

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT 2016-2017 SCHOOL YEAR FOR

Name and Location Code of Charter School

LAUSD Vision

Every student will receive a quality education in a safe, caring environment, and will be college-prepared and career-ready.

CSD Mission

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities for all students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

CSD Core Values

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Adapting as a learning organization.
- Building a healthy workplace culture where high performance and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with charter public school partners and all stakeholders.



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit	Renoi

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

Charter School Name:										Locat	ion Code:	
Current Address:					City:		ZIP Code:		Phone	:	Fax:	
Current Term of Charter:					LAUSD Board District:		LAUSD District:					
July 1, 20xx to June 30, 20	XX											
Number of Students Curre	ently Enro	olled: H	Enroll	lment Capa	city P	er Charter:	Grades Currently Served:			Grades To Be Served Per Charter:		
Total Number of Staff Mer	f Staff Members: Certificat		Certificate	ed:		Classified:						
Charter School's Leadership Team Members:												
Charter School's Contact for Special Education:			on:									
CSD Assigned Administrator:					CSD Fiscal Services Manager:							
Other School/CSD Team Members:												
Oversight Visit Date:					Fiscal Review Date (if different):):					
Is school located on a District facility? If so, please indicate the applicable program (e.g. Prop 39, PSC, conversion, etc.):					LAUSD Co-Location Campus (if applicable):							

SUMMARY OF RATINGS $(4)=Accomplished (3)=Proficient (2)=Developing (1)=Unsatisfactory$				
Governance Student Achievement and Educational Performance Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations Operations				
Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating	

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 2 of 29



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605 and 47607, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met the statutory requirements. Pursuant to the requirements of SB 1290, the District "shall consider increases in pupil academic achievement for all groups of pupils served by the charter school as the most important factor in determining whether to grant a charter renewal." Ed. Code § 47607(a)(3)(A).

REPORT GUIDE

In conducting oversight as a charter school authorizer, the District places an emphasis on performance and compliance with applicable law, policy, and the approved charter, as well as on the lead fiduciary role of a charter school's governing board in the overall success of the school for students. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the school's ongoing record of performance and provides important data for the CSD, LAUSD Superintendent, and ultimately the LAUSD Board of Education in making informed decisions about charter school authorization. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law, as well as the California State Board of Education's criteria for evaluating charter schools and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers' *Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing*. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance as captured during the annual oversight visit process in these four categories:

<u>Governance</u> – demonstrating fulfillment of the governing board's fiduciary responsibility to effectively direct and provide oversight for the charter public school, including but not limited to enactment and monitoring of policies and procedures to ensure the school's full compliance with applicable law, policy, and the terms of the charter approved by the LAUSD Board of Education

<u>Student Achievement and Educational Performance</u> – demonstrating academic achievement and growth for all students

<u>Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations</u> – demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the governing board's policies and procedures, as well as the school's educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

Fiscal Operations – demonstrating sound fiscal management, appropriate use of public funds, and compliance with regulatory requirements

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school representatives. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the *Annual Performance Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide 2016-2017*. The "Sources of Evidence" sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school's performance in each category: (4) Accomplished, (3) Proficient, (2) Developing, and (1) Unsatisfactory. In addition, the Summary of School Performance section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress (Note: potential "promising practices" are identified within this section with an asterisk [*]); (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under "Corrective Action Required," the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school's approved charter. If the report includes any findings under "Corrective Action Required," the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern. In accordance with its "tiered intervention" approach to charter school non-compliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of Accomplished in any category is encouraged to submit to the CSD a summary of those "promising practices" that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD's ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across the entire portfolio of LAUSD schools.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 3 of 29




Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

GOVERNANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
* \underline{NOTE} : If the CSD gathers or otherwise receives substantial evidence of actual conflict(s) of interest with respect to a gover or person in a school leadership position (e.g. CEO or principal), a charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category.	ning board member

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 4 of 29



SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

C1. COVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND EVALUATION OF SCHOOL LEADER(S). COVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1

