PUBLIC SCHOOLS

| Board Agenda Item \# | II A- Information Item |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | December 15, 2019 |
| To: | Magnolia Board of Directors |
| From: | Alfredo Rubalcava, CEO \& Superintendent |
| Staff Lead: | David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer |
| RE: | Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard Updates |

## Proposed Board Recommendation

N/A

## Background

Please see the attached report for MPS' performance on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard. The report also includes technical information and tips for the Board in order to better understand how the Dashboard works and how to measure MPS' performance more accurately and compare it with the performance of other schools, the districts and the state. This report will also be used with the school leadership teams for training, reflection, and LCAP development purposes.

## Budget Implications

N/A
How Does This Action Relate/Affect/Benefit All MSAs?

N/A

## Name of Staff Originator:

David Yilmaz, Chief Accountability Officer

## Exhibits (Attachments):

- Report on MPS' Performance on the Fall 2019 California School Dashboard
- School Performance Overview Report (for each MSA)


## Report on Magnolia Public Schools’ (MPS) Performance on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard
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## MPS' Performance on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard

California's accountability system is based on multiple measures that assess how local educational agencies (LEAs) and schools are meeting the needs of their students. Performance on these measures is reported on the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) available at www.caschooldashboard.org. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools, and student groups are performing across state and local measures. For state measures, performance is based on two factors: Current year (2018-19) results, and whether results improved from the prior year (2017-18).

The purpose of this report is to provide our stakeholders with Magnolia Public Schools' (MPS) performance on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard. The Dashboard website provides a 4-page PDF report for performance overview of each school. It also provides an additional 20+page detailed report with all state and local indicators and detailed student group performance. These Dashboard reports can be found in the appendices.

The Dashboard provides a report for each school but there is no aggregate report for a charter school organization with multiple schools. In order to view MPS' performance on one page with each school side by side, we have created an internal accountability page to display the Dashboard data so that we can easily compare one MSA with another, with the districts and the state. Having the data on one internal Dashboard page also allows us to see the larger organizational picture including student group comparisons, e.g., how Students with Disabilities performed at one MSA vs. another. This report includes charts and graphs from our internal Dashboard page which we use during our meetings with principals and deans for discussion, best practice sharing, and planning next steps.

One other report MPS finds useful and has included in this report is CCSA's academic accountability report which includes each charter school's statewide rank, similar students rank, percentage of students who are college/career prepared (for high schools), and 3-year growth (for elementary/middle schools) based on CCSA's metrics. CCSA provides advocacy support for renewal or replication to charter schools that pass CCSA's filters.

Beginning with the 2019 Dashboard, Charters are eligible for identification under the LCFF for Differentiated Assistance. Schools eligible for this support will be announced in January. The goal is to help LEAs and their schools meet the needs of each student they serve, with a focus on building local capacity to sustain improvement and to effectively address disparities in opportunities and outcomes.

Charter renewal criteria have also been updated by the passage of AB 1505. The schoolwide Dashboard performance on all the state indicators along with schoolwide and student group comparisons with the state averages will now be used as the main criteria for two, five or seven-year renewals.

Before diving into MPS' performance data on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard, we recommend that you understand some details about the Dashboard in order to be able to use it more accurately to measure MPS school performance and compare with the performance of other schools, districts and the state. You can find resources with detailed information, flyers, videos, and links to instructional materials that support the Dashboard at
https://www.caschooldashboard.org/about/resources. More technical resources, including data files and manuals, are also available at the "California School Dashboard and System of Support" page:
https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/. This report will provide some excerpts from those resources as well as internal guides and pages developed by the MPS Accountability Department.

## The Dashboard and the Eight State Priorities

The Dashboard is a powerful online tool to help districts and schools identify strengths and weaknesses and pinpoint student groups that may be struggling. It reports performance and progress on both state and local measures:

- State measures apply to all LEAs, schools, and student groups and are based on data that is collected consistently across the state.
- Local measures apply at the LEA and charter school level and are based on data collected at the local level.

The state and local measures are drawn from the ten priority areas of the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), which was passed in 2013. Table 1 lists each priority area and its corresponding state and/or local measure:

Table 1: The State and Local Measures for Each Local Control Funding Formula Priority Areas

| Priority Areas |  | State Indicator | Local Indicator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Priority 1: Basic Services <br> and Conditions at schools | N/A | Text books availability, adequate facilities, <br> and correctly assigned teachers |  |
| Priority <br> 2: Implementation of <br> State Academic Standards | N/A |  | Annually report on progress in <br> implementing the standards for all content <br> areas |
| Priority 3: Parent <br> Engagement | N/A |  | Annually report progress toward: (1) <br> seeking input from parents/guardians in <br> decision making; and (2) promoting <br> parental participation in programs |
| Priority 4: Student <br> Achievement | • Academic Performance | N/A |  |
| (Grades 3-8 and Grade |  |  |  |


| Priority 6: School <br> Climate | - Suspension Rate | Administer a Local Climate Survey every <br> other year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Priority 7: Access to a <br> Broad Course of Study |  |  |
| Priority 8: Outcomes in <br> a Broad Course of Study | - College/Career | Annually report progress on the extent <br> students have access to, and are enrolled in, <br> a broad course of study. |

These priority areas form the basis for California's integrated accountability system, which meets both state and federal requirements. Different criteria are used to determine and report performance for the state and local measures. For the methodology for measuring performance on the local measures, please see the local measures at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/localindicators.asp.

Performance on the state measures is based on data from both the current and prior years. Any LEA, school, or student group with at least 30 students in both the current and prior year receives a performance level for each applicable state measure. There are five performance levels, and each is assigned a different color: Red
 is the lowest performance level, Orange is the second lowest, Yellow is the middle point, Green is the second highest, and Blue is the highest performance level.

On the Dashboard, a school's, LEA's, or student group's performance on a state measure is graphically displayed by a gauge, that is broken into five different colored segments, to represent the five levels of performance. An arrow points to the color that that corresponds to the performance for that measure. The picture below illustrates the five analog gauge meters used on the California School Dashboard. Each gauge meter is a half-circle dial that has 5 segments. Each segment represents a different performance level. The colors read from top to bottom: Blue, Green, Yellow, Orange, and Red. Blue represents the highest performance level while Red represents the lowest performance level. A needle indicates the performance level for the measure.


## Getting to Know the California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (https://www.caschooldashboard.org) is an online tool designed to help communities across the state access important information about K-12 schools and districts. The Dashboard features easy-to-read reports on multiple measures of school success. The Dashboard is just one step in a series of changes that have raised the bar for student learning, transformed testing, and increased the focus on equity.

## 11 Measures of School Success

## State Measures

Six state measures allow for comparisons across schools and districts based on information collected statewide.

- High School Graduation Rate
- Academic Performance
- Suspension Rate
- English Learner Progress
- College/Career Readiness
- Chronic Absenteeism

Results are presented for all districts, schools, and defined student groups (e.g., racial groups, low income, English learners, homeless, foster youth, students with disabilities).

Schools and districts receive one of five colorcoded performance levels on each of the six state measures.


The performance level (color) is based on current and prior year data.

## Local Measures

Five local measures are based on information collected by districts, county offices of education, and charter schools.

- Basic Conditions
- Teacher qualifications, safe and clean buildings, textbooks for all students
- Implementation of Academic Standards
- School Climate Surveys
- Student safety, connection to the school
- Parent Involvement and Engagement
- Access to Courses

Districts receive one of three ratings for each of the four local measures:

- Met
- Not Met
- Not Met for Two Years

School and student group information is not available for local measures.

## How Do You Get a Performance Level (Color)?

The "California School Dashboard Technical Guide" provides technical information on California's accountability system, specifically in regards to the state and local indicators reported in the Dashboard. The guide is intended for accountability coordinators at LEAs to access the calculation methodology and rules used to produce each of the state indicators. (Source: https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/documents/dashboardguide18.pdf)

For an LEA, school, or student group to receive a performance level (or color), they must have at least two years of data. The most current year of data are used to determine Status. The prior year data is used to determine Change. An LEA, school, or student group's current year of data are used to assign a Status level for each applicable indicator. For each state indicator, there are five Status levels:

| Five Status Levels |
| :---: |
| Very High |
| High |
| Medium |
| Low |
| Very Low |

"Change," in the California Model, is defined as the difference in results from the current year to the prior year: Status minus Prior Year = Change. There are five Change levels for each state indicator:

| Five Change Levels |
| :---: |
| Increased Significantly |
| Increased |
| Maintained |
| Declined |
| Declined Significantly |

The combination of the five Status levels and the five Change levels results in 25 performance levels displayed in a five-by-five colored table. See Figure 1 for an example of a five-by-five colored table. Each of the 25 performance levels are represented by one of five colors:

Figure 1 from the Technical Guide:
Five-by-Five Colored Tables
As described earlier, an LEA, school, or student group's performance level (color) is determined through the use of a five-by-five colored table. For instance, an LEA or school with a "High" in Status and an "Increased" in Change will receive an overall performance level of Green for most of the state indicators. See Figure 2 below.

Figure 2: How to Get a Performance Level (Color)

| Level | Declined <br> Significantly <br> from Prior Year | Declined <br> from Prior <br> Year | Maintained <br> from Prior <br> Year | *Increased <br> from Prior <br> Year | Increased <br> Significantly <br> from Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very High <br> in Current <br> Year | Yellow | Green | Blue | *Blue | Blue |
| *High <br> in Current <br> Year | *Orange | *Yellow | *Green | ${ }^{\text {*Green }}$ | Blue |
| Medium <br> in Current <br> Year | Orange | Orange | Yellow | Green | Green |
| Low <br> in Current <br> Year | Red | Orange | Orange | Yellow | Yellow |
| Very Low <br> in Current <br> Year | Red | Red | Red | Orange | Yellow |

## Automatic Assignment of Orange

LEAs and schools are automatically assigned an Orange performance level in the following instances:

- Academic Indicator: LEAs or schools that fail to test at least ten percent of their testing population are automatically assigned an Orange performance level.
- Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators: LEAs and schools that did not certify (or submit) their attendance data or discipline data in the CALPADS for the current or prior Dashboard cycles are automatically assigned an Orange performance level.
- English Learner Progress: For the 2019 Dashboard, LEAs and schools that failed to meet the 95 percent participation rate criteria are automatically assigned an ELPI Status of 'Low'.

Exercise: Use the following two tables -- the 5-by-5 table for the graduation rate indicator and the Fall 2019 status and change table for MPS -- to determine the performance colors of MPS, the comparison districts, and the state.

