



# Voices for International Business and Education

# Minutes

**VIBE Finance Committee Meeting** 

**Date and Time** Thursday December 15, 2016 at 6:00 PM

Location 727 Carondelet Street, New Orleans, LA 70130

Voices for International Business and Education http://public.boardontrack.com/VIBE\_1

Committee Members Present

A. Tufail, D. Deno, H. Blumenthal, J. Carter, L. Lopez, M. Roney, S. Wilson

**Committee Members Absent** C. Allen, S. Thomas

## I. Opening Items

## A. Record Attendance and Guests

Meeting was called to order

## B. Call the Meeting to Order

M. Roney called a meeting of the Finance Committee of Voices for International Business and Education to order on Thursday Dec 15, 2016 at 6:00 PM.

## C. Approve minutes of November 28, 2016 meeting

A. Tufail made a motion to approve minutes from the Finance Committee Meeting on 11-

28-16 Finance Committee Meeting on 11-28-16.

H. Blumenthal seconded the motion.

The committee **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

#### II. Finance

#### A. Review of November 2016 Financial Statements

- The financials for the month of November were shared by D. Deno.
- The financials include narratives and the budget/expenses to year are now included to be able to refer to it should it be necessary to keep track of expenditures and ....
- We are out of the budget by exactly the legal fees amount.
- We are currently being paid on the student count from February.
- There are usually three numbers to look at.
- There is a count in February and the year's allocation is based on that count.
- There is an amount that we are being paid based on the previous year's count and then we have the number we have set as our yearly budget.
- In April we will only receive an increase from
- The cash flow presented has not been adjusted based on the actual number of students we currently have.
- We have made some cuts and adjustments in payroll that have adjusted cash flow
- · In prior years we had larger cash amounts
- Clarity was asked regarding the suggestion given by the auditor that we should have a policy of having a certain balance of cash.
- The state requires us to have at least 7% we usually have at least 10%. We do have insurance for catastrophic events.
- There is no specific value of the fund balance/reserve allocated or annotated in some way.
- There is a conservative projection which is favorable thinking about a worse case scenario.
- It was suggested by A. Tufail to think about a number to have security of funds taking in consideration the time that would take the time for the state or the insurance to write the check to cover emergency expenses.
- M. Roney suggested to table this conversation until the January committee meeting when discussing performance indicators and policies.

#### B. Vote to recommend Board's acceptance of November 2016 Financial Statements

- H. Blumenthal made a motion to Accept the November financials.
- J. Carter seconded the motion.

The committee **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

#### **III. Other Business**

### A. Vote on policy for disposal of used property

- An revised draft was presented with markups from M. Roney.
- The original draft had a \$500 threshold for the value of disposed equipment and it was changed to more than \$5000
- We only capitalize big purchases going over \$2000. This is bulk purchases not single item.
- If the expense is a purchase of one transaction, then it is capitalized.
- The threshold for the state is \$5000 and for the auditor is \$2500. The purchase that counts for the state is the purchase of a single item, not bulk.
- Once the equipment is to be disposed, it has to come off the asset register.
- What we currently have in the asset register, will not be reflected on the state's asset ledger.
- The state will only know when we dispose of assets when we notify them.
- Clarity was asked by H. Blumenthal regarding the auditors following the same guidelines established by the state.
- S. Wilson explained that very few items purchased individually will hardly ever reach the \$5000 threshold. If we followed only what the state mandates, we would hardly capitalize anything.
- State policy is specifically to individual items, not aggregated. The state policy was meant for districts that have multiple schools and more expenses than us.
- If the expense reaches the threshold, the state would not depreciate the items but want to see it reported in a different category.
- It was suggested to add clarifying language to explain the threshold that defines the asset with a capitalization threshold.
- The concern was the low amount determined for the disposal being \$500
- The purpose of this policy is to provide guidelines to proceed with disposal properly.
- It was discuss that the wording of the policy should state that the amount should be "equal or more than \$5000".
- M. Roney suggested to create a document that would track proof of the procedure, should questions arise in the future and there is confirmation of the process.
- There are two kinds of assets. It is either the original value of \$5000 or less than \$500. Current value refers to the value at the time of disposal.
- Any amount that is less than the bullet one in the policy, it will not require board approval.
- Board approval will be required if the item's cost was \$5000 or more at the time of purchase.
- In the case that an asset that originally was bought for less than \$5000 and has appreciated, then bullet 1 would apply.

• The committee will finalize details regarding wording and specificity in the dollar amount. A final draft will be circulated before the next committee meeting to bring to the board for vote.

#### B. Discussion of final comments on Form 990 prior to filing

• M. Roney and D. Deno will work through the comments and observations of the information received.

#### C. Key Performance Indicators

- The committee chair is suggesting to be more strategic about determining financial health.
- Material from the LAPCS conference was shared with all committee members

#### D. Public Comment

#### **IV. Closing Items**

A. Next Finance Committee meeting -- Tuesday, January 17, 2017

#### **B.** Adjourn Meeting

There being no further business to be transacted, and upon motion duly made, seconded and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 7:08 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

L. Lopez

- J. Carter made a motion to adjourn the meeting.
- H. Blumenthal seconded the motion.

The committee **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

J. Carter made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

H. Blumenthal seconded the motion.

The committee **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.