GI. GOVERNANCE STRUCTURI	AND EVALUATION OF SCHOOL LEADER(S) - GOVE	RNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1			
The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure, roles and responsibilities set forth in the approved charter, including:					
 Governing Board (compositi 	Governing Board (composition, structure, roles and responsibilities)				
• committees/councils, includi	ng but not limited to those mandated by laws or regulations				
• evaluation of school's execut	ive level leadership				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
approved charter, including system for the evaluation of The Governing Board has forth in approved charter, in adequately developed system approved charter, including developed system for the elements.	fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in g any mandated committees/councils, and a highly developed if the school leader(s) substantially implemented the organizational structure set including any mandated committees/councils, and an em for the evaluation of the school leader(s) coartially implemented the organizational structure set forth in g any mandated committees/councils, and a partially valuation of the school leader(s) not implemented the organizational structure set forth in andated committees/councils, and no system for the evaluation	 □ Organization chart (B1: 1) □ Bylaws (B1: 2) □ Board member roster (B1: 3) □ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Evidence of committee/council calendars and agendas □ Documentation related to system for evaluation of executive level administrator(s) who reports to the Board. (B1: 7) □ Discussion with leadership □ Other: (Specify) 			

G2: BROWN ACT - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #2

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure it is adhering to applicable open meeting requirements, which protect the public interest in transparency and help to ensure that decisions are made without apparent or actual conflicts of interest:

- Governing Board meetings occur regularly, are conducted openly, and provide opportunity for public participation in accordance with the Brown Act
- Governing Board holds its meetings at a location(s) and in a manner that complies with teleconferencing, closed session, and access and Reasonable Accommodation requirements and the public has access to the meetings from a location(s) within the jurisdictional boundaries of LAUSD, as noted in the charter petition
- Governing Board meeting agendas and minutes are posted and maintained, as appropriate, including on the school's website and in accordance with the Brown Act and with sufficient specificity

Page 5 of 29 FORM REV. 08/16/16



∆nnual.	Performance-	Raced	Oversight	· Vicit	Report
minuai	1 CHOHIMANCC	Dasca	Oversign	l v isit	ICPUI

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF MIGHT.	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	□ The Governing Board complies with all material provisions of the Brown Act □ The Governing Board complies with most material provisions of the Brown Act □ The Governing Board complies with some material provisions of the Brown Act □ The Governing Board complies with few material provisions of the Brown Act	 □ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) □ Board meeting calendar (B1: 5) □ Brown Act training documentation (B1: 8) □ Documentation of the school's agenda posting procedures (B1: 9) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)
G3: D	UE PROCESS - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3	
	overning Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due procest D charter policy, to honor and protect the rights of students, employees, parents, and the pure student discipline employee grievances and discipline parent/stakeholder complaint resolution Uniform Complaint Procedures	
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The Governing Board has highly developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has well-developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has partially developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has minimal or no systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, in for students, employees, parents, and the public 	□ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) □ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) □ Uniform Complaint Procedure documentation (B1: 11) □ Stakeholder complaint procedure(s) (B1: 12) □ H.R. policies and procedures regarding staff due process (B1: 13.1) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **6** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Repor
--------------------------	-----------	-------------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

G4: STAFFING - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure that appropriate employment and other staffing decisions are made in accordance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter governing qualifications, clearances and credentialing:

- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that faculty, staff, substitute teachers, and other persons providing service in a certificated position, are appropriately credentialed, authorized and/or otherwise qualified for the positions for which they have been employed/contracted and assigned, in accordance with applicable provisions of law and the school's charter
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures to ensure that the school obtains, monitors, and maintains all necessary and appropriate vendor certifications/waivers regarding vendor employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) clearances
- The Governing Board has established policies and procedures regarding requirements for school volunteers, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform school site services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students per AB 1667
- The Governing Board has established and monitors policies governing whether and under what circumstances the school may consider, for paid and volunteer service, candidates who have criminal records