5-by-5 Table for the Graduation Indicator:


Fall 2019 MPS Graduation Rates:

|  | Graduation Rate (9-12) Status and Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| MSA-1 | Blue | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 97.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | Declined $-2.8 \%$ |
| MSA-2 | Blue | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 97.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased $+7.9 \%$ |
| MSA-3 | Blue | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 95.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | Maintained $+0.5 \%$ |
| MSA-4 | - | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 97.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased $+1.4 \%$ |
| MSA-SA | - | 36 | High <br> 91.7\% | Declined $-1.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | Blue | 239 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 96.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | Maintained $+0.6 \%$ |
| MPS-LA | Blue | 203 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 97.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased $+1.1 \%$ |
| LAUSD | Orange | 31,647 | Medium $81.2 \%$ | Declined $-1.7 \%$ |
| SDUSD | Yellow | 7.007 | Medium $89.2 \%$ | Maintained $-0.3 \%$ |
| SAUSD | Yellow | 3,671 | Medium <br> $87.8 \%$ | Maintained $-0.6 \%$ |
| state | Green | 509.504 | Medium $85.7 \%$ | Increased $+2.0 \%$ |

## What Is DFS? How Is It Different than the Proficiency Rate?

All state indicators on the Dashboard except for the Academic Indicator (ELA/Literacy and math) are measured using "percentages" and the Dashboard compares the current year percentage (status) to prior year percentage (change). For example; MSA-2 has a graduation rate of $97.6 \%$ as its status and the change from prior year is $+7.9 \%$. However, the Academic Indicator that measures school performance in ELA and math does not use percentages or percent proficiency rates. While CAASPP scores are still released in terms of "percent proficiency" and historically schools have been compared to each other in terms of proficiency rates, the most significant of which has been occurring during the charter renewal process, the CDE has been trying to promote the concept of Distance
from Standard (DFS) as an alternative, more inclusive method in measuring school progress in ELA and math.

## Excerpt from the Technical Guide:

## DFS for Smarter Balanced Assessments

The DFS represents the distance between a student's score on the SBAC and the Standard Met Achievement Level threshold (i.e., the lower threshold of the scale score range for Level 3 ). The scale score ranges for the SBAC vary by content area-ELA and mathematics-and grade level and are available in Appendix D.

The calculation uses all available scale scores to provide a more precise measure of an LEA's and school's status and progress. Each student's DFS is calculated separately and then all of the distances are combined to determine an average. The average distance is calculated for each LEA, school, and student group. These results will show which areas are in need of improvement and the extent to which the average student score falls short of, or exceeds, the Level 3 threshold.

Because the scale score ranges for each performance level differ for each grade level, it is important to compare each student's ELA and mathematics scores against the Level 3 scale score for the appropriate grade. For example:

- In grade five, the scale scores for ELA range from 2,201 to 2,701. The scale scores for mathematics range from 2,219 to 2,700 . Within each range, there are four distinct achievement levels. See Table 12 on the following page.

Table 12: Grade Five Scale Score Range for SBAC in ELA and Mathematics

| Achievement <br> Levels | Level 1: <br> Standard <br> Not Met | Level 2: <br> Standard <br> Nearly Met | Level 3: <br> Standard <br> Met | Level 4: <br> Standard <br> Exceeded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 5 ELA <br> Scale Score <br> Ranges | $2201-2441$ | $2442-2501$ | 2502-2581 | $2582-2701$ |
| Grade 5 <br> Math <br> Scale Score <br> Ranges | $2219-2454$ | $2455-2527$ | $\mathbf{2 5 2 8 - 2 5 7 8}$ | $2579-2700$ |

As noted in Table 12, above:

- For ELA, the lowest scale score for Level 3 is 2,502 . Each grade five ELA assessment score is compared against this fixed point to obtain the Distance from Standard.
- For mathematics, the lowest scale score for Level 3 is 2,528. Each grade five mathematics assessment score is compared against this fixed point to obtain the Distance from Standard.

Table 13 below provides examples of how the DFS is calculated for the SBAC.
Table 13: Examples of Calculating the DFS for Grade 5 Student

| Student | Student's <br> Score on the <br> SBAC | SBAC Scale <br> Score Range for <br> Level 3 | Distance from Standard (DFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 5 <br> Student 1 | ELA Score: <br> 2552 | ELA Scale Score <br> Range: <br> $2502-2581$ | The student scored 50 points <br> above the lowest possible Level 3 <br> scale score in Grade 5 ELA. The <br> DFS is positive 50 points. |
| Grade 5 <br> Student 2 | Math Score: <br> 2505 | Math Scale Score <br> Range: <br> $2528-2578$ | 2505 minus 2528 $=-23$ points <br> The student scored 23 points <br> below the lowest possible Level 3 <br> scale score in Grade 5 <br> mathematics. The DFS is <br> negative 23 points. |

## Calculate DFS for LEA, School, or Student Group

Once the DFS is calculated for each student, all DFS calculations are aggregated and averaged together to determine the DFS for the LEA, school, or student group.

## Example 1: Average DFS

MSA-1 had 359 students in grades 3-8 and 11 who were tested in math in 2019. When each student's DFS was calculated and all students' DFS were aggregated, the school had an average DFS of 43.1 points below standard in math. (Note: State target for the Medium status is 25 points below standard.)

Math:


## Example 2: Proficiency Rates

SBAC Historical Proficiency Rates - MATH $(3-8,11)$

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Change (2018 to 2019) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSA-1 | $24 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29.61 \%$ | $35.06 \%$ | $30.13 \%$ | $-4.93 \%$ |
| MSA-2 | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23.87 \%$ | $25.58 \%$ | $27.87 \%$ | $2.29 \%$ |

As expected, the proficiency rates of MSA-1 and MSA-2 you see above are different numbers than the DFS because proficiency rate is the percentage of students who are proficient, or in other words, percentage of students who have scored Level 3 or Level 4 on SBAC, regardless of their actual score within Level 3 or 4 . Proficiency rate, by itself, also does not give much information about students who are in Levels 1 and 2 and how close they are to proficiency. A student's improvement from Level 1 to Level 2 or from Level 3 to Level 4 over a year does not have any impact on the proficiency rate. What matters for the proficiency rate is being proficient or not. However, the Dashboard takes into account each and every student's scale score and its distance from standard (i.e., cut-off score for Level 3) to provide an average distance for the school. Unlike the proficiency rate, if a student at Level 1 improves his/her distance to the Level 3 cut-score or if a student at Level 4 declines his/her distance from the cut-score, those changes have an impact on the average DFS. This shift in measurement has been developed in response to the need to focus on the growth of each and every student and not just a focus on students at the cusp of becoming proficient.

Example 3: DFS vs. Proficiency
School X has shown the following performance on the 2018 and 2019 SBAC math assessments. Did this school show growth on the Dashboard Academic Indicator for math?

CAASPP Proficiency Rates:

| 2018 SBAC - Math - All Students - Proficiency | 2019 SBAC - Math - All Students - Proficiency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Level $1-35$ students | Level $1-10$ students |
| Level $2-15$ students | Level $2-45$ students |
| Level $3-40$ students | Level $3-20$ students |
| Level 4-10 students | Level 4-25 students |
|  |  |
| 2018 Proficiency Rate: 50\% (Levels 3 and 4) | 2019 Proficiency Rate: 45\% (Levels 3 and 4) |

In 2019, School X has fewer students in Level 1, which indicates that underachieving students at Level 1 improved to Level 2 or above (due to intervention programs, etc.) The school also has more students at Level 4, an indication of more students performing at the top level (due to GATE programs, etc.) However, when only proficiency rates are compared, the school is considered declining. Is proficiency rate alone a sufficient metric in measuring school performance?

Distance from Standard (DFS) Calculations:

| 2018 SBAC - Math - Distance from Standard <br> (DFS) | 2019 SBAC - Math - Distance from Standard <br> (DFS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 6: 35 students - Average DFS: 40 points below <br> standard | Grade 6: 35 students - Average DFS: 38 points below <br> standard |
| Grade 7: 35 students - Average DFS: 30 points below <br> standard | Grade 7: 35 students - Average DFS: 26 points below <br> standard |
| Grade 8: 30 students - Average DFS: 20 points below <br> standard | Grade 8: 30 students - Average DFS: 17 points below <br> standard |
| Average DFS: $\left(35^{*}-40+35^{*}-30+30^{*}-20\right) / 100=-30.5$ <br> (30.5 points below standard) | Average DFS: $\left(35^{*}-38+35^{*}-26+30^{*}-17\right) / 100=-27.5$ <br> $(27.5$ points below standard $)$ <br> Change from 2018: -27.5 minus $-30.5=+3$ points |
| Status: Low (30.5 points below standard) | Change: Increased (+3 points) |

Regardless of the proficiency rates, the Dashboard recognizes the school's improvement in its average distance from standard by 3 points. Each student's scale score had a direct impact on this result. While the school's overall count of proficient students decreased by 5 students, each student, on the average, improved by getting closer to the proficiency cut score in the new grade level (if his/her scale score was below standard) or becoming more advanced (if his/her scale score was already above standard).

5-by-5 Table for the Academic Indicator - Math:

|  |  | Mathematics (3-8,11) - All Students Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Change in Average Distance from Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Declined Significantly <br> by more than 15 points | Declined by 3 to 15 points | Maintained <br> Declined by less than 3 points or increased by less than 3 points | Increased <br> by 3 to less than 15 points | Increased Significantly <br> by 15 points or more |
|  | Very High <br> 35 points or higher |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ \text { Zero to } 34.9 \\ \text { points } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | SD |  |
|  | Medium <br> -25 points to less than zero |  |  |  |  | 5* |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ -25.1 \text { to- }-95 \\ \text { points } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3 | 1.6 | 2, 7, Bell, SA |  |
|  | Very Low <br> -95.1 points or lower | 4 |  |  |  |  |

## Can We Compare Schools by Their Colors?

Direct comparison of MPS' performance levels (colors) with the colors of other schools, such as resident schools, may be misleading and should be done with caution because color depends on both "status" and "change". A school with a higher Status level that maintained or declined its scores may be assigned a lower performance color than a school with a lower Status level that increased its scores. While color is important, Status should be treated as equally significant for comparison purposes, if not more. See the example below for clarification.


## Example 1:

Assume School A has the following Status, Change and Performance color in ELA/Literacy:

| Status | Change | Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | Maintained | Orange |
| 10 points below standard | +2.9 points |  |

Note that the business rule for the ELA indicator for Low status is -70 to -5.1 points (DFS), and for change, less than 3 points change is considered as Maintained. Low (Status) and Maintained (Change) combine to yield an Orange performance color on the Dashboard.

Assume School B has the following Status, Change and Performance color in ELA/Literacy:

| Status | Change | Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | Increased | Yellow |
| 70 points below standard | +3 points |  |

School B has a much lower average DFS compared with School A (70 points vs. 10 points below standard); difference of 60 points is huge! However, since School B has "increased" its score from the prior year rather than Maintained or Declined (Remember: 3 to 15 points positive change in ELA is considered as "Increased"), it receives a Yellow color.

As can be seen in the example above, it would not be an accurate comparison if we only compared the colors, such as "Yellow is better than Orange," and did not compare the actual Status levels. While change from prior year is important and recognized by the Dashboard in determining the color, Status is the actual student performance and should not be lost sight of amid the colors. In this example, students of School A, on the average, are actually much closer to standard than the students of School B even though School A received a lower performance level (color) than School B.

