with organizations to bring art and music to our school.

Equity and inclusion classes - This year we had PDs regarding culturally responsive teaching. This PD helps with our teachers to empathize with our students who are going through difficult times, who are from different cultures, who need coaching rather than disciplining, etc.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-6 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-6 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

3. MSA-6 provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-6 will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready. In an effort to provide a more well-

rounded education to our students, MSA-6 will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-6 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-7	Meagan Wittek Principal	mwwittek@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 886-0585

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	1

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards					5
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language	1				

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-7 ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to EL students by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. EL students have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-7 has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Career Technical Education, Health Education, Physical Education, and Visual and Performing Arts. Staff members also trained on Social Emotional Learning (SEL) supports and Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) for students.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-7 has a bilingual School Culture Coordinator. This position allows for our school and families to build relationships within our community as she assists with parent meetings, school events, newsletters and the home visit program. We are looking to add a part-time Parent and Community Engagement (PACE) Coordinator for the upcoming school year to increase our effectiveness in this area even more. MSA-7 has SSC meetings (4 times per year), PAC/PTF meetings (8 times per year), ELAC meetings (4 times per year) and Coffee with the Admin (4 times per year). In addition to these meetings, we have increased the quality and quantity of the communication tools with parents. We have social media accounts on Facebook and Twitter, a monthly bilingual school newsletter and multiple classroom mobile apps for our families. We also use Parent Square as a school-wide means of communication to

our families which is set up in their preferred language. Our annual gatherings with parents such as Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Fall/Spring Parent teacher conferences, Open House/STEAM Expo, Fall Festival, Literacy Night and PTF organized Family Social nights help increase the school to home communication. Although we were not able to conduct as many of these events this year as usual due to the school closures caused by COVID-19, we still held many of them virtually. We have also prioritized funding for the upcoming school year for staff PD in the areas of Culturally Responsive Teaching and Implicit Bias.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

To better support our parents, in addition to the meetings shared above, we have Student Success Planning Team Meetings (SSPT), Academic Support Meetings, ELD Coordinator Meetings and IEP meetings with the students and their parents. During these meetings, the students' individualized needs are discussed and improvement plans are prepared collaboratively. In addition to these supports, MSA-7 held multiple parent workshops during the 2020-21 school year. We were able to partner with community organizations in order to provide parents with training on parenting strategies, academic support, self-care and how to advocate for their students' needs effectively. We have also prioritized funding for the upcoming school year for staff PD in the areas of Culturally Responsive Teaching and Implicit Bias.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-7 provides many opportunities for all stakeholders to be a part of the decision making process. Parents have active decision making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings. During these meetings, current and future programs are discussed with all stakeholders, school-wide plans are reviewed, and we receive input on what stakeholders would like to see for the school. We value our stakeholders and are using their feedback to strengthen our programs in the upcoming school year. In addition to the annual Panorama Stakeholder Surveys, we ask LCAP planning needs assessment surveys to the parents, staff members and to the students. Parents have active decision making roles in the School Site Committee (SSC), in the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) and in the PAC/Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings. To increase the efficiency and engagement of being part of these committees we implemented training sessions during the first of these committee meetings this school year. We do still struggle with attendance at many of these meetings and have tried several different day and time options to try and find which works best for our families.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-7 annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instrument to students in grades 3-5, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our

findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information and feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-7 disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-7 strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and school connectedness.

In 2020-21, 92.3% of our 3rd to 5th grade students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 79% on the four topics of the survey, with a rating of 80% on Topic 1 (Climate of Academic support) and a rating of 84% on Topic 2 (Knowledge and fairness of Discipline), and a rating of 74% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating 77% Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging), whereas 87% answered positively to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students."

The changes in average approval rates from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021 were up for all three stakeholder categories with an increase of 7 points for students (74% to 81%), 1 point for families (98% to 99%), and 4 points for staff (93% to 97%).

