East Bay Innovation Academy School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	East Bay Innovation Academy				
Street	3400 Malcolm Ave.				
City, State, Zip	Oakland, CA 94605				
Phone Number	5105779557				
Principal	Devin Krugman				
E-mail Address	dkrugman@eastbayia.org				
Web Site	www.eastbayia.org				
CDS Code	01-61259-0129932				

District Contact Infor	District Contact Information			
District Name	East Bay Innovation Academy			
Phone Number	5105779557			
Superintendent	Devin Krugman			
E-mail Address	info@eastbayia.org			
Web Site	www.eastbayia.org			

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Our Mission: To prepare a diverse group of students to be successful in college and to be thoughtful, engaged citizens who are leaders and innovators in a 21st century global world.

Our Vision: EBIA is rethinking how schools are run and structured, so that they better reflect the needs of a 21st century world. Most schools have not changed their structure, organization, facilities or instruction in over 50 years. While schools have not changed, our world has, leaving many of our schools, even the "best" ones, behind. EBIA offers a powerful option for Oakland families and educators to build a diverse school that addresses the needs of the 21st century and beyond.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	117
Grade 7	116
Grade 8	129
Grade 9	57
Total Enrollment	419

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	16.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	4.3
Filipino	2.1
Hispanic or Latino	29.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	30.8
Two or More Races	16.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	21.5
English Learners	6
Students with Disabilities	8.4
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

To a de cons		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential			16	
Without Full Credential			3	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)			2	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners			
Total Teacher Misassignments *			
Vacant Teacher Positions			1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2018

As a blended learning school, all EBIA students have access to a Chromebook laptop throughout their day. Through this laptop they are able to access instructional materials on such platforms as Google Classroom, ThinkThroughMath, Achieve 3000, DuoLingo, ThinkCERCA and more. EBIA uses these instructional programs in place of traditional textbooks.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	N/A		
Mathematics	N/A		
Science	N/A		
History-Social Science	N/A		
Foreign Language	N/A		
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Ongoing monitoring/repair at district facility. Upper campus facility is outdated and in need of deferred maintenance; restrooms were updated in 17-18.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report:							
Control to control	R	epair Stati	us	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer							
Interior: Interior Surfaces							
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation							
Electrical: Electrical							
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains							
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials							
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs							
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences							

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

ordates times times of the area ordate better								
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)							
Subject	School		District		State			
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17		
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	64	58	33	35	48	48		
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	51	48	27	28	36	37		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	355	353	99.44	58.07
Male	208	208	100	51.44
Female	147	145	98.64	67.59
Black or African American	52	52	100	34.62
Asian	16	16	100	56.25
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	101	100	99.01	41
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1	-
White	115	115	100	80.87
Two or More Races	63	62	98.41	64.52
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	80	98.77	36.25
English Learners	46	45	97.83	15.56
Students with Disabilities	41	41	100	26.83

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	355	351	98.87	48
Male	208	207	99.52	43.69
Female	147	144	97.96	54.17
Black or African American	52	50	96.15	30
Asian	16	16	100	43.75
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	101	100	99.01	28.28
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		-	-	
White	115	115	100	73.04
Two or More Races	63	62	98.41	51.61
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	78	96.3	24.68
English Learners	46	45	97.83	11.11
Students with Disabilities	41	41	100	17.07

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
	School		District		State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)		62		39		54	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
7	11.2	21.6	63.8				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

In order to support active family involvement in our school, EBIA maintains an EBIA Families website - www.ebiafamilies.org. This website provides an overview of our school program, information on upcoming school events and activities, as well as ways for families to become involved in the school (attending coffee with admin, volunteering in the classroom, etc.).

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	5.0	0.9	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Current plan was updated in October 2017 and reviewed by the board and staff in November 2017.

East Bay Innovation Academy has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan that covers the school's policies and expectations regarding the practices that maintain the security and safety of the physical campus, student body, and staff. The plan covers campus safety and security including visitor and adult supervision policies, emergency preparedness and response by disaster type. The plan also addresses student discipline and abuse/harassment prevention.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	84
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	75.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2014-15			2015-16				2016-17				
Subject	Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg. Number of Classrooms			Avg. Number of Classroon		srooms				
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English					29		5	3	32	4	4	4
Mathematics												
Science					29		5	3	25	7	5	4
Social Science					29		5	3	24	8	4	4

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A		
Psychologist	1	N/A		
Social Worker		N/A		
Nurse		N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A		
Resource Specialist	3	N/A		
Other		N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$2,749	\$356	\$2,393	\$58,460	
District	N/A	N/A		\$62,935	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A		-7.4	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-93.3	-30.2	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Special Education services, academic support/tutoring services

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

reaction and Administrative Salaries (1 Sear Feat 2013 10)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,258	\$47,808			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,694	\$73,555			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,162	\$95,850			
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$107,457	\$120,448			
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$109,728	\$125,592			
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$126,332	\$138,175			
Superintendent Salary	\$288,400	\$264,457			
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	30%	35%			
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	10%	5%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Across the schoolyear, EBIA's teachers receive up to 23 days of professional development, in addition to individual and team coaching and evaluation. Teachers have two full weeks of professional development before the start of the schoolyear, one full week at the end of our first trimester in October, another full week at the end of our second trimester in February, and another full day at the end of the schoolyear. During these professional development sessions, our administrative team onboards staff to our instructional model, reviews student performance and growth data, sets school priorities and trains teachers in new techniques related to school culture and climate and instructional practice.

Further, in an effort to create a professional culture that supports unit member growth, EBIA implements a consistent evaluation process to advance the improvement of the professional skills of all teachers and thereby, advancing improvement of the quality of education for all students of EBIA. EBIA's expectation is that teachers will set goals that connect classroom teaching strategies and student learning, with an emphasis on continued improvement of instructional practice. The purpose of the Personalized Educator Plan (PEP) is the support continuous improvement and innovation of the instructional program at EBIA. EBIA encourages teachers to reflect on their classroom practice, set realistic goals for the year and collaborate with colleagues and administrators to design engaging and challenging activities for students. Teachers are supported in this process through thrice yearly formal observations, biweekly coaching meetings with their supervisors and weekly team (grade and department) meetings.