

# State Charter Schools Commission of Georgia

# **Minutes**

# September Board Meeting

# **Date and Time**

Wednesday September 24, 2025 at 10:00 AM

Location
Virtual ONLY Meeting
Access Information Below

**Meeting Link** 

**Phone:** +1 646 931 3860 **Meeting ID:** 844 2791 6648

Passcode: 965938

1356 Twin Towers East,2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SEAtlanta, Georgia 30334

#### **Directors Present**

B. Brockway, B. Scafidi (remote), C. Good (remote), L. Millan (remote), M. Dudgeon (remote), S. Sweeney

#### **Directors Absent**

T. Lowden

# I. Opening Items

A.

#### **Record Attendance**

# B. Call the Meeting to Order

M. Dudgeon called a meeting of the board of directors of State Charter Schools Commission of Georgia to order on Wednesday Sep 24, 2025 at 10:03 AM.

#### C. Approve Minutes

Motion to approve minutes by consent. August Board Meeting on 08-27-25. The board **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

# **II. Division Reports**

#### A. Executive Director's Report

The Deputy Executive Director (COO) presented the SCSC's updated strategic plan, highlighting updates made to comply with the state standards, including reducing the number of objectives from 6 to 3 and aligning the new objectives to the SCSC mission.

In addition, SCSC leaders completed an environmental scan and identified the following critical issues for FY26: (a) CSP grant transfer; (b) implementation of new legislation; and (c) improving organization infrastructure. Staff action plans were discussed. Staff will provide informal updates to commissioners quarterly, with a final report at the end of the year to summarize FY26 outcomes and plan new actions.

#### **B.** Legal Division

Fiscal and Operational monitoring tasks opened on September 15, 2025, in the Epicenter platform. Schools were provided with a recording of the monitoring webinar, handbook, and staff and Epicenter contact information. The monitoring submission deadline is October 31, 2025.

#### C. Research & Evaluation Division

The Director of Research & Evaluation shared the results of the SCSC's third Principles and Standards of Quality Charter School Authorizing review. She discussed how the SCSC's staff values are evident in the SCSC's review. Many recommendations from NACSA were well underway at the time of evaluation. The details of this report are contained in the linked presentation materials.

Commissioner Sweeny asked for more clarity on NACSA's recommendation to be mindful of how the pace of change may impact schools.

Commissioner Scafidi remarked that we should continue to decrease the burden on schools performing well and applauded the staff for their work. Chairman Dudgeon

agreed with Commissioner Scafidi and noted the difference in responses from schools last year and this year with respect to the authorizer respecting charter school autonomy.

#### D. Commissioner Discussion

Chairman Dudgeon discussed the history of schools using the credit recovery model, specifically, models similar to the district collaborative schools formerly authorized by the SCSC and now under GaDOE supervision. The question posed for commissioners is whether credit recovery models are appropriate for state charter school authorization, given their reliance on support from local boards of education.

Commissioner Brockway added that district collaborative schools tend to function as networks, adding new districts/sites to the existing network. Commissioner Brockway agreed with Chairman Dudgeon and added that these schools should be treated like district collaboration schools, which are overseen by GaDOE.

Commissioner Millan sought clarity as to why district collaborative schools are no longer state charter schools. Chairman Dudgeon referenced the bill that identified these district collaborative schools as separate types of schools with their own funding.

Commissioner Good recused herself from the conversation, noting a potential conflict of interest.

Commissioner Scafidi asked, and Chairman Dudgeon confirmed, that the SCSC has received petitions for these types of models, specifically one last start-up petition cycle. That school was approved by a local board of education, so the SCSC did not have the authority to act on the petition.

Commissioner Sweeny noted the difficulty in supporting schools whose strategies rely heavily on local district support for implementation- agreeing with prior commissioner comments. Commissioner Scafidi noted that if SCSC approval is off the table, local board approval may be less likely. Chairman Dudgeon agreed and noted that approving this type of school over the local board's denial could make the local collaboration needed to implement the model more difficult.

The Executive Director noted the SCSC's history of being model agnostic. The Director of Research & Evaluation noted the difference between completion schools and credit recovery, which refers to a wide variety of educational strategies. She noted that completion schools should not be state charter schools, regardless of their implementation of a credit recovery strategy.

Chairman Dudgeon acknowledged that accurate terminology will be critical. He further clarified that this question relates to schools whose target students are those needing to complete courses for their diploma rather than schools implementing credit recovery,

among other strategies, within their educational programs. Chairman Dudgeon asked staff to consider how to clarify that completion schools (or those schools that specifically exist to allow students to make up credits) are not appropriate for SCSC authorization because of the local support needed to implement the educational plan successfully.

Chairman Dudgeon discussed how the SCSC should approach multiple pre-opening deferrals. The Chairman noted prior ideas to address this, including ways of limiting the number of pre-opening deferrals. During the policy retreat, there was a general consensus that schools should not defer more than 2 years.

The General Counsel suggested contract language to address this issue. All commissioners agreed with the General Counsel's recommendation.

#### III. Action Items

### A. Initiate Rulemaking: Repeal SCSC Rules 691-3-.01 through 691-3-.05

- L. Millan made a motion to approved the staff recommendation as presented.
- B. Brockway seconded the motion.

The board **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

# B. Initiate Rulemaking: Promulgate SCSC Rule 691-3-.01

- B. Brockway made a motion to approved the staff recommendation as presented.
- S. Sweeney seconded the motion.

The board **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

# C. Initiate Rulemaking: Amend SCSC Rule 691-2-.06

- B. Brockway made a motion to approved the staff recommendation as presented.
- C. Good seconded the motion.

The Director of New Schools noted that the proposed changes include amending the timeline for submitting loans, bonds, and other forms of debt prior to closing from 30 to 10 days. The proposed amendments also eliminate the requirement that schools meet expansion criteria to change facilities. In some cases, schools may not have the three years of data required to support an expansion request, but still need the flexibility to change facilities if necessary.

The board **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

# D. Initiate Rulemaking: Promulgate SCSC Rule 691-2-.11, Education Service Providers

- C. Good made a motion to to approve the staff recommendation as presented.
- B. Scafidi seconded the motion.

The General Counsel noted that the rule balances autonomy and transparency and encourages schools to hold Education Service Providers (ESPs) accountable by identifying services and requiring review of ESP's performance.

Chairman Dudgeon noted the importance of transparency when allocating tax dollars to schools. It's great to encourage transparency and better educational options for our kids. Vice Chairman Good noted full agreement with the rationale for this rule.

The board **VOTED** unanimously to approve the motion.

# IV. Closing Items

# A. Adjourn Meeting

There being no further business to be transacted, and upon motion duly made, seconded and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 11:10 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

M. Dudgeon