One highlight from the student surveys is the approval rating for Safety increased 12 points since last year. The topics with the highest approval rates were Climate of Support for Academic Learning (80%) and Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules and Norms (84%); and the lowest approval ratings were for Safety (74%) and Sense of Belonging: School Connectedness (77%).

We are most proud that all our stakeholders approve positively of our Climate of Support for Academic Learning. We take great pride in the amount of academic and SEL support that is given to all of our students and have worked hard during this unprecedented year to transition our programs to an online setting. We were also very proud to see the large increase in approval ratings from students regarding Safety and from staff for School Connectedness.

After analyzing all stakeholder surveys we identified the biggest areas of concern and will put an action plan in place to address these concerns. The areas include, playground and facility improvements, lack of resources for non-IEP students, drop-off procedures, bullying, and more enrichment programs needed such as art, science, and sports.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-7 designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and

science) and non-core subject areas such PE, Health, and Art are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. Our students with disabilities have access to all general education curriculum and programs with RSP support as indicated in their IEPs. As evidenced by the school master schedule, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-7 strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, after school club forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of our students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and specials as outlined in our charter petition such as Computer, PE/Health, and Life Skills (Character Education). We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.) We provide students with opportunities to participate in Enrichment Programs based on student needs and interests.

3. MSA-7 provides access to a college and career awareness, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students.

4. MSA-7 will continue to provide access to a college and career week to develop and motivate students to be college and career ready. In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-7 will strive to offer additional non core subject programs to bring awareness to college and career readiness, health and physical education, diverse arts programs, sports programs, character education, and SEL programs, etc. In addition, we will continue to provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-7 will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience for our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-Bell	Jason Hernandez Principal	jhernandez@magnoliapublicschools.org 323-826-3925

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language				4	

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA Bell ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.). We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 36 hours of professional development per year in areas such as:

1. Common Core ELA/Literacy, math
2. Supports and Intervention for Students with Disabilities, especially Universal Design for Learning (UDL)
3. ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas
4. Training for the CHYA health science curriculum
5. MTSS and PBIS

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

The pandemic has created many positive opportunities to enhance learning, but it has also created barriers such as connectivity, academic program uncertainty, etc. MSA Bell's leadership and learning community are very resilient and ready to adapt to the challenges of tomorrow through an Agile Decision-making Approach.

Upon review of the stakeholder survey, the ability to connect virtual versus full in-person instruction has been changed for all. MSA Bell will continue to provide counseling and training to support the SEL component through the usage of resources such as school personnel and Zones of Regulations. For the start of the 2021-22 school year, a more extensive plan will be developed to support the return of students to campus.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

The following are strengths as identified by each stakeholder group:

1. Students: Supportive staff with many resources available; Fair and Respectful treatment of everyone; School facilities
2. Families: Staff is supportive of family needs; Rigorous instruction; Communication through ParentSquare
3. Staff: Welcoming environment and supportive; Collaborative spirit among staff; Availability of resources to support learning

Connection is a hallmark of MSA Bell, across all stakeholders the value and importance of connecting and building relationships are essential. MSA Bell team will continue to adapt to the needs of the community to ensure this continues, especially as students transition to a hybrid. MSA Bell has an array of resources that are available to enhance learning. Leadership will continue to create messaging and training for all stakeholders to fully utilize the resources available.

The following are needs as identified by each stakeholder group:

1. Students: Remote learning and the pace of instruction; Amount of student work; Pre-pandemic issues related to middle school such as uniform, bullying, etc.
2. Families: Accessibility and availability of office hours and staff; Pre-pandemic issues such as traffic, outside environment

3. Staff: Additional workload due to Distance Learning; Lack of physical interaction with students that diminishes accountability; Need for culture building across all stakeholders

There are a couple of pre-pandemic issues that resonate with middle school experience, although it has been more than a year since students and teachers have set foot on campus. Some of these issues include traffic and bullying (social media and COVID), these will need to be monitored closely, especially as the school returns to a hybrid format. The remote environment has increased the urgency to educate families with the tools and available resources to fully take advantage of the available opportunities.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA Bell provides various opportunities for parent involvement and education. Involvement is facilitated through avenues such as PAC meetings, School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, and family workshops/conferences with a focus on the whole child development. Furthermore, the administration and support staff are visible and approachable. This ensures safety is a priority and concerns are addressed in a timely manner.