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The Governing Board has established and monitors comprehensive policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements □ The Governing Board has established and monitors policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements □ The Governing Board has established some policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements □ The Governing Board has established few or no policies and procedures to ensure staffing in compliance with applicable law staffing in compliance with applicable provisions of law and the charter related to qualifications, clearances, credentialing, and assignment requirements 	 □ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) □ H.R. policies and procedures regarding NCLB qualifications, credentialing, and clearance requirements (B1: 13.2) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **7** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Repor
--

SCHOOL NAME:	
D. TE OF WAYE	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

G5: DATA-BASED DECISION-MAKING - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure: • review and use of academic and other internal school data and information to ensure sound Governing Board decision-making in support of continuous improvement of student achievement, fiscal viability, compliance, and overall public school excellence ongoing monitoring of the school's implementation of its LCAP action plans and progress toward LCAP goals **Sources of Evidence** Rubric ☐ The Governing Board regularly monitors school performance and other internal data to ☐ Board meeting agendas and minutes with supporting inform decision-making materials and evidence of school performance and internal Performance \square The Governing Board monitors school performance and other internal data to inform other data (B1: 4) ☐ Other evidence of system for Board review and analysis of decision-making internal school data to inform decision-making (B1: 14) ☐ The Governing Board inconsistently monitors school performance and other internal data ☐ Observation of Governing Board meeting to inform decision-making ☐ The Governing Board seldom monitors school performance and other internal data to ☐ Discussion with leadership inform decision-making ☐ Other: (Specify)

G6: FISCAL CONDITION - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6

The C	 The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure fiscal viability: The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports. 			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	 □ The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports □ The school is fiscally strong or stable, and net assets are positive in the most current independent audit report □ The school is fiscally weak and net assets are negative in the most current independent audit report, or the school does not have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division □ The school is consistently fiscally weak and net assets are negative in the prior two independent audit reports, or the school does not have an independent audit report on file with the Charter Schools Division 	 □ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) □ Other evidence of system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1: 15) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with leadership □ Independent audit report(s) □ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below) 		

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 8 of 29



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

G7: F1	SCAL MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY - GOVERNANCE QUALITY INDIC	CATOR #7			
The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure sound fiscal management and accountability: • The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement.					
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance	 □ The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement □ The school generally adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, but has areas noted for improvement □ The school is not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has areas noted for improvement, or has significant fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.) □ The school is continuously not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has recurring areas noted for improvement, or has significant and recurring fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, inadequate cash flow, etc.) 	 □ Board meeting agendas and minutes (B1: 4) □ Other evidence of system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances (B1: 15) □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with leadership □ Independent audit report(s) □ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below) 			
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):					

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **9** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:		

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	

*NOTE: Upon the State Board of Education's finalization of California's new accountability system, CSD will determine implications for the oversight report.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **10** of **29**



Annual	Performance	-Based	Oversight	Visit	Report
minuai	1 CHOITHANCE	Dasca	Oversight	4 101t	repor

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

A1: SBAC SUBGROUP ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #1

ine sc	ne school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:			
•	• Performance of all subgroups on the CAASPP (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged students, etc.)(CDE)			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	 □ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate higher than the District average for all subgroups □ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate similar to the District average for the majority of subgroups □ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate lower than the District average for some subgroups □ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade ELA is at a rate of 0% for the majority of subgroups 	□ SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.1) □ Other: (Specify)		
	☐ No assessment of performance for this indicator			

A2: SBAC SUBGROUP MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #2

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:			
•	• Performance of all subgroups on the CAASPP (students with disabilities, English Learners, and socio-economically disadvantaged students, etc.)(CDE)		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
	☐ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade Math	☐ SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.2)	
	is at a rate higher than the District average for all subgroups	☐ Other: (Specify)	
ce	☐ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade Math		
ıan	is at a rate similar to the District average for the majority of subgroups		
Π	☐ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade Math		
Perfo	is at a rate lower than the District average for some subgroups		
Pe	☐ The percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd – 8 th , 11 th Grade Math		
	is at a rate of 0% for the majority of subgroups		
	☐ No assessment of performance for this indicator		

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **11** of **29**




Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Repo	ght Visit Repor	Oversight	Performance-Based	Annual
---	-----------------	-----------	-------------------	--------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