## Example 2:

In the following REAL example from the 2019 Fall Dashboard, MSA-1 has an Orange color and MSA-2 has a Yellow color on the Math indicator even though MSA-1's students performed 17.7 points closer to standard compared with MSA-2's students. ( -43.1 minus $-60.8=17.7$ points)

|  | All Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| MSA-1 | Orange | 359 | Low | Maintained |
| MSA-2 | Yellow | Leints below standard | +0.1 points |  |

## How Did MPS Perform on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard? (All Students)

## English Language Arts (3-8, 11):

|  | All Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| MSA-1 | Orange | 359 | Low <br> 13.9 points below standard | Declined <br> -4.9 points |
| MSA-2 | Yellow | 287 | Low <br> 17.4 points below standard | Increased Significantly +20.0 points |
| MSA-3 | Orange | 322 | Low <br> 40.8 points below standard | Declined Significantly -21.4 points |
| MSA-4 | Orange | 57 | Low <br> 57.5 points below standard | Declined Significantly <br> -27.2 points |
| MSA-5 | - | 174 | Low <br> 12.8 points below standard | - |
| MSA-6 | Orange | 151 | Low <br> 17.4 points below standard | Declined -14.0 points |
| MSA-7 | Orange | 109 | Low <br> 7.7 points below standard | Maintained +1.1 points |
| MSA-Bell | Yellow | 454 | Low <br> 19.1 points below standard | Increased +13.4 points |
| MSA-SD | Blue | 375 | High <br> 39.4 points above standard | Increased Significantly <br> +17.0 points |
| MSA-SA | Orange | 360 | Low <br> 35.0 points below standard | Declined -11.0 points |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | Orange | 2,648 | Low <br> 14.6 points below standard | Maintained <br> +1.9 points |
| MPS-LA | Orange | 1,913 | Low <br> 21.3 points below standard | Maintained <br> +0.9 points |
| LAUSD | Yellow | 223,502 | Low <br> 24.1 points below standard | Increased +5.1 points |
| SDUSD | Green | 48,480 | High <br> 12.1 points above standard | Maintained <br> +2.3 points |
| SAUSD | Yellow | 24,314 | Low <br> 45.7 points below standard | Increased +4.1 points |
| STATE | Green | 3,189,965 | Medium <br> 3.0 points below standard | Increased +3.1 points |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | English Language Arts/Literacy (3-8,11) - All Students Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Bue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $1,3,4,6,7$, SA | 2, Bell, $5^{*}$ | - | SD |



## 2018-19 ELA $(3-8,11)$ - All Students Change



## English Language Arts (3-8, 11) Highlights:

- One school has a Blue color (MSA-SD); two have Yellow (MSA-2, Bell); six have Orange.
- MSA- 5 would normally receive a Yellow color. They did not receive any color due to CDS code change.
- Nine schools have Low status; MSA-SD has a High status.
- Five schools showed positive change; five showed negative.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received an Orange performance color (Low status with 14.6 points below standard, Maintained by +1.9 points. A 3-point increase would have put MPS in Yellow.)
- Compared with their local districts, eight of ten schools (except for MSA-3 and 4) have a better DFS.
- Compared with the state average, only MSA-SD has a better DFS (39.4 points above standard vs. 3 points below standard.)


## Mathematics (3-8, 11):




Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $1,3,2006$ | 2,7, Bell, SA | SD. $5^{*}$ | - |

2018-19 Mathematics (3-8,11) - All Students Status


2018-19 Mathematics $(3-8,11)$ - All Students Change


Change in Average Distance from Standard

## Mathematics (3-8, 11) Highlights:

- One school has a Green color (MSA-SD); four have Yellow (MSA-2, Bell); three have Orange.
- MSA- 5 would normally receive a Green color. They did not receive any color due to CDS code change.
- Seven schools have Low status; MSA-SD has a High status; MSA-5 has a Medium status; MSA-4 has a Very Low status.
- Eight schools showed positive change; two showed negative.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received a Yellow performance color (Low status with 47.2 points below standard, Increased by +5.4 points.)
- Compared with their local districts, seven of ten schools (except for MSA-3 and 4) have a better DFS.
- Compared with the state average, three schools have a better DFS (MSA-5, 7, and SD; MSA-6 is close.)


## English Learner Progress (1-12):

|  | All Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| MSA-1 | - | 95 | Medium $52.6 \%$ | - |
| MSA-2 | - | 49 | Very High 71.4\% | - |
| MSA-3 | - | 23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 60.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | - |
| MSA-4 | - | 14 | Very Low 28.6\% | - |
| MSA-5 | - | 48 | High <br> 56.3\% | - |
| MSA-6 | - | 29 | Low <br> 44.8\% | - |
| MSA-7 | - | 56 | Medium 46.4\% | - |
| MSA-Bell | - | 55 | Medium $52.7 \%$ | - |
| MSA-SD | - | 15 | Low <br> 53.3\% | - |
| MSA-SA | - | 210 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 41.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | - |
| MPS | - | 594 | Medium <br> 49.5\% | - |
| MPS-LA | - | 369 | Medium 53.7\% | - |
| LAUSD | - | 68,139 | Medium 45.0\% | - |
| SDUSD | - | 12,962 | Medium 47.6\% | - |
| SAUSD | - | 12,772 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 40.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | - |
| STATE | - | 844,257 | Medium $48.3 \%$ | - |



## 2018-19 English Learner Progress (1-12) - Status

$\qquad$


## 2018-19 English Learner Progress (1-12) Status

100.0\% $\qquad$
75.0\%



## English Learner Progress (1-12) Highlights:

- Schools have been only assigned a Status level in 2018-19. They will receive a performance color next year.
- One school has a Very High status (MSA-2); one school has High (MSA-5); three have Medium (MSA-1, 7, Bell); four have low; one has Very Low (MSA-4).
- Three schools (MSA-3, 4, and SD) did not meet the required 95\% ELPAC test participation rate and were automatically assigned a Low performance level, except for MSA-4, which already had a Very Low level.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received a Medium performance level (49.5\%).
- Compared with their local districts, eight of ten schools (except for MSA-4 and 6) have a higher percentage of English Learner Progress.
- Compared with the state average of $48.3 \%$, six schools have a higher percentage of English Learner Progress.


## College/Career Indicator (9-12):




Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Elue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  | 2,3 | 1 |



2018-19 College/Career Indicator (9-12) - \% Prepared, \% Approaching Prepared, \% Not Prepared
100.0\% $\qquad$


2018-19 College/Career Indicator (9-12) - All Students Status


2018-19 College/Career Indicator (9-12) - All Students Change


Change in College/Career Indicator

Please note that there are different ways a student can be designated as "Prepared" for College/Career. The following are the eligibility options for a student to be considered College/Career "Prepared" or "Approaching Prepared" on the CCI.

## How Do Graduates Earn Prepared?



## How Do Graduates Earn Approaching Prepared?

| CTE Pathway Completion <br> SBAC Summative (At least level 2 on both ELA and Math) <br> College Credit (1 semesters, 2 quarter, or 2 trimesters with passing grades) <br> Leadership/Military Science (2 years of coursework) <br> A-G Completion |
| :--- |

The following tables show how MPS graduates earned "Prepared" on the CCI. $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ of $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ MPS graduates earned
"Prepared" by meeting the criteria:

- 52 of 233 graduates scored Level 3 "Standard Met" or higher on both the English language arts/literacy and mathematics Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments.
- 27 of 233 graduates scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.
- 141 of 233 graduates completed a-g course requirements with a grade of C minus or better plus an additional criteria
- 50 of 233 graduates earned the State Seal of Biliteracy and scored Level 3 "Standard Met" or higher on the English language arts/literacy Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment.

|  | College/Career Indicator (9-12) Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | currdenom | curr_prep | $\begin{gathered} \text { curr_prep_pc } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | curr_aprep | $\begin{gathered} \text { curr_aprep_p } \\ \text { ct } \end{gathered}$ | curr_nprep | $\begin{gathered} \text { curr_nprep_p } \\ \text { ot } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Number of students in the current year CCl denominator. This number may include 1) Students from the Class of 2019 2) Students from the Class of 2018 who graduated in 2019 and earned Prepared | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared on the CCl |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Approaching Prepared on the CCl |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Not Prepared on the CCl |  |
| MSA-1 | 71 | 52 | 73.2\% | 13 | 18.3\% | 6 | 8.5\% |
| MSA-2 | 38 | 23 | 60.5\% | 11 | 28.9\% | 4 | 10.5\% |
| MSA-3 | 48 | 27 | 56.3\% | 16 | 33.3\% | 5 | 10.4\% |
| MSA-4 | 40 | 22 | 55.0\% | 12 | 30.0\% | 6 | 15.0\% |
| MSA-SA | 36 | 19 | 52.8\% | 12 | 33.3\% | 5 | 13.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | 233 | 143 | 61.4\% | 64 | 27.5\% | 26 | 11.2\% |
| MPS-LA | 197 | 124 | 62.9\% | 52 | 26.4\% | 21 | 10.7\% |
| LAUSD | 31.388 | 11,818 | 37.7\% | 6.634 | 21.1\% | 12.936 | 41.2\% |
| STATE | 504.344 | 221,984 | 44.0\% | 85.978 | 17.0\% | 196,382 | 38.9\% |
| SAUSD | 3,636 | 1.521 | 41.8\% | 639 | 17.6\% | 1.476 | 40.6\% |


|  | Data File | Record Layout |  | PPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | curr_prep_ summative | curr_prep_ summative _pot | ourr_prep_ apexam | curr_prep_ 3pexam_po <br> t | curr_prep_i bexam | ourr_prep_i bexam_pot | curr_prep_ collegecred it | curr_prep_ collegecred it_pet | curr_prep_ agplus | curr_prep_ agplus_pot | $\underset{\substack{\text { eplus } \\ \text { curr_prep }}}{ }$ | curr_prep_ot eplus_pot | $\underset{b}{\text { curr_prep_ss }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { curr_prep_ss } \\ \text { b_pet } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { sci }}{\text { ourr_prep_mil }}$ | $\underset{\text { sci_pot }}{\text { curt_prep_mil }}$ |
|  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by scoring Level 3 "Standard Met" or higher on both the English language arts/iteracy and mathematics Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by scoring 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by scoring 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate exams. |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by completing at least two semesters. or three trimesters of college coursework with a grade of $C$ minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by completing a-g course requirements with a grade of C minus <br> or better plus an additional criteria (for further information see the CCI Dashboard Indicator Flyer). |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by completing at least one CTE pathway with a grade of C minus or better in the capstone course plus an additional oriteria (for further information see the CCl Dashboard Indicator Flyer). |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by earning the State Seal of Biliteracy and scoring Level 3 "Standard Met" or higher on the English language arts/literacy Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment |  | Number and Percent of students in the current year CCl who earned Prepared by completing at least two years of Leadership/Military Science courses and scoring Level 3 "Standard Met" or higher on ELA or mathematics and Level 2 "Standard Nearly Met" or higher in the other subject area |  |
| MSA-1 | 25 | 48.1\% | 17 | 32.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 52 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 40.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MSA-2 | 7 | 30.4\% | 3 | 13.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 22 | 95.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 39.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MSA-3 | 12 | 44.4\% | 4 | 14.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 26 | 96.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 40.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MSA-4 | 3 | 13.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 22 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 27.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MSA-SA | 5 | 28.3\% | 3 | 15.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 15.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | 52 | 36.4\% | 27 | 18.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 141 | 98.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 50 | 35.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MPS-LA | 47 | 37.9\% | 24 | 19.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 122 | 98.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 47 | 37.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| LAUSD | 5,355 | 45.3\% | 3.105 | 26.3\% | 74 | 0.6\% | 409 | 3.5\% | 10.773 | 91.2\% | 1.608 | 13.6\% | 2.489 | 21.1\% | 210 | 1.8\% |
| State | 127,332 | 57.4\% | 75.563 | 34.0\% | 3.860 | 1.7\% | 33.152 | 14.9\% | 178.812 | 80.6\% | 41.984 | 18.9\% | 48.083 | 21.7\% | 2.044 | 0.9\% |
| SAUSD | 556 | 36.6\% | 369 | 24.3\% | 17 | 1.1\% | 333 | 21.9\% | 1.119 | 73.6\% | 283 | 18.6\% | 694 | 45.6\% | 26 | 1.7\% |

The above were numbers of graduates who met Prepared criteria on the CCI through different options. The following are more details on MPS' cohort graduation rate:

- MPS has a four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate of 96.1\% (224 of 233).
- Percent of cohort meeting UC/CSU requirements: 86.3\% (201 of 233).
- Percent of cohort earning a Seal of Biliteracy: 21.5\% (50 of 233).
- Percent of cohort earning a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma: 31.3\% (73 of 233).