Although various opportunities are provided to engage in the learning community, the pandemic has made it a challenge for families to take on additional responsibilities/availability. Leadership is working to build student and family capacity to fully comprehend and utilize the resources that are available to enhance learning. Such resources include ParentSquare, Paper, Surveys, etc. An additional area of focus is to build technology partnerships with the community to provide families with resources such as technology education, low-cost internet, and computers to assist with the changing educational landscape.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Traditionally, MSA Bell conducts a school climate survey every year during the early spring through Panorama. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff voices about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what areas for improvement are so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education. This feedback instrument provides teachers and school leaders with valuable data about how students see their classes, how much parents are involved, how supported staff feels, and how to facilitate improvement. Throughout the document, many references have been made to the various components of the survey. This portion will be dedicated to the free responses from our stakeholders.

The following are strengths as identified by each stakeholder group:

- Students: Supportive staff with many resources available; Fair and Respectful treatment of everyone; School facilities
- Families: Staff is supportive of family needs; Rigorous instruction; Communication through ParentSquare
- Staff: Welcoming environment and supportive; Collaborative spirit among staff; Availability of resources to support learning

Connection is a hallmark of MSA Bell, across all stakeholders the value and importance of connecting and building relationships are essential. MSA Bell team will continue to adapt to the needs of the community to ensure this continues, especially as students transition to a hybrid.

MSA Bell has an array of resources that are available to enhance learning. Leadership will continue to create messaging and training for all stakeholders to fully utilize the resources available.

The following are needs as identified by each stakeholder group:

- Students: Remote learning and the pace of instruction; Amount of student work; Pre-pandemic issues related to middle school such as uniform, bullying, etc.
- Families: Accessibility and availability of office hours and staff; Pre-pandemic issues such as traffic, outside environment
- Staff: Additional workload due to Distance Learning; Lack of physical interaction with students that diminishes accountability; Need for culture building across all stakeholders

There are a couple of pre-pandemic issues that resonate with middle school experience, although it has been more than a year since students and teachers have set foot on campus. Some of these issues include traffic and bullying (social media and COVID), these will need to be monitored closely, especially as the school returns to a hybrid format. The ability to access staff through office hours or hours of operation has been a challenge. The pandemic has shifted the office of operation to ensure COVID mitigating factors are in place such as schedule through appointments, health screening, and limiting operation hours. As Los Angeles county transitions to less restrictive

tiers, so do the operating hours and support for families.

Here are some other factors that are being explored and implemented to ensure a rigorous academic program that adapts to the needs of the community:

- Increase tele-outreach to support in various areas such as the transition to hybrid, and educate with online tools such as Illuminate and ParentSquare.
- Evaluate instructional practices to highlight promising instructional practices such as a focus on power standards, ensure assignments are relevant to finish product, emphasize learning skill sets and recognition of the process for learning, staff sharing, and highlighting instructional practices during meetings.
- Adjustment of schedule to ensure time is allocated for an appropriate break and lunchtimes, and shift advisory to assist with academic interventions
- Dedicated task force members to highlight and solicit information about reopening, to be part of the decision-making process.
- As students return, opportunities to increase student-to-student interactions will be explored further through professional development.
- As students return to campus, the instructional staff will focus on reviewing and adjusting the school-wide expectation matrix to continue to align with our PBIS goals.
- Explore communication inhibiting factors