A3: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE ELA - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #3

	The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: schoolwide (CDE)			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd − 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate higher than the District average The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd − 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate equal to the District average The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd − 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is at a rate lower than the District average The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd − 8 th , 11 th Grade on the SBAC in ELA is 0% No assessment of performance for this indicator A4: SBAC SCHOOLWIDE MATH - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #4 The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: (CDE)				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
Performance	 □ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate higher than the District average □ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate equal to the District average □ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade on the SBAC in Math is at a rate lower than the District average □ The schoolwide percentage of students who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3rd – 8th, 11th Grade on the SBAC in Math is 0% □ No assessment of performance for this indicator 	□ SBAC report (CDE) (B2: 1.4) □ Other: (Specify)		

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **12** of **29**



Annual	Performance-	Based	Oversight	Visit Ren	or
minadi	1 CITOTITICE	Dubca	O VOI SIGIIC	v ibit itep	.01

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT	Click hard to enter a data

A5: ENGLISH LEARNER RECLASSIFICATION - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #5

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: • English Learner reclassification rate for 2015-2016 (CDE)			
Rubric Sources of Evidence			
☐ The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate similar to the District average ☐ The school reclassifies English Learners at a rate lower than the District average ☐ The school does not reclassify English Learners	□ Reclassification report (CDE) (B2: 1.5) □ CELDT Criterion reports (CDE) (B2: 1.5.1) □ Title III AMAOs report(s) (CDE) (B2: 1.5.2) □ School internal reclassification data □ Other: (Specify)		
A6: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORM	MANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #6		
 The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: The school's internal assessments (with analysis of results) Other academic achievement data gathered or produced by the school, such as Advanced Placement examination participation and passage rates, A-G requirements progress and completion rates, high school graduation rates, and college acceptance rates Results of internal assessments show growth in student achievement in ELA and math NOTE: For purposes of evaluation of school performance for this indicator, the CSD considers only such data that is derived from standards-based high quality standardized or widely accepted assessments (e.g. NWEA, DIBELS, or Stanford 10) and/or other assessment instruments for which the school can demonstrate validity/reliability. 			
Rubric Sources of Evidence			
measured by school's internal assessments and other school data that are regularly monitored and analyzed and that reflect significant growth in student achievement in ELA and math	 □ Internal academic performance and progress data and information (B2: 2.1 – 2.6) □ School Internal Assessment Data Report or equivalent □ Other: (Specify) 		

Page 13 of 29 FORM REV. 08/16/16



SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Re	port
--------------------------	-----------	----------	------

OFE	DOO.	
Performance	 □ The school has demonstrated unsatisfactory levels of student achievement and progress as measured by school's internal assessments and other school data and that reflect no growth or a decline in student achievement in ELA and math □ The school has not collected and/or analyzed and monitored internal assessment or other academic achievement data 	

A7: FOUR-YEAR COHORT GRADUATION RATE - STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE QUALITY INDICATOR #7

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: • Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE) (high schools only)			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	 □ The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate higher than the District average □ The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate similar to the District average □ The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate lower than the District average □ The school's Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate is at a rate significantly lower than the District average □ No assessment of performance for this indicator 	☐ Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate (CDE) (B2: 3.1) ☐ Other: (Specify)	

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT (if applicable):			

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **14** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
*NOTE: A charter school shall receive a rating of 1 in this category for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to have	
Emergency Plan in place; (2) Failed to conduct child abuse mandated reporter training in accordance with AB 1432; (3) Failed background clearances for all new staff (as defined on the NCLB Grid) prior to employment; or (4) Failed to obtain DOJ clear	earance certification,
as appropriate, from a vendor. A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 if any teacher of the program is not appropriately credentialed and assigned per legal requirements and the school's current approved charter.	he core instructional

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **15** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Repor
--------------------------	-----------	-------------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF WEIT.	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

O1: HEALTH AND SAFETY - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #1

The school has a system in place to ensure that:

- for each school site, the school has a current site-specific Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent that authorizes the current use of the site
- the school has a current site-specific comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan, that complies with co-location requirements if co-located
- the school is able and prepared to implement its emergency procedures in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency
- school provides for student immunization and health screening per applicable law and terms of the charter
- school maintains an emergency epinephrine auto-injector ("epi-pen") onsite and has provided training to volunteer staff member(s) in the storage and emergency use of the epi-pen
- school staff and other mandated reporters working on behalf of the school receive timely training on child abuse awareness and reporting in accordance with the requirements of AB 1432
- school staff receives annual training on the handling of bloodborne pathogens

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
[□ The school has a highly developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety □ The school has a well-developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety □ The school has a partially developed system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety □ The school has a minimal or no system in place to ensure protection of student and staff health and safety, and compliance with applicable legal and charter requirements related to health and safety	 □ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) □ Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent (B3: 2.1) □ Comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan (B3: 2.2) □ Evacuation route maps (B3: 2.2) □ Documentation of emergency drills and training (B3: 2.3) □ Evidence of provision and location of onsite emergency supplies (B3: 2.4) □ Evidence that school provides for student immunization and health screening (B3: 2.5) □ Epi-pen documentation (B3: 2.6) □ Child abuse mandated reporter training documentation (B3: 2.7) □ Bloodborne pathogens training documentation (B3: 2.8) □ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, NCLB Qualifications, and Mandated Reporter Training 2016-2017 ("NCLB Grid") (B3A) □ Site/classroom observation □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **16** of **29**



SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

O2: STANDARDS-BASED INSTRUCTION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #2

The school has:

- implemented standards-based instruction schoolwide to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards (CA CCSS), that are applicable to the grade levels served
- obtained WASC accreditation (high schools only)
- implemented a system to monitor student progress toward and completion of graduation and A-G requirements (high schools only)
- received UC/CSU approval of courses (high schools only)

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school has fully implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS □ The school has substantially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS □ The school has partially implemented grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS □ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, grade-level-appropriate standards-based instruction to ensure student mastery, and progress towards mastery, of the California academic content standards, including the CA CCSS 	 □ Evidence of standards-based instructional program (B3: 3.1) □ LCAP (B3: 3.2) □ Evidence of technology readiness to administer CAASPP assessments (B3: 3.3) □ WASC documentation (B3: 3.4) □ UC Doorways course approval documentation (B3: 3.5) □ Evidence of implementation of Transitional Kindergarten (B3: 3.6) □ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) □ Classroom observation □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **17** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT	Click here to enter a date.


O3: MEETING THE NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS; SUBGROUP DATA ANALYSIS - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #3

The school:

- implements the differentiation, intervention, and other instructional strategies and approaches described in the charter designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including all subgroups identified in the school's LCAP and by CDE
- disaggregates and analyzes data on a regular basis to address individual student needs
- implements, monitors, and modifies, as appropriate, its Master Plan for English Learners (EL identification, ELD instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)
- has appointed a designee to assist and support foster youth

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school has fully implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and modifies instruction based on data analysis □ The school has substantially implemented and monitors the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and generally modifies instruction based on data analysis □ The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and partially modifies instruction based on data analysis □ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its subgroups, and does not consistently modify instruction based on data analysis 	 □ Evidence of standards-based instructional program (B3: 3.1) □ LCAP (B3: 3.2) □ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) □ Evidence of intervention and support for all students, including but not limited to foster youth, at-risk students, and high performing students (B3: 3.8) □ Implementation of the school's English Learner Master Plan (B3: 3.8) □ Evidence of implementation of data analysis system program □ School Internal Assessment Data Report, or equivalent □ Classroom observation □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **18** of **29**



SCHOOL NAME:		
DATE OF VISIT	Click here to enter a date	

04: IMPLEMENTATION OF KEY FEATURES OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #4			
The school has implemented the key features components of the educational program described in the school's charter			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	 □ The school has fully implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter □ The school has substantially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter □ The school has partially implemented the key features of the educational program described in the charter □ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the key features of the educational program described in the charter 	 □ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) □ Evidence of implementation of key features of educational program (B3: 3.9) □ Classroom observation □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify) 	
O5: SPECIAL EDUCATION - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #5			
 The school has a system in place to ensure that the school: provides special education programs and services in accordance with students' IEPs and the terms of the Modified Consent Decree provides special education training for staff in accordance with requirements of the Modified Consent Decree conducts a special education self-review annually, using the Special Education Self-Review Checklist maintains timely and accurate records in Welligent 			
Rubric Sources of Evidence			