Cohort Graduation Rate


2018-19 FOUR-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION RATE
\% of Graduates Meeting UC/CSU Requirements


2018-19 FOUR-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION DATA
\% of Graduates Earning a Seal of Biliteracy
 2018-19 FOUR-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION DATA

## \% of Graduates Earning a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma



## College/Career Indicator (9-12) Highlights:

- One school has a Blue color (MSA-1); two have Green (MSA-2 and 3).
- MSA-4 and SA do not have colors since they did not have 30 or more graduates in the prior year (2017-18). If they were assigned colors, MSA-4 would have received Green and MSA-SA Orange.
- One school has a Very High Status (MSA-1); three have High (MSA-2, 3, 4); one has Medium (MSA-SA).
- Three schools showed positive change; MSA-SA declined.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received a Green performance color (High 61.4\%, Increased by $+5.3 \%$ )
- Compared with their local districts and the state average, all five schools have a higher percentage of graduates earning "Prepared" on the College/Career Indicator (CCI).


## Graduation Rate (9-12):




Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | $1,2,3$ |

2018-19 Graduation Rate (9-12) - All Students Status


## 2018-19 Graduation Rate (9-12) - All Students Change



Change

Change in Combined 4-and 5-year Graduation Rate

## Graduation Rate (9-12) Highlights:

- All three schools have a Blue color (MSA-1, 2, and 3).
- MSA-4 and SA do not have colors since they did not have 30 or more graduates in the prior year (2017-18). If they were assigned colors, MSA-4 would have received Blue and MSA-SA Yellow.
- Fours schools have a Very High Status; one has High (MSA-SA).
- Three schools showed positive change (MSA-2, 4); two declined (MSA-1, SA).
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received a Blue performance color (Very High 96.2\%, Maintained by +o.6\%)
- Compared with their local districts and the state average, all five schools have a higher graduation rate.


## Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8):




Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Elue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $1,3,7, \mathrm{SA}$ | 4, Bell | $2, \mathrm{SD}, 5^{*}$ | - |

2018-19 Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) - All Students Status


2018-19 Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) - All Students Change


Change in Chronic Absenteeism Rate

## Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) Highlights:

- Two schools have a Green color (MSA-2, SD); two have Yellow (MSA-4, Bell); four have Orange (MSA-1, 3, 7, SA) and one has Red (MSA-6).
- MSA- 5 would normally receive a Green color. They did not receive any color due to CDS code change.
- Three schools have high levels of chronic absenteeism (MSA-4, 6, and 7); one school has low level of chronic absenteeism (5.0\%)
- Five schools increased and five declined their chronic absenteeism rates.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received an Orange performance color (Medium 8.2\%, Increased by +o.8\%)
- Compared with their local districts, nine of ten schools have a better (lower) chronic absenteeism rate.
- Compared with the state average (10.1\%), seven of ten schools have a better (lower) chronic absenteeism rate.


## Suspension Rate (K-12):

|  | All Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| MSA-1 | Orange | 620 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Low } \\ & 2.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased Significantly $+2.3 \%$ |
| MSA-2 | Blue | 448 | Very Low 0\% | Declined -0.4\% |
| MSA-3 | Blue | 526 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & 1.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | Declined Significantly $-2.9 \%$ |
| MSA-4 | Red | 187 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 7.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased Significantly $+7.5 \%$ |
| MSA-5 | - | 259 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { 1.2\% } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| MSA-6 | Blue | 163 | Very Low 0\% | Declined -0.6\% |
| MSA-7 | Blue | 296 | Very Low 0\% | Maintained 0\% |
| MSA-Bell | Yellow | 490 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & 1.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased +1.4\% |
| MSA-SD | Green | 437 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & 1.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | Declined $-0.7 \%$ |
| MSA-SA | Yellow | 714 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 2.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | Increased $+0.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | Yellow | 4,140 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & 1.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased $+0.4 \%$ |
| MPS-LA | Yellow | 2,989 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & 1.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Increased $+0.6 \%$ |
| LAUSD | Blue | 475,140 | Very Low <br> 0.4\% | Maintained $-0.1 \%$ |
| SDUSD | Yellow | 109,528 | Medium 3.8\% | Maintained $+0.1 \%$ |
| SAUSD | Yellow | 48,280 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ 3.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | Maintained $-0.1 \%$ |
| STATE | Yellow | 6,362,507 | Medium <br> 3.4\% | Maintained $-0.1 \%$ |



Schools in Each Performance Level

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Elue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | Bell, SA, $5^{\star}$ | SD | $2,3,6,7$ |

2018-19 Suspension Rate (K-12) - All Students Status


2018-19 Suspension Rate (K-12) - All Students Change


## Suspension Rate (K-12) Highlights:

- Four schools have a Blue color (MSA-2, 3, 6, 7); one has Green (MSA-SD); two Yellow (MSA-Bell, SA); one Orange (MSA-1); and one Red (MSA-4).
- MSA- 5 would normally receive a Yellow color. They did not receive any color due to CDS code change.
- One school has a high suspension rate (MSA-4); six school have low suspension rates; and three schools have very low ( $0 \%$ ) suspension rates (MSA-2, 6, and 7).
- Five schools increased and four declined their suspension rates.
- If MPS were considered as one LEA, it would have received a Yellow performance color (Low 1.7\%, Increased by +o.4\%)
- Compared with their local districts, five of ten schools have a better (lower) suspension rate.
- Compared with the state average (3.4\%), nine of ten schools have a better (lower) suspension rate.


## How Did MPS Perform on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard? (Student Groups)

In order to close the achievement and opportunity gaps and to ensure equity among student groups we need to review how each student group performed on the Dashboard. The following tables and attached reports show student group performance on the state indicators.

As demonstrated below, collectively, MPS has 13 of 167 (7.8\%) student groups in Red and $\mathbf{6 2}$ of 167 (37.1\%) student groups in Orange across the board, adding up to about 45\% of MPS student groups in Red or Orange.

Table 2: MPS Student Group Performance (\# of student groups by color per state indicator)

| State Indicator | Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts (3-8, 11) | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 10 | 3 | 2 |
| Mathematics (3-8, 11) | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 13 | 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| College/Career (9-12) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Graduation Rate (9-12) | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 7 | 8 | 2 |
| Suspension Rate (K-12) | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 5 | 3 | 20 |
|  | Total: | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 35 | 25 |

Table 3: MPS student groups with a Red performance color on the Dashboard per state indicator

| State Indicator | Student Group with Red Performance Color |
| :--- | :--- |
| English Language Arts (3-8, 11) | MSA-3: EL <br> MSA-SA: SWD |
| Mathematics (3-8, 11) | MSA-3: EL, SWD, AA <br> MSA-4: SED, HI |
| College/Career (9-12) | None |
| Graduation Rate (9-12) | None |
| Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) | MSA-6: SWD <br> MSA-7: SWD, HI |
| Suspension Rate (K-12) | MSA-4: SED, SWD, AA |

EL: English Learner; SED: Socioeconomically Disadvantaged; SWD: Students with Disabilities; AA: African
American; HI: Hispanic

The following tables show student group performance for each school per state indicator.


|  | State Priority 5 : Student Engagement |  |  |  |  |  | State Priority 6: <br> School Climate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduation Rate (9-12) |  |  | Chronic Absenteeism Rate (K-8) |  |  | Suspension Rate (K-12) |  |  |
|  | All Students Perf. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Stu } \\ \text { Grps } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grps <br> Red <br> / Org | All Students Perf. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Stu } \\ \text { Grps } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grps Red <br> I Org | All Students Perf. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Stu } \\ \text { Grps } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grps Red / Org |
| MSA-1 | Blue | 2 | 0 | Orange | 4 | 3 | Orange | 5 | 5 |
| MSA-2 | Elue | 2 | 0 | Green | 4 | 1 | 日lue | 5 | 0 |
| MSA-3 | Blue | 1 | 0 | Orange | 3 | 3 | 日ue | 5 | 0 |
| MSA-4 | - | 0 | 0 | Yellow | 2 | 0 | Red | 4 | 4 |
| MSA-5 | N/A |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| MSA-6 |  |  |  | Red | 3 | 3 | Blue | 3 | 0 |
| MSA-7 |  |  |  | Orange | 5 | 3 | Blue | 5 | 0 |
| MSA-Bell |  |  |  | Yellow | 5 | 2 | Yellow | 5 | 1 |
| MSA-SD |  |  |  | Green | 5 | 0 | Green | 5 | 2 |
| MSA-SA | - | 0 | 0 | Orange | 5 | 4 | Yellow | 5 | 3 |
| MPS | Blue | 5 | 0 | Orange | 36 | 19 | Yellow | 42 | 15 |
| MPS-LA | Blue | 5 | 0 | Orange | 26 | 15 | Yellow | 32 | 10 |
| LAUSD | Orange | 13 | 12 | Red | 13 | 13 | Blue | 13 | 0 |
| SDUSD | Yellow | 11 | 4 | Orange | 13 | 11 | Yellow | 13 | 8 |
| SAUSD | Yellow | 7 | 4 | Orange | 13 | 9 | Yellow | 13 | 3 |
| STATE | Orange | 13 | 3 | Orange | 13 | 11 | Yellow | 13 | 4 |