Findings have been shared with various stakeholders through various meetings/informational sessions.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA Bell designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the schoolmaster schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-Bell strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students. Furthermore, MSA Bell support staff responsibilities shifted due to the pandemic to ensure connectivity to school. This included phone outreach to determine student needs such as Hot Spots for Internet, Chromebook, or providing technical support to ensure connectivity to classes and the available platforms. All technology is managed and monitored to ensure appropriate usage and inventory.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and

science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition. We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs, and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example, we provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our internal assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.) All students have access to “Advisory” classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness and socio-emotional development. The Learning Management System provides teachers with resources to monitor academic progress and tips to connect with students. Mentorship is monitored through the LMS to ensure every child has an adult connection on campus. Furthermore, MSA Bell has provided students with information regarding A-G courses, as well as offered opportunities to take courses such as Algebra 1, Geometry, or world language courses (Spanish 1 and 2) that would earn credit towards their high school pathway.

3. MSA Bell has executed extensive plans to ensure and provide ongoing connectivity. The major hindrance impacting student connection is the inability to form a personal connection in the virtual environment versus in-person. The pandemic has led to the monitoring of various data points that identifies our neediest students and extensive plans have been generated to connect or reconnect students and families. These plans include, but not limited to, home visits, conferences and follow-ups, creation of personalized scheduled, support to connect to the various platforms, etc.

4. The following is a list of strategies and actions that are being reviewed for implementation/execution. Some of these plans are currently in action and will be adjusted to continually enhance positive student outcomes.

- Increase tele-outreach to support in various areas such as the transition to hybrid, and educate with online tools such as Illuminate and ParentSquare.
- Evaluate instructional practices to highlight promising instructional practices such as a focus on power standards, ensure assignments are relevant to finish product, emphasize learning skill sets and recognition of the process for learning, staff sharing, and highlighting instructional practices during meetings.
- Adjustment of schedule to ensure time is allocated for an appropriate break and lunchtimes, and shift advisory to assist with academic interventions
- Dedicated task force members to highlight and solicit information about reopening, to be part of the decision-making process.
- As students return, opportunities to increase student-to-student interactions will be explored further through professional development.
- As students return to campus, the instructional staff will focus on reviewing and adjusting the school-wide expectation matrix to continue to align with our PBIS goals.
- Explore communication inhibiting factors

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy-San Diego	Gokhan Serce Principal	gserce@magnoliapublicschools.org (619) 644-1300

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-San Diego ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 18 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-San Diego has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, MTSS and Personalized PD. Due to Covid-19 school closures we have also provided additional PD to provide a successful distance Learning.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.					5
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-San Diego builds trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, home visits, parent dinner nights and student led conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open door policy welcoming all parents. Our staff connect with families through home visits, learning about students' outside interests, families, and home routines, and then using that information to connect in meaningful, individualized ways that can have huge rewards in helping to create happier, healthier, and smarter kids. The school uses home visits as one of the important features of its education program to improve student and school performance. The School holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These

meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, ELAC Meetings, coffee with the principal meetings and parent workshops. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-San Diego staff is trained on MTSS expectations and school culture model during summer inservice. As part of our program, MSA-San Diego supports student learning through multi tiered support systems , parent meetings, online tools shared by teachers on a weekly basis, weekly newsletters and voice messages and grade level specific communications. Also student specific feedback and resources given to parents during home visits, student-led conferences and parent meetings.

MSA San Diego's new communication system Parent Square keeps parents and staff in constant communications through multiple mediums such as texts, private messages and voicemail. Parent Square has also broken language barriers between staff and families through its automatic language translation. Finally our teachers use Kickboard positive behavior point system and Illuminate SIS to share student success and progress both academically and socially-emotionally on a daily basis. We continued to utilize the same resources during the Distance Learning.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

MSA-San Diego holds periodic meetings to gather input from our families. These meetings include PAC/PTF meetings, SSC meetings, MTSS meetings, coffee with principal meetings and Local Governance Community meetings. During these meetings parents have the opportunity to review the school's draft budget, MTSS plan, LCAP, WASC, Health and Wellness plan, Safety plan and provide input for our administration team. The school also conducts a family and student experience survey with specific questions on the sense of safety and school connectedness, school culture and climate, and other areas of school improvement.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