☐ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) ☐ The school has a highly developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all ☐ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree ☐ Evidence of intervention and support for students with ☐ The school has a well-developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring disabilities (B3: 3.8) of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, ☐ Self-Review Checklist (**B3: 4.1**) including the Modified Consent Decree ☐ Other special education documentation (**B3: 4.1**) ☐ The school has a partially developed system in place for full implementation and ☐ Welligent reports and/or other MCD documentation, monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all including from the Division of Special Education requirements, including the Modified Consent Decree \square Classroom observation (B3: 4.1) ☐ The school has a minimal or no system in place for full implementation and monitoring ☐ Discussion with school leadership of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements, ☐ Other: (Specify) including the Modified Consent Decree

Page 19 of 29 FORM REV. 08/16/16



Annual Performance	e-Based	Oversight	Visit	Repor
--------------------	---------	-----------	-------	-------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

O6: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #6

The school has a school climate and schoolwide student discipline system in place to ensure that the school's practices:

- align with the principles of the District's Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights Resolution, including but not limited to, tiered behavior intervention, alternatives to suspension, and schoolwide positive behavior support, and data monitoring
- provide positive opportunities for student wellness, growth and success, aimed at making the school safe, welcoming, supportive and inclusive
- minimize discretionary suspensions and expulsions
- reduce or eliminate suspension disproportionality for student subgroups

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school has a highly developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights □ The school has a well-developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights □ The school has a partially developed school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights □ The school has a minimally developed or no school climate and student discipline system in place that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights 	 □ Parent-Student Handbook(s) (B1: 10 or B3: 1) □ LCAP (B3: 3.2) □ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) □ Evidence of implementation of school climate and student discipline system that aligns with Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights principles (B3: 4.2) □ Evidence of implementation of tiered behavior intervention, such as SST/COST (B3: 4.2) □ Evidence of implementation of alternatives to suspension (B3: 4.2) □ Evidence of implementation of schoolwide positive behavior support system (B3: 4.2) □ Evidence of data monitoring (B3: 4.2) □ LAUSD suspension and expulsion data reports □ Interview of stakeholders □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

O7: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #7

The school:

- has a schoolwide professional development plan for teachers and other staff that supports the educational program set forth in the charter and targets identified needs
- provides faculty and other instructional staff with professional development opportunities to improve instructional practice
- provides opportunities for teachers to collaborate regularly for the purpose of planning and improving curriculum and instruction

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **20** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Overs	ight Visit Repor

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

Rubric		Sources of Evidence		
other staff that supports instructional practices, targets identified needs, and aligns with the education program set forth in the charter		□ LCAP (B3: 3.2) □ Professional development documentation (B3: 3.7) □ Interview of teachers and/or other staff □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)		
O8: STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION AND INVOLVEMENT - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #8				
 The school has a stakeholder communication system for gathering input, facilitating and encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns, which: engages in communication that notifies parents and other stakeholders of the process for resolving concerns, including how they may contact board members, and supports students, families, and other stakeholders in effectively resolving concerns provides all stakeholders (e.g., parents/guardians, students, and teachers) with appropriate, accessible and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance informs parents of high school students about transferability of courses to other public high schools and the eligibility of courses to meet college entrance requirements (high schools only) provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school's charter, and the school LCAP 				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
enco	e school has a highly developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, buraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns e school has a well-developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, buraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns	☐ Parent-Student Handbook (B1: 10 or B3: 1) ☐ LCAP (B3: 3.2) ☐ Evidence of stakeholder consultation (B3: 4.3) ☐ Evidence of parent/stakeholder involvement and engagement (B3: 4.3)		