Fall 2019 - Equity Report

|  |  |  |  |  |  | State Priority 4: Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  | English Language Arts (3-8, 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics (3-8, 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | English Learner <br> Progress (1-12) <br> EL |
|  | Encollment | SED | EL | Foster Youth | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Gr} \\ \text { Span } \end{gathered}$ | ALL | EL | SED | SWD | HOM | AA | HI | WH | MR | ALL | EL | SED | SWD | HOM | AA | HI | WH | MR |  |
| MSA-1 | 580 | 88.5\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 6-12 | Orange | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Orange | $\checkmark$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Medium |
| MSA-2 | 437 | 87.6\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 6-12 | Yellow | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\gamma$ |  |  | Yellow | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Y |  |  | Very High |
| MSA-3 | 510 | 72.2\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 6-12 | Orange | R | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Orange | R | $\bigcirc$ | R |  | R | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Low |
| MSA-4 | 176 | 75.6\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0-12 | Orange |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Red |  | R |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | Very Low |
| MSA-5 | 248 | 88.7\% | 23.8\% | 0.0\% | 6-12 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |
| MSA-6 | 156 | 83.3\% | 20.5\% | 0.6\% | 6-8 | Orange | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |
| MSA. 7 | 291 | 72.5\% | 29.9\% | 0.0\% | K-5 | Orange | - | $\gamma$ |  |  |  | $\gamma$ |  |  | Yellow | $\checkmark$ | $\gamma$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Medium |
| MSA-Bell | 471 | 84.3\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 6-8 | Yellow | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $Y$ | $Y$ |  | Yellow | $\bigcirc$ | Y | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $Y$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | Medium |
| MSA-SD | 404 | 26.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 6-8 | Blue | $\bigcirc$ | G | Y |  |  | B |  | G | Green | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | G | G | Low |
| MSA-SA | 674 | 81.5\% | 36.4\% | 0.0\% | K-12 | Orange | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | R | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | Yellow | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | Y | Y |  | $\gamma$ |  |  | Low |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | 3.847 | 76.3\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | K-12 | Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |
| MPS-LA | 2.869 | 82.1\% | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | K-12 | Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |
| LAUSD | 453.276 | 84 | 21.8\% | 0.8\% | P-Ad | Yellow | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | G | G | Yellow | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $Y$ | $\gamma$ | G | G | Medium |
| SDUSD | 103.184 | 58.1\% | 20.9\% | 0.2\% | K-Ad | Green | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | Y | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | B | B | Green | $\bigcirc$ | $Y$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\square$ | G | Medium |
| SAuso | 46.597 | 87.8\% | 34.8\% | 0.5\% | P-Ad | Yellow | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | G | Orange | $\bigcirc$ | $Y$ | $\bigcirc$ | Y | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | $Y$ | Low |
| state | 6.180.278 | 60.9\% | 19.3\% | 0.5\% | P-Ad | Green | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | $\bigcirc$ | Y | $\gamma$ | $\gamma$ | G | G | Orange | $\bigcirc$ | $Y$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\gamma$ | G | G | Medium |



## CCSA's 2017-19 Accountability Metrics: Statewide and Similar Schools Ranking

CCSA publishes school Academic Accountability Reports that show the results of every charter school based on CCSA's Accountability Framework. Reports are available for all charter schools regardless of performance.

## Statewide Rank

Based on CCSA's methodology of averaging Distance from Standard (DFS) in ELA and math and ranking all schools statewide out of 10 (10 being the highest rank), MPS schools are ranked as follows:


Target: State Rank of 4 or above in 2 of 3 years.

| School | Statewide Rank (2019) |
| :--- | :---: |
| MSA-SD | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| MSA-7 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| MSA-1 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| MSA-6 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| MSA-5 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| MSA-2 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| MSA-SA | 3 |
| MSA-Bell | 2 |
| MSA-3 |  |
| MSA-4 |  |

Four of nine schools (MSA-1, 6, 7, and SD) have ranks of 5 and above. MSA-2 and MSA-SA have a ranking of 4. If MSA- 5 had received a ranking, it would also have a rank of 5 or above. Overall, seven of ten MPS would score state rank of 4 or above meeting CCSA's initial filters for charter renewal considering 2019 only.

## Similar Schools Rank

Source: https://www.ccsa.org/what-we-do/student-success
CCSA created the Similar Students Ranks (SSR), as a key component of the CCSA Accountability
Framework. The Similar Students Rank (SSR) orders schools according to how their students perform on standardized tests compared to schools serving similar students statewide. It functions as a "proxy value-add" measure by comparing each school's performance to a prediction based on how schools with similar demographic characteristics perform.

The SSR sets a minimal bar of performance that allows for uniformly high expectations while taking into account students' backgrounds. The SSR is used as one component of CCSA's Minimum Academic Accountability Criteria, which also includes status, growth and post-secondary readiness. CCSA's Minimum Criteria do not attempt to measure or define high quality or supersede any performance goals set by the state or federal government. These criteria are only meant to determine which charters have academic outcomes that warrant academic renewal advocacy and which charters do not.

- To what extent is the school missing or surpassing its predicted performance?
- How does the school's difference between predicted and actual performance compare to all other schools in the state?

These questions are answered using linear regressions for each grade and subject. The regressions control for variables that are related to academic achievement (e.g., parent education, socioeconomic status, race/ethnicity, etc.). Actual achievement minus predicted achievement produces a raw SSR score. After averaging ELA and Math in each grade, CCSA weights the score by the number of valid scores per grade to obtain one number for each school. These school-level SSR scores are ranked from lowest to highest and allow us to place schools into the 10 decile ranks. The SSR calculation is based on publicly-reported achievement scores and tested-student demographics, as reported to the California Department of Education. CCSA does not produce SSR categories for schools that qualify for the DASS program, are Alternative, or have fewer than 30 valid scores.

Based on CCSA's similar students ranking, MPS schools are ranked as follows:


Target: Similar Students Rank of 4 or above in 2 of 3 years.

| School | Similar Students Rank (2019) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSA-6 | 10 |  |  |
| MSA-SD | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  |
| MSA-1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  |
| MSA-SA | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |
| MSA-2 | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |
| MSA-4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |
| MSA-5 |  |  |  |
| MSA-Bell | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |
| MSA-7 | 2 |  |  |
| MSA-3 |  |  |  |

Six of nine schools (MSA-1, 2, 4, 6, SD, and SA) have similar students ranks of 5 and above. MSA-Bell has a ranking of 4. If MSA- 5 had received a ranking, it would also have a rank of 5 or above. Overall, eight of ten MPS would score state rank of 4 or above meeting CCSA's initial filters for charter renewal considering 2019 only.

## CCSA's Multiple Measure Review

Source: https://www.ccsasnapshots.org/ccsa-initial-filters

## CCSA's Accountability Framework

In 2009, CCSA's Member Council, in consultation with technical experts, led the development and introduction of a fair and transparent Accountability Framework that sets Minimum Academic Accountability Criteria to measure academic performance of charter schools. The framework provides all charter schools with tools to examine their individual performance and also helps present a clear picture of the performance continuum across the entire movement.

To this day, CCSA uses this framework to:

- Identify struggling schools in need of targeted interventions;
- Guide our advocacy efforts, in support of and in opposition to, renewing and replicating charter schools;
- Provide all charter schools with tools to examine their individual performance; and
- Help present a clear picture of the performance of the entire movement.


## Minimum Academic Accountability Criteria

While there are many important aspects to measuring the performance of a charter school, CCSA believes student academic outcomes should be the single most important measure of a school's success at the time of charter renewal or replication.

CCSA's Accountability Framework is made up of two parts - an initial review of publicly available test score and postsecondary readiness data and then, for the subset of schools underperforming on all initial criteria, a Multiple Measures Review based on public and non-public data that is tailored to a school's mission and outcomes. CCSA's Minimum Academic Accountability Criteria does not apply for schools designated as DASS (Alternative), less than four years old, or schools with 30 or fewer valid test takers.

## Initial Filters

Charters meeting ANY initial filter OR showing academic success through the Multiple Measure Review meet the academic threshold to receive CCSA's full advocacy support for renewal or replication. CCSA opposes renewal and replication for schools below ALL initial filters AND that do not demonstrate academic success through the Multiple Measure Review. CCSA updates these filters annually based on available data. As such the below initial filters are broken down by year:

## Criteria for Schools Renewing in the 2020-2021 Academic Year

1) Status Measure*

- Schools must have a State Rank of 4 or above in 2 of 3 years.
- CCSA uses a weighted average of SBAC scale scores measuring how far the average student is above/below the "Met" standard and ranked o-10oth percentile statewide as well as turned into rankings of $1-10$. (This is called the "Distance from Standard" or "DFS".)

2) Growth*/ Postsecondary readiness

- Elementary/middle schools: Growth over time on SBAC
- An increase on the Distance from Standard "DFS" measure by at least 14 scale score points on SBAC between 2016-17 and 2018-19 (the 75th percentile of growth statewide.)
- High schools: $45 \%$ or more of 12th grade graduates are considered "prepared" on the College/Career CA School Dashboard indicator in 2 of 3 years.


## 3) Similar Students

- A Similar Students Rank of 4 or above in 2 of 3 years. This measures how schools are performing with similar students across the state.


## Multiple Measure Review

Schools below ALL the initial filters can share outcomes aligned to California's 8 state priorities as described in the school's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). Schools can tell their own story of success by choosing measures most closely aligned to their mission.

## How did MPS schools perform on CCSA's Accountability Criteria?

All MPS schools meet CCSA's Accountability Criteria and qualify for renewal support based on academics.

| School | OVERALL | State Rank | Similar Students <br> Rank | \% Prepared CCI <br> (High School) or 3- <br> Year Growth <br> (Elem/Middle) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSA-1 | Above | Above | Above | Above |
| MSA-2 | Above | Below | Above | Above |
| MSA-3 | Above | Below | Above | Above |
| MSA-4 | Above | Below | Above | Above |
| MSA-5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| MSA-6 | Above | Above | Above | Above |
| MSA-7 | Above | Above | Below | Below |
| MSA-Bell | Above | Below | Above | Below |
| MSA-San Diego | Above | Above | Above | Below |
| MSA-Santa Ana | Above | Above | Above | Above |

## MSA-1:



CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy (Los Angeles County Office of Education)
Open Status 2019: Active Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2022

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


This school was at/above the target
3 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019


This school was at/above the target
3 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019

## MSA-2:

School meets CCSA's
Accountability Criteria and qualifies for renewal support based on academics.

CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 2 (Los Angeles County Office of Education)
Open Status 2019: Active
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


This school was at/above the target
1 out of 3 year(s),
not meeting the standard for this metric for 2019.


This school was at/above the target
2 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019


This school was at/above the target
1 out of 2 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019.

## MSA-3:

School meets CCSA's Accountability Criteria and qualifies for renewal support based on academics.


CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 3 (Los Angeles County Office of Education)
Open Status 2019: Active
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


## MSA-4:



CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 4 (Los Angeles Unified)

| Open Status 2019: Active | Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| DASS Status: Non-DASS |  |

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


## MSA-5:



CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 5 (Los Angeles County Office of Education)
Open Status 2019: Active
Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2023
DASS Status: Non-DASS
2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.

|  |  | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Rank | Similar Students Rank | 3 Year Growth |
|  |  | 2019 |
|  |  | This school's DFS changed by |
| Data Not Available | Data Not Available |  |
| "Data may be missing due to redactions of student groups le.. | *Data may be missing due to redactions of student groups I. |  |

## MSA-6:

School meets CCSA's
Accountability Criteria and qualifies for renewal support based on academics.


CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 6 (Los Angeles Unified)
Open Status 2019: Active Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2024
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


This school was at/above the target
3 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019


This school was at/above the target
3 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019


This school's DFS changed by
20 points,
meeting the 2019 target of 14 points.

## MSA-7:

```
School meets CCSA's
Accountability Criteria and
qualifies for renewal support
based on academics
```

CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy 7 (Los Angeles Unified)
Open Status 2019: Active Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2024 DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


## MSA-Bell:

School meets CCSA's Accountability Criteria and qualifies for renewal support based on academics.

CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy Bell (Los Angeles Unified)
Open Status 2019: Active Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2020
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details


This school was at/above the target
1 out of 3 year(s),
not meeting the standard for this metric for 2019.


Similar Students Rank


This school was at/above the target
2 out of 3 year(s),
meeting the standard for this metric for 2019


This school's DFS changed by
-4 points,
not meeting the 2019 target of 14 points.

## MSA-San Diego:



CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy San Diego (San Diego Unified)
Open Status 2019: Active Charter Renewal Date: June 30, 2020
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


## MSA-Santa Ana:

School meets CCSA's Accountability Criteria and qualifies for renewal support based on academics.


CCSA Academic Accountability Report 2018-2019
Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana (State Board of Education)
Open Status 2019: Active
DASS Status: Non-DASS

2019-20 INITIAL FILTERS:
To meet CCSA's initial filters of accountability, a school must be above on at least one of the three filters below. See the School Info Overview tab above for more details.


## Dashboard and the Charter School Renewal Criteria

## Charter Renewal Criteria

Charter renewal criteria have been updated by the passage of AB 1505.

Link to AB 1505: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill id=201920200AB1505

## Assembly Bill No. 1505 ... Ed Code 47607. ...

(b) Renewals and material revisions of charters are governed by the standards and criteria described in Section 47605, and shall include, but not be limited to, a reasonably comprehensive description of any new requirement of charter schools enacted into law after the charter was originally granted or last renewed.
(c) (1) As an additional criterion for determining whether to grant a charter renewal, the chartering authority shall consider the performance of the charter school on the state and local indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5.
(2) (A) The chartering authority shall not deny renewal for a charter school pursuant to this subdivision if either of the following apply for two consecutive years immediately preceding the renewal decision:
(i) The charter school has received the two highest performance levels schoolwide on all the state indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 for which it receives performance levels.
(ii) For all measurements of academic performance, the charter school has received performance levels schoolwide that are the same or higher than the state average and, for a majority of subgroups performing statewide below the state average in each respective year, received performance levels that are higher than the state average.
(iii) Notwithstanding clauses (i) and (ii), a charter school eligible for technical assistance pursuant to Section 47607.3 shall not qualify for renewal under this paragraph.
(iv) A charter school that meets the criteria established by this paragraph and subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2 shall not qualify for treatment under this paragraph.
(B) The chartering authority that granted the charter may renew a charter pursuant to this paragraph for a period of between five and seven years.
(C) A charter that satisfies the criteria in subparagraph (A) shall only be required to update the petition to include a reasonably comprehensive description of any new requirement of charter schools enacted into law after the charter was originally granted or last renewed and as necessary to reflect the current program offered by the charter.
(3) For purposes of this section and Section 47607.2, "measurements of academic performance" means indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 that are based on statewide assessments in the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress system, or any successor system, the English

Language Proficiency Assessments for California, or any successor system, and the college and career readiness indicator.
(4) For purposes of this section and Section 47607.2, "subgroup" means numerically significant pupil subgroups as defined in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 52052.
(5) To qualify for renewal under clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2), subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2, or paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2, the charter school shall have schoolwide performance levels on at least two measurements of academic performance per year in each of the two consecutive years immediately preceding the renewal decision. To qualify for renewal under clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2), subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2, or paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2, the charter school shall have performance levels on at least two measurements of academic performance for at least two subgroups. A charter school without sufficient performance levels to meet these criteria shall be considered under subdivision (b) of Section 47607.2.
(6) For purposes of this section and Section 47607.2, if the dashboard indicators are not yet available for the most recently completed academic year before renewal, the chartering authority shall consider verifiable data provided by the charter school related to the dashboard indicators, such as data from the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress, or any successor system, for the most recent academic year.
(7) Paragraph (2) and subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 47607.2 shall not apply to a charter school that is eligible for alternate methods for calculating the state and local indicators pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 52064.5. In determining whether to grant a charter renewal for such a charter school, the chartering authority shall consider, in addition to the charter school's performance on the state and local indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 52064.5, the charter school's performance on alternative metrics applicable to the charter school based on the pupil population served. The chartering authority shall meet with the charter school during the first year of the charter school's term to mutually agree to discuss alternative metrics to be considered pursuant to this paragraph and shall notify the charter school of the alternative metrics to be used within 30 days of this meeting. The chartering authority may deny a charter renewal pursuant to this paragraph only upon making written findings, setting forth specific facts to support the findings, that the closure of the charter school is in the best interest of pupils.
(d) (1) At the conclusion of the year immediately preceding the final year of the charter school's term, the charter school authorizer may request, and the department shall provide, the following aggregate data reflecting pupil enrollment patterns at the charter school:
(A) The cumulative enrollment for each school year of the charter school's term. For purposes of this chapter, cumulative enrollment is defined as the total number of pupils, disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and pupil subgroups, who enrolled in school at any time during the school year.
(B) For each school year of the charter school's term, the percentage of pupils enrolled at any point between the beginning of the school year and census day who were not enrolled at the conclusion of that year, and the average results on the statewide assessments in the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress system, or any successor system, for any such pupils who were enrolled in the charter school the prior school year.
(C) For each school year of the charter school's term, the percentage of pupils enrolled the prior school year who were not enrolled as of census day for the school year, except for pupils who completed the grade that is the highest grade served by the charter school, and the average results on the statewide assessments in the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress system, or any successor system, for any such pupils.
(2) When determining whether to grant a charter renewal, the chartering authority shall review data provided pursuant to paragraph (1), any data that may be provided to chartering authorities by the department, and any substantiated complaints that the charter school has not complied with subparagraph (J) of paragraph (5) of subdivision (c) of Section 47605 or with subparagraph (J) of paragraph (5) of subdivision (b) of Section 47605.6.
(3) As part of its determination of whether to grant a charter renewal based on the criterion established pursuant to subdivision (c) and subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 47607.2, the chartering authority may make a finding that the charter school is not serving all pupils who wish to attend and, upon making such a finding, specifically identify the evidence supporting the finding.
(e) Notwithstanding subdivision (c) and subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 47607.2, the chartering authority may deny renewal of a charter school upon a finding that the school is demonstrably unlikely to successfully implement the program set forth in the petition due to substantial fiscal or governance factors, or is not serving all pupils who wish to attend, as documented pursuant to subdivision (d). The chartering authority may deny renewal of a charter school under this subdivision only after it has provided at least 30 days' notice to the charter school of the alleged violation and provided the charter school with a reasonable opportunity to cure the violation, including a corrective action plan proposed by the charter school. The chartering authority may deny renewal only by making either of the following findings:
(1) The corrective action proposed by the charter school has been unsuccessful.
(2) The violations are sufficiently severe and pervasive as to render a corrective action plan unviable.
(f) A charter may be revoked by the chartering authority if the chartering authority finds, through a showing of substantial evidence, that the charter school did any of the following:
(1) Committed a material violation of any of the conditions, standards, or procedures set forth in the charter.
(2) Failed to meet or pursue any of the pupil outcomes identified in the charter.
(3) Failed to meet generally accepted accounting principles, or engaged in fiscal mismanagement.
(4) Violated any law.
47607.2. (a) (1) The chartering authority shall not renew a charter if either of the following apply for two consecutive years immediately preceding the renewal decision:
(A) The charter school has received the two lowest performance levels schoolwide on all the state indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 for which it receives performance levels.
(B) For all measurements of academic performance, the charter school has received performance levels schoolwide that are the same or lower than the state average and, for a majority of subgroups performing statewide below the state average in each respective year, received performance levels that are lower than the state average.
(2) A charter school that meets the criteria established by this subdivision and paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 47607 shall only qualify for treatment under this subdivision.
(3) The chartering authority shall consider the following factors, and may renew a charter that meets the criteria in paragraph (1) only upon making a written factual finding, specific to the particular petition, setting forth specific facts to support the finding that the charter school is taking meaningful steps to address the underlying cause or causes of low performance, and those steps are reflected, or will be reflected, in a written plan adopted by the governing body of the charter school.
(4) For a charter renewed pursuant to this subdivision, the chartering authority may grant a renewal for a period of two years.
(b) (1) For all charter schools for which paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 47607 and subdivision (a) of this section do not apply, the chartering authority shall consider the schoolwide performance and performance of all subgroups of pupils served by the charter school on the state indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 and the performance of the charter school on the local indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5.
(2) The chartering authority shall provide greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance in determining whether to grant a charter renewal.
(3) The chartering authority may deny a charter renewal pursuant to this subdivision only upon making written findings, setting forth specific facts to support the findings, that the charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school, that closure of the charter school is in the best interest of pupils and, if applicable pursuant to paragraph (2), that its decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance.
(4) For a charter renewed pursuant to this subdivision, the chartering authority shall grant a renewal for a period of five years.

## Charter Renewal Criteria and MPS

Renewal for a period of between five and seven years:
(2) (A) The chartering authority shall not deny renewal for a charter school pursuant to this subdivision if either of the following apply for two consecutive years immediately preceding the renewal decision:
(i) The charter school has received the two highest performance levels schoolwide on all the state indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 for which it receives performance levels.
(ii) For all measurements of academic performance, the charter school has received performance levels schoolwide that are the same or higher than the state average and, for a majority of subgroups performing statewide below the state average in each respective year, received performance levels that are higher than the state average.
(iii) Notwithstanding clauses (i) and (ii), a charter school eligible for technical assistance pursuant to Section 47607.3 shall not qualify for renewal under this paragraph.
(iv) A charter school that meets the criteria established by this paragraph and subdivision (a) of Section 47607.2 shall not qualify for treatment under this paragraph.
(B) The chartering authority that granted the charter may renew a charter pursuant to this paragraph for a period of between five and seven years.

## Criterion (2)(A)(i)

Based on criterion (2)(A)(i) which states charter school should have received the two highest performance levels schoolwide on all the state indicators, no MPS would qualify for this type of renewal. MSA-San Diego would get close. No MPS has all green or blue schoolwide performance for all state indicators across the board for two consecutive years.

Table 4: MSA-SD's schoolwide performance on the state indicators for the past two years

|  | English <br> Language <br> Arts (3-8) | Mathematics <br> $(3-8)$ | English <br> Learner <br> Progress <br> $(1-12)$ | College/Career <br> (9-12) | Graduation <br> Rate (9-12) | Chronic <br> Absenteeism <br> Rate (K-8) | Suspension <br> Rate (K-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018-19$ | Blue | Green | No color | N/A | N/A | Green | Green |
| $2017-18$ | Green | Green | No color | N/A | N/A | Orange | Orange |

## Criterion (2)(A)(ii)

Based on criterion (2)(A)(ii) the first test is to check whether the school performed at or above state average for the academic measurements which are ELA, math, ELPI and CCI for the last two years. As shown in Table 5, MSASD meets this first test by performing above state average in ELA and math for two consecutive years.