MSA-San Diego annually conducts the CORE Districts survey instruments to students in grades 6-8, analyzes survey results such as participation rates and average approval rates for each topic and question on the survey, documents our findings (e.g., greatest progress and needs, comparisons with the prior year and CORE district results) and shares the results and findings with our stakeholders during LCAP information , feedback meetings and regular board meetings. MSA-San Diego disaggregates the results by grade and student group and also uses open-ended questions to further understand students' perceptions. MSA-San Diego strives to improve our students' perceptions of school safety and connectedness.

In 2020-21, 94.7 % of our students participated in the survey and their responses provided an average approval rating of 76% on the eight topics of the survey., with a rating of 75% on Topic 3 (Safety) and a rating of 65% on Topic 4 (Sense of Belonging / School Connectedness). Our approval rating, Safety rating, and sense of belonging rate for students increased significantly compared to last year. Our student approval rates increased 14% from the past school year. There has been a concerted effort in supporting our students' social-emotional wellbeing through weekly SSR lessons, daily advisory ZOR lessons, as well as explicitly teaching students the values we share in our character education initiative we call the WIZARD way. One of our goals for our students is to provide a learning environment where students learn skills to become independent learners. Based on student survey results the highest approval rates are "overall school experience" 89% favorable and "Climate of Support for Academic Learning" 82% favorable. These results attest that we are on the right path focusing the whole child. 89% of our students indicated that "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students." Given that we were in distance learning for nearly a year, the overall satisfaction rate is a testament to the dedication of our teachers who were determined to provide an engaging distance learning program for our student body. Moreover, it is a reflection of MSA San Diego leadership's guidance, coaching and efforts to adjust to the educational landscape that had many changes.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. MSA-San Diego designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition. As evidenced by the school master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, MSA-San Diego strives to offer a well-rounded education to all of our students.

2. As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We also offer honors math classes in each grade.

3. MSA-San Diego provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all of our the students.

4. MSA-San Diego will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students are equipped with necessary tools to graduate college and become career ready.

In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-San Diego will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, study Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips,, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-San Diego will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded education experience to all of our students.

Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy - Santa Ana	Steven Keskindurk Principal	skeskinturk@magnoliapublicschools.org (714) 479-0115

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home		0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA’s progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science					5

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education	1				
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards					5
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language					5

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					5
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					5
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					5

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

MSA-Santa Ana ensures all curricula and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) We provide services to ELs by proficiency level and ELD instruction is aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. ELs have access to core and supplemental ELD instructional materials; teachers attend PDs whose focus is on ELD standards. Our teachers participate in at least 25 hours of professional development per year. PD includes the areas of Common Core ELA/Literacy, math, ELD Standards and integration of ELD standards into content areas, and training in strategies to support ELs with common core ELA/ELD and math curricula. While the primary focus has mostly been on the ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD over the past few years, MSA-Santa Ana has also provided PD and supported our teachers on NGSS, History-Social Science, Health Education, Physical Education, Visual and Performing Arts, and World Languages.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 - Beginning Development
 - 3 - Initial Implementation
 - 4 - Full Implementation
 - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Based on our surveys given to our families, staff, and students, we have added additional meetings with parents such as Second Cup of Coffee with the Principal, Parent Check-ins, Parent College; these are added to our regular SSC, PAC/PTF, and ELAC committee gatherings. In addition to these meetings, we have increased the quality and quantity of the communication tools with parents. Social media (Facebook, Twitter), Leave a Message form (bilingual), and a suggestion box. These are all located in the main office. Magnolia wide we are using the MSA mobile application for our families. We have started to use the Parent Square software, which allows us to communicate with our families without language barriers. Our traditional gatherings with parents such as Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, Fall/ Spring Parent-teacher conferences, Open House, Home visits, and grade-level informational meetings. Fall/ Winter, Cinco de Mayo festivals, and volunteer appreciation day.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Strengths are PAC/Coffee with Admin meetings. This is an opportunity to introduce MTSS support for SEL to the parents. Parent College and third party partnerships like Parent Union, Milan Teen Project, and Olive Crest parenting classes. Grade level college counseling meetings with our parents and students for supporting and informing parents and students for University going culture. For example, 8th grade high school transition meeting, college signing day, FAFSA meetings. As we establish our parent outreach and provide meetings to involve all our families, building Parent attendance is a focus for us.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.					5

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

We value our stakeholders and are using their feedback to strengthen the upcoming school year. Schoolwide instant surveys from staff provide input for a positive and supportive school climate. Parent check-in meetings allow for decision making to become ongoing. Surveys are designed to support all academics, behavioral, and social-emotional needs of the whole child.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

GLOWS

We are very proud of the fact that we went up in stakeholder happiness. The one thing that was repeated over and over is the satisfaction with and love of the teachers by both the students and the families. Another success is the communication this year between all stakeholders. It is very important to us to keep building the relationships between school and home - being transparent and supportive to our teachers who in turn, are supportive of the students. We would like to build on the positive environment that we have nurtured this year by collaborating with the teachers more and showing them that they are being heard and focusing on PBIS structures.

GROWS

The Elementary students like least the food and not having enough area to play or a permanent playground. The Secondary students strongly dislike the school food, the crowded hallways, the restrictions to bathroom usage. In addition, all students dislike not maintaining teacher retainment.

SUGGESTIONS

The Elementary students suggested that we offer better food and more sports. The Secondary students suggested better food, grass field (soccer), water fountain outside, and having more sports because of our brand new gym.

Additionally, the students suggested that we revisit our teacher contracts because they would not like to see any teacher leaving in the middle of the year.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1) MSA-Santa Ana designs its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including all student groups. Core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives are offered aligned with our charter petition and graduation requirements. As evidenced by the schoolmaster schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, MSA-Santa Ana strives to offer a well-rounded education to our students.

MSA-Santa Ana provides students with 4-year plans and support programs to ensure timely high school graduation. We offer credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction with UC/CSU requirements.

2) As evidenced by our master schedule, elective forms, class rosters, student schedules, and transcripts, 100% of students have access to a broad course of study, including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives as outlined in our charter petition.

We also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in our charter petition, certain programs, and services are dependent on student need and interest. For example; we provide CCSS aligned ELA and math intervention classes to all students who are in need of additional support. We provide designated and integrated ELD instruction, support, and intervention to ELs and immigrant students. We closely monitor student progress in ELA/Literacy, math, and ELD as measured by our interim assessments (MAP, IAB, etc.)

We provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. The AP courses we have offered this year include AP US History, AP World History, AP Language & Composition, AP Stats, AP Computer principles

All students have access to "Advisory" classes (college planning and career exploration program) and programs preparing students for college readiness, including test prep for ACT/SAT, in grades 9-12. MSA-Santa Ana offers credit recovery classes and individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years to ensure satisfaction with UC/CSU requirements. MSA-Santa Ana had a one-year cohort graduation rate of 100% last year where 100% of our graduates completed courses that satisfy the UC/CSU requirements.

3) MSA-Santa Ana provides access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study for all our students.

4) MSA-Santa Ana will continue to provide access to a college-preparatory, STEAM-focused broad course of study for all our students. We will ensure that all our students graduate college and career ready. In an effort to provide more well-rounded education to our students, MSA-Santa Ana will strive to offer additional programs such as more elective courses aligned with career pathways, continued health and physical education, diverse arts programs, increased civics, Life Skills, and SEL programs, etc., as well as provide our students with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Such additional programs depend on the availability of financial and human resources. MSA-Santa Ana will make the best use of its resources to provide a well-rounded educational experience to our students.