Table 5: MSA-SD's schoolwide performance on the select state indicators for the past two years

|  | English Language Arts (3-8) |  | School >= <br> State <br> Average | Mathematics (3-8) |  | School >= <br> State <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018-19 | School: <br> High <br> 39.4 points above standard | State: <br> Medium <br> 3.0 points below standard | YES | School: <br> High <br> 21.8 points above standard | State: <br> Low <br> 33.5 points below standard | YES |
| 2017-18 | School: <br> High <br> 22.4 points above standard | State: <br> Low <br> 6.0 points below standard | YES | School: <br> High <br> 8.5 points above standard | State: <br> Low <br> 36.4 points below standard | YES |

The second test for this criterion is to check student groups performing below the state average and comparing how those student groups performed at MSA-SD. If the majority of those groups performed higher at MSA-SD, the school would meet the second test and qualify for a renewal for a period of between five and seven years.

## Non-renewal or renewal for a period of two years:

47607.2. (a) (1) The chartering authority shall not renew a charter if either of the following apply for two consecutive years immediately preceding the renewal decision:
(A) The charter school has received the two lowest performance levels schoolwide on all the state indicators included in the evaluation rubrics adopted pursuant to Section 52064.5 for which it receives performance levels.
(B) For all measurements of academic performance, the charter school has received performance levels schoolwide that are the same or lower than the state average and, for a majority of subgroups performing statewide below the state average in each respective year, received performance levels that are lower than the state average.
(2) A charter school that meets the criteria established by this subdivision and paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 47607 shall only qualify for treatment under this subdivision.
(3) The chartering authority shall consider the following factors, and may renew a charter that meets the criteria in paragraph (1) only upon making a written factual finding, specific to the particular petition, setting forth specific facts to support the finding that the charter school is taking meaningful steps to address the underlying cause or causes of low performance, and those steps are reflected, or will be reflected, in a written plan adopted by the governing body of the charter school.
(4) For a charter renewed pursuant to this subdivision, the chartering authority may grant a renewal for a period of two years.

We are happy to announce that no MPS performed all red or orange on all state indicators and passed the first test. We need to do further analysis for the student group test to ensure no MPS is subject to this non-renewal or two-year renewal criteria.

## How Does MPS Make Use of the Dashboard Data?

## Reflection and LCAP Development

Throughout the year, MPS Home Office trains the principals and deans on the new accountability system, including the CA School Dashboard, the new LCAP template, state and local indicators, and other aspects of state and federal accountability. School leadership at each MPS analyzes their dashboard data carefully, considers feedback from our stakeholders through surveys and other means, and evaluates the school programs. This collaborative process allows each school to identify their strengths ("glows" or "Greatest Progress" or "Successes") and areas for improvement or refinement ("grows" or "Greatest Needs/Performance Gaps" or "Identified Needs") as well as setting "goals" for the upcoming years with actionable steps and specific annual measurable outcomes ("LCAP Actions/Services" \& "Increased or Improved Services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth").

Please keep in mind that Fall 2019 is the fourth release of the CA School Dashboard. We have two years of data points (2018-19 vs. 2017-18) in the Fall 2019 dashboard for our status and change for each state indicator. As we continue to get more data over the years, we make better sense of patterns and trends. MPS will strive to get all student groups to a performance color of Green or Blue, with no student groups in Red or Orange. School leaderships set targets/measurable outcomes based on calculations by taking into account next performance level cut-offs and for the "change" to be considered as "Increased" or "Increased Significantly" in most cases, and "Maintained" in some, depending on where the student data currently is ("status").

The above-mentioned successes, identified needs, goals/targets and action steps will be developed collaboratively at each MPS school site with all stakeholders' involvement and reflected in each school's LCAP. School LCAPs will be brought before the board for approval at a regular board meeting before June 30 .

## Employee Evaluations

The employee evaluation policy below describes how MPS uses the Dashboard to calculate 20 percent of each employee's evaluation.

## Using Student Performance as a Measure of Employee Evaluation

MPS continually strives to develop and refine its Student Performance metric for the purpose of measuring student achievement and growth at our school sites, as well as to inform employee effectiveness. As introduced above, state and local accountability indicators along with interim and summative assessments provide valuable data on student performance and progress. MPS also recognizes that a variety of assessments can and should be used to measure student progress, such as performance assessments and portfolios. As such, MPS considers using a thoughtful combination of interim and summative assessments and state and local indicators, as well as student work. MPS needs to make sure that Student Performance data used is of high quality as agreed by all stakeholders. While evidence of Student Performance will be collected and analyzed during the evaluation process, developing and refining a definite Student Performance metric in each subject area is an ongoing process that involves the
collaboration of all our stakeholders. For practical calculation purposes and the fact that the CA School Dashboard provides performance levels for all students and for student groups on the state indicators, MPS will use the state indicators as its Student Performance metric unless a different metric is identified and agreed upon. MPS will base 20 percent of end-of-year overall evaluation on Student Performance.

The following example shows how the color-coded state indicator performance levels will be converted to points on the end-of-year overall evaluation. MPS will assign the following points to each color: Red-1, Orange-2, Yellow-3, Green-4, and Blue-5. For each state indicator, the average point (out of 5 ) will be calculated considering the assigned points for "all students" and each student group. After average points for each state indicator for a school are determined, these average points will be weighed such that the student achievement indicators/outcomes in a broad course of study, i.e., ELA, math, English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) and College and Career Readiness Indicator (CCI) will have double weight as the student engagement/school climate indicators, i.e., graduation rate, chronic absenteeism, and suspension rate. Finally, the overall score will be proportioned to 20 points since Student Performance will count as 20 percent of the end-of-year overall evaluation.

Example: Based on the following Student Group Report, a school will have the following points for each state indicator.

| State Indicator | Performance Points Added for "All <br> Students" and Student Groups | Points for Each State <br> Indicator (out of 5$)$ | Weight of State <br> Indicator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Suspension Rate | $5+5+5+5+5+5=30$ points out of <br> possible 30 points | $30 / 30=\mathbf{5} / \mathbf{5}$ points | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| English Learner Progress | N/A | N/A |  |
| Graduation Rate | $5+5+5=15$ points out of possible 15 <br> points | $15 / 15=\mathbf{5} / \mathbf{5}$ points | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| College/Career | N/A | N/A | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| English Language Arts | $3+2+3+1+3=12$ points out of possible <br> 25 points | $12 / 25=\mathbf{2 . 4 / 5}$ points | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Mathematics | $3+3+3+3+3=15$ points out of possible <br> 25 points | $15 / 25=\mathbf{3} / \mathbf{5}$ points | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Student Group Report

Magnolia Science Academy - Los Angeles County


When the points for each state indicator are weighed, the school will get $5 / 5$ points for the suspension rate, $5 / 5$ points for the graduation rate, $4.8 / 10$ for the ELA indicator, and $6 / 10$ for the mathematics indicator, resulting in 20.8/30 points, corresponding to 13.87 points out of 20 points for each employee of the school on their end-of-year overall evaluation. (For Home Office employees, the same method of calculation will be used considering all schools' performance points for "all students" and each student group for each indicator.)

## Evaluation Scores Based on the Dashboard

MPS has calculated student performance portion of employee evaluations as described in the policy above. The following are 2019-20 evaluation scores for MPS. We have also calculated scores for the districts and the state just to see how they would perform on MPS' Dashboard evaluation scoring metric. Most of the time stakeholders want to see a single overall number representing all the colors and indicators. The method MPS uses considers not only schoolwide performance but also each student group's performance. MPS also gives double weight to measurements of academic performance (ELA, math, ELPI, and CCI). This is consistent with the charter renewal criteria.

|  | 2019-20 Evaluation Points Based on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pts | Max | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pts } \\ \text { (out of } \\ 20 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| MSA-1 | 28.00 | 45 | 62.22\% | 12.44 |  |
| MSA-2 | 32.00 | 45 | 71.11\% | 14.22 |  |
| MSA-3 | 26.43 | 45 | 58.74\% | 11.75 |  |
| MSA-4 | 10.87 | 30 | 36.22\% | 7.24 |  |
| MSA-5 | 19.75 | 30 | 65.83\% | 13.17 |  |
| MSA-6 | 15.50 | 30 | 51.67\% | 10.33 |  |
| MSA-7 | 17.67 | 30 | 58.89\% | 11.78 |  |
| MSA-Bell | 16.67 | 30 | 55.56\% | 11.11 |  |
| MSA-SD | 23.33 | 30 | 77.78\% | 15.56 |  |
| MSA-SA | 14.21 | 30 | 47.38\% | 9.48 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPS | 30.38 | 45 | 67.51\% | 13.50 |  |
| MPS-LA | 29.52 | 45 | 65.60\% | 13.12 |  |
| LAUSD | 25.36 | 45 | 56.35\% | 11.27 |  |
| SDUSD | 27.96 | 45 | 62.14\% | 12.43 |  |
| SAUSD | 25.13 | 45 | 55.83\% | 11.17 |  |
| state | 26.00 | 45 | 57.78\% | 11.56 |  |



MPS outperforms the districts and the state based on MPS' Dashboard evaluation scoring metric.

2019-20 Evaluation Points Based on the Fall 2019 CA School Dashboard


Eight of ten schools have increased their evaluation points based on the Dashboard.


2019-20 vs. 2018-19 Evaluation Points Based on the CA School Dashboard


## Exhibits (Attachments)

- School Performance Overview Report for each MSA


## SCHOOL PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

## Magnolia Science Academy

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | Graduation Rate <br> Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College/Career <br> Blue | English Language Arts | Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities |
| Implementation of Academic Standards | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET | Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |

## School Details

NAME<br>Magnolia Science<br>Academy

ADDRESS<br>18238 Sherman Way<br>Reseda, CA 91335-4550

WEBSITE<br>http://msal.magnoliapu...

GRADES SERVED
6-12

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

| LEARN MORE <br> English Language Arts | LEARN MORE <br> Mathematics | LEARN MORE <br> English Learner Progress | LEARN MORE College/Career |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ |  | 52.6\% making progress towards |  |
| Orange | Orange | English language proficiency | Blue |
| 13.9 points below standard | 43.1 points below standard | Number of EL Students: 95 | 73.2\% prepared Increased 12.6\% |
| Declined 4.9 Points $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ | Maintained 0.1 Points | Progress Levels | squit report |
| EQUITY REPORT Number of Student Groups in Each Color | EQUITY REPORT <br> Number of Student Groups in Each Color | Very High $=65 \%$ or higher High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\% | Number of Student Groups in Each Color |
| $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Red $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Orange <br> Yellow   | $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Red $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Orange | $\text { Medium }=\begin{gathered} 45 \% \text { to less than } \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0 <br> Red 0 <br> Orange 0 <br> Yellow |
| $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{0}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{0}} \underset{\substack{0 \\ \text { Blue }}}{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low }=35 \% \text { to less than } 45 \% \\ \text { Very Low }=\text { Less than } 35 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{2}$ <br> Green Blue |

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

Blue

## 97.2\% graduated

Declined 2.8\% $\boldsymbol{V}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each



## Local Indicators

learn more
Access to a Broad Course of Study

STANDARD MET

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.
learn more
Suspension Rate


Orange
2.3\% suspended at least once

Increased 2.3\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EqUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

## Magnolia Science Academy 2

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 2 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | Graduation Rate <br> Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College/Career <br> Green | English Language Arts | Mathematics <br> Yellow | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities |
| Implementation of Academic Standards | Parent and Family Engagement | Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study |

## School Details

```
NAME
Magnolia Science
Academy }
```

ADDRESS
17125 Victory Boulevard
Van Nuys, CA 91406-
5455

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

```
WEBSITE
http://msa2.magnoliapu...
```

GRADES SERVED
6-12

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Yellow
17.4 points below standard

Increased 20 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

 Mathematics

Yellow
60.8 points below standard

Increased 5.6 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress71.4\% making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
49

## Progress Levels

Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%

Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low = Less than 35\%

LEARN MORE College/Career


Green
60.5\% prepared

Increased 2.6\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 2

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.


Green

8\% chronically absent
Declined 0.6\% $\nabla$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE Graduation Rate


Blue

## 97.6\% graduated

Increased 7.9\% ©

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## Suspension Rate



Blue
0\% suspended at least once

Declined 0.4\% $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

## LEARN MORE

Basics: Teachers Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

## EARN MORE

Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

## Magnolia Science Academy <br> 3

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 3 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism <br> Orange | Suspension Rate | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | Graduation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College/Career <br> Green | English Language Arts | Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities |
| Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET | Local Climate Survey STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |

## School Details

NAME<br>Magnolia Science<br>Academy 3

ADDRESS<br>1254 East Helmick Street<br>Carson, CA 90746-3164

```
WEBSITE
http://msa3.magnoliapu...
```

GRADES SERVED
6-12

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 3

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 3

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Orange
40.8 points below standard

Declined 21.4 Points $\boldsymbol{V}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

## LEARN MORE

Mathematics


Orange
89.6 points below standard

Declined 13.8 Points $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE
English Learner Progress
60.9\% making
progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
23
Progress Levels
Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$

LEARN MORE
College/Career

Green
56.3\% prepared

Increased 8.5\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

Chronic Absenteeism


Orange

## 7.2\% chronically

 absentIncreased 1\% $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


Graduation Rate


Blue

## 95.9\% graduated

Maintained 0.5\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

## LEARN MORE

## Access to a Broad Course of Study

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 3

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## LEARN MORE

## Suspension Rate



Blue
$1.3 \%$ suspended at least once

Declined 2.9\% $\nabla$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey

## Magnolia Science Academy <br> 4

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 4 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate <br> Red | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | Graduation Rate <br> No Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College/Career <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts | Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities |
| Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET | Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study |

## School Details

## NAME

Magnolia Science
Academy 4

## ADDRESS

11330 West Graham
Place, B-9
Los Angeles, CA 90064

## WEBSITE

http://msa4.magnoliapu...

GRADES SERVED
6-12

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 4

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Orange
57.5 points below standard

Declined 27.2 Points $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

 Mathematics

## 133.7 points below

 standardDeclined 21.1 Points $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress
## 28.6\% making

 progress towards English language proficiencyNumber of EL Students:

## 14

## Progress Levels

Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low = Less than 35\%

LEARN MORE College/Career


No Performance Color

55\% prepared
Maintained 1.2\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 4

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.


No Performance Color
97.6\% graduated Increased 1.4\% ©

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## Suspension Rate



Red
7.5\% suspended at least once

Increased 7.5\% ©

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers,
Instructional Materia

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

## SCHOOL PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

## Magnolia Science Academy 5

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 5 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism <br> No Performance Color | Suspension Rate <br> No Performance Color | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts <br> No Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics <br> No Performance Color | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities | Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET |
| Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |  |  |

## School Details

NAME<br>Magnolia Science<br>Academy 5

ADDRESS<br>18230 Kittridge Street<br>Reseda, CA 91335-6121

WEBSITEhttp://msa5.magnoliapu...
GRADES SERVED6-12

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 5

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


No Performance Color
12.8 points below standard

No Data

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

Mathematics


No Performance Color
17.9 points below standard

No Data

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress56.3\% making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
48
Progress Levels
Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

Chronic Absenteeism


No Performance Color
7.4\% chronically absent

## No Data

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

## LEARN MORE

## Access to a Broad Course of Study

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 5

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## LEARN MORE

## Suspension Rate



No Performance Color
$1.2 \%$ suspended at least once

No Data

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey

## SCHOOL PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

## Magnolia Science Academy

6
Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 6 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate <br> Blue | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities | Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET |
| Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |  |  |

## School Details

NAME<br>Magnolia Science<br>Academy 6

ADDRESS
3754 Dunn Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90034-
5805

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

| LEARN MORE <br> English Language Arts | LEARN MORE Mathematics | LEARN MORE <br> English Learner Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange | Orange | 44.8\% making progress towards English language proficiency |
| 17.4 points below standard | 35.9 points below standard | Number of EL Students: 29 |
| Declined 14 Points $\boldsymbol{V}$ | Maintained 2.5 Points | Progress Levels |
| EQUITY REPORT <br> Number of Student Groups in Each Color | EQUITY REPORT <br> Number of Student Groups in Each Color | Very High $=65 \%$ or higher High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\% |
| $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{3}$ $\mathbf{0}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{2}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Medium }= \\ \\ 55 \% \end{array}$ |
| $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{0}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{0}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{0}}$ | Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$ <br> Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$ |

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 6

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

## Chronic Absenteeism



Red

## 14.1\% chronically

 absentIncreased 12.9\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each
Color


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## Suspension Rate



Blue
0\% suspended at least once

Declined 0.6\% V

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each
Color

Orange Yell

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers,
Instructional Materia

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

## Magnolia Science Academy 7

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy 7 under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities | Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET |
| Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |  |  |

## School Details

## NAME

Magnolia Science
Academy 7

## ADDRESS

18355 Roscoe Boulevard
Northridge, CA 913254104

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 7

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Orange
7.7 points below
standard
Maintained 1.1 Points

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE
Mathematics


Yellow
32.1 points below standard

Increased 3 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress46.4\% making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
56

## Progress Levels

Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than
$65 \%$
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than
$55 \%$
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 7

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY 7

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## Suspension Rate



Blue
$0 \%$ suspended at least once

Maintained 0\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each
Color

Orange

## Local Indicators

## LEARN MORE

Basics: Teachers Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

## EARN MORE

Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

## Magnolia Science Academy Bell

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy Bell under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities | Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET |
| Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |  |  |

## School Details

## NAME

Magnolia Science
Academy Bell

## ADDRESS

6411 Orchard Avenue
Bell, CA 90201-1023

## WEBSITE

http://msa8.magnoliasci...

GRADES SERVED
6-8

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY BELL

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY BELL

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Yellow
19.1 points below standard

Increased 13.4 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Mathematics


Yellow

74 points below standard

Increased 7 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress52.7\% making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
55

## Progress Levels

Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

Chronic Absenteeism


Yellow

## 5\% chronically

 absentIncreased 1.8\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

## LEARN MORE

## Access to a Broad Course of Study

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY BELL

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## LEARN MORE

## Suspension Rate



Yellow
1.4\% suspended at least once

Increased 1.4\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey

# Magnolia Science Academy San Diego 

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy San Diego under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate <br> Green | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics <br> Green | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities | Implementation of Academic Standards <br> STANDARD MET | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET |
| Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study <br> STANDARD MET |  |  |

## School Details

## NAME

Magnolia Science
Academy San Diego

## ADDRESS

6525 Estrella Avenue
San Diego, CA 92120-
2707

English Language Arts

Blue

Parent and Family Engagement

## WEBSITE

http://msasd.magnoliap...

GRADES SERVED
6-8

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SAN DIEGO

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

## MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SAN DIEGO

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

| LEARN MORE <br> English Language Arts | LEARN MORE <br> Mathematics | LEARN MORE <br> English Learner Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow$ | Green | 53.3\% making progress towards English language proficiency |
| 39.4 points above standard | 21.8 points above standard | Number of EL Students: 15 |
| Increased 17 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$ | Increased 13.2 Points $\boldsymbol{4}$ | Progress Levels |
| EQUITY REPORT Number of Student Groups in Each Color | EQUITY REPORT <br> Number of Student Groups in Each Color | Very High $=65 \%$ or higher High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\% |
| $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\begin{aligned} \text { Medium }= & 45 \% \text { to less than } \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{3}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{3}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low }=35 \% \text { to less than } 45 \% \\ \text { Very Low }=\text { Less than } 35 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SAN DIEGO

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

## Chronic Absenteeism



Green
7.6\% chronically absent

Declined 2.2\% V

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each
Color


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SAN DIEGO

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

## Suspension Rate



Green
$1.8 \%$ suspended at least once

Declined 0.7\% $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each

2

## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE

Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

# Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana (SBE) 

Explore the performance of Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana (SBE) under California's Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism <br> Orange | Suspension Rate <br> Yellow | English Learner Progress <br> No Performance Color | Graduation Rate <br> No Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College/Career <br> No Performance Color | English Language Arts | Mathematics | Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities |
| Implementation of Academic Standards | Parent and Family Engagement <br> STANDARD MET | Local Climate Survey <br> STANDARD MET | Access to a Broad Course of Study |

## School Details

## NAME

Magnolia Science
Academy Santa Ana (SBE)

ADDRESS
2840 West 1st Street
Santa Ana, CA 92703-
4102

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SANTA ANA (SBE)

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

LEARN MORE
English Language Arts


Orange

35 points below standard

Declined 11 Points $\nabla$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE Mathematics


Yellow
50.8 points below standard Increased 8.6 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## LEARN MORE

## English Learner

 Progress41.9\% making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:
210

## Progress Levels

Very High $=65 \%$ or higher
High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\%
Medium $=45 \%$ to less than 55\%
Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$
Very Low = Less than 35\%

LEARN MORE College/Career


No Performance Color
52.8\% prepared

Declined 8.8\% $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Implementation of Academic Standards

STANDARD MET

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SANTA ANA (SBE)

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

LEARN MORE

## Chronic Absenteeism



Orange
8.5\% chronically absent

Increased 1.2\% $\boldsymbol{A}$

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


LEARN MORE Graduation Rate


No Performance Color
91.7\% graduated

Declined 1.2\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Access to a Broad Course of Study

MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SANTA ANA (SBE)

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

LEARN MORE

## Suspension Rate



Yellow
$2.5 \%$ suspended at least once

Increased 0.4\% ©

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Local Indicators

LEARN MORE
Basics: Teachers,
Instructional Materials, Facilities

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Parent and Family Engagement

STANDARD MET

LEARN MORE
Local Climate Survey
STANDARD MET