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **21** of **29**



Annual I	Performance	e-Based (Oversight	Visit Re	port

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

9/ [
Performance	 □ The school has a partially developed stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns □ The school has a minimal or no stakeholder communication system for gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns 	 □ Evidence of sharing accessible and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance with all stakeholders as appropriate (B3: 4.3) □ Evidence of communication to parents and other stakeholders of complaint resolution process(es) (B3: 4.3) □ Evidence that parents are informed about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (B3: 4.3) □ Evidence of provision of stakeholder access to school's approved charter (B3: 4.3) □ Interview of stakeholders □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

O9: EVALUATION OF SCHOOL STAFF - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #9

The sc	the school's educational program yields high student achievement the school complies with all applicable legal requirements	
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
	☐ The school has a highly developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	☐ Evidence of staff evaluation system (B3: 4.4)
	designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	☐ Discussion with school leadership
	and complies with all applicable legal requirements	☐ Other: (Specify)
بو	\square The school has a well-developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	
anc	designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	
Ĭ.	and complies with all applicable legal requirements	
for	\Box The school has a partially developed system in place for the evaluation of school staff	
Performance	designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	
	and complies with all applicable legal requirements	
	\Box The school has a minimal or no system in place for the evaluation of school staff	
	designed to ensure that the school's educational program yields high student achievement	
	and complies with all applicable legal requirements	

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 22 of 29



Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Repor
--------------------------	-----------	-------------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

O10: CLEARANCES AND CREDENTIALING COMPLIANCE - ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT QUALITY INDICATOR #10

The school is in compliance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter regarding clearances and credentialing
--

- all certificated staff are fully credentialed, including EL authorizations, and appropriately assigned as authorized by their credentials at all times
- the school has obtained all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current
- the school has obtained all necessary vendor clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to the provision of service, and keeps all clearances current
- the school has conducted volunteer clearances in accordance with applicable law and policy, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform schoolsite services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students

Rubric	Sources of Evidence
The school has fully implemented and continually monitors systems and procedures that maintain 100% compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements at all times The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain substantial compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements The school has partially implemented and intermittently monitors systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements The school has not implemented and/or does not monitor systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements	 □ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, NCLB Qualifications, and Mandated Reporter Training 2016- 2017 ("NCLB Grid") (B3A: 1.1) □ Staff rosters and school master schedule B3A: 1.2 – 1.4) □ Custodian(s) of Records documentation (B3A: 1.5) □ Criminal Background Clearance Certifications (B3A: 2 & 3) □ Teaching credential/authorization documentation (B3A: 2 & 3) □ Vendor certifications (B3A: 4) □ Volunteer (TB) risk assessment/clearance certification (B3A: 5) □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify)

Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT (if applicable):

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 23 of 29



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:		

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

FISCAL OPERATIONS	RATING	
You have been assessed by the Fiscal Oversight team and you are receiving the rating of <i>insert rating score</i> , <i>insert rating name</i> .	Choose a rating	
Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and are noted in this evaluation.		
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress:		
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement:		
Corrective Action Required:		
Notes:		
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to FISCAL OPERATIONS (if applicable):		

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **24** of **29**



	Annual	Performa	ince-Based	Oversight	Visit Re	port
--	--------	----------	------------	-----------	----------	------

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

Fiscal Operations Rubrics

Existing School – a charter school that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-4] **New School** – a charter school that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division [Possible Rating 1-2]

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the
Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be
considered as Accomplished.

Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

REOUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Net Assets are positive in the prior two audits;
- 2. The two most current audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings;
- 3. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 4. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 5. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 6. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 7. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD;
- 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD;
- 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest;
- 10. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website;
- 11. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;
- 12. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals;
- 13. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner; and

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.

Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

REOUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Net Assets are positive in the most current audit;
- 2. The most current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings;
- 3. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 4. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 5. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 6. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 7. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD;
- 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD;
- 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest;
- 10. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website;
- 11. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;
- 12. The charter school has knowledge of any material differences amongst the preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, and audited actuals;
- 13. Requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed by the charter school in a timely manner; and

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **25** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:		

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished.

14. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

- 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 4% of prior year expenditures;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year expenses;
- 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items:
 - o Most current financial reports presented to the governing board
 - o Employee handbook
 - Student handbook
 - o Salary schedules/benefits/information
 - Budget development process
 - Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location
 - o The most current approved petition
 - Administration/school contact
 - School calendar
 - o Enrollment policies and procedures
 - o Fiscal policies and procedures manual
- 4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract;
- 5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; and
- 6. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school's website.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

An existing school that meets all of the required criteria and three of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient.

14. Audited and unaudited actuals nearly mirror each other.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

- 1. Positive Net Assets exceed 3% of prior year expenditures;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 4% of the prior year expenses;
- 3. A comprehensive website that provides at a minimum six of the following fiscal items:
 - o Most current financial reports presented to the governing board
 - Employee handbook
 - Student handbook
 - o Salaries schedule/benefits/information
 - Budget development process
 - Governing board member information (e.g., name, contact information, position on the governing board, term expiration) and meeting dates, time, and location
 - The most current approved petition
 - Administration/school contact
 - School calendar
 - Enrollment policies and procedures
 - o Fiscal policies and procedures manual
- 4. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract;
- 5. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; and
- 6. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school's website.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **26** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Re	por
--------------------------	-----------	----------	-----

SCHOOL NAME:	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of th
supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be
considered as Developing.

An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:

Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

REOUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Net Assets are positive, or net assets are negative with strong trend toward positive (be positive at the end of the third year, per applicable audit, and beyond);
- 2. All vendors and staff are paid in a timely manner;
- 3. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, every five years to correspond to the charter term;
- 4. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 5. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website;
- 6. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;
- 7. Have an audit conducted annually by an independent auditing firm:
- 8. Governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD; and
- 9. There is no apparent conflict of interest.

 $\underline{\text{Note}}$: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

<u>SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA</u>

- 1. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 2. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (Enrollment changes are reflected in annual budget and facilities);
- 3. Governing board selects independent audit firm, acceptable if the independent audit firm is under a multi-year contract;

Existing Schools (based on the most current audit):

An existing school is one that has at least one annual independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. The charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide a satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members lack fiscal capacity.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **27** of **29**



SCHOOL NAME:		

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that meets all of the Required criteria and six of the supplemental criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.	An existing school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:
 4. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at each regular governing board meeting; 5. Governing board receives and reviews reports (e.g., preliminary budget, first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited actuals, etc.) submitted to LAUSD; 	
 6. Current audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings; 7. Charter school adheres to the governing board approved Fiscal 	
Policies and Procedures; 8. Governing board approves any amendment(s) to the charter school's budget; and	
9. Governing board approved LCAP is posted on the charter school's website.	Notes. Other signments are and information could influence the series and will be
Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.	Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page **28** of **29**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Repo

SCHOOL NAME:		

A new school that meets all of the Required criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

A new school would be assessed as Unsatisfactory based on the statements below:

New Schools:

REOUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division.
- 2. If enrollment is below the funding survey, the charter school has made significant adjustments in their operations to allow for the reduced income, and submitted a revised three-year budget and three-year cash flow statement.
- 3. Projected debt is managed efficiently and will not cause the charter school to end the fiscal year with negative net assets. The non-profit organization is financially viable to support the charter school.
- 4. Interim reports and unaudited actuals project:
 - a. Positive net assets
 - b. Expenses less than revenues
 - c. Projected expenses and revenues have no significant variance from budget
- 5. As a practice, the governing board receives and reviews the charter school's financial reports as evidenced by the governing board meeting minutes.
- 6. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies.
- 7. The Education Protection Account (EPA) allocation and expenditures are posted on the charter school's website, if applicable.

<u>Note</u>: A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

New Schools:

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for Developing. A charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide satisfactory response. Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition. The charter school also has shown no immediate source of revenue to maintain a viable budget, nor has provided a feasible financial plan to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members lack fiscal capacity.

<u>Note</u>: A new school is one that does not have an independent audit on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools receive a rating of 1 or 2.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

FORM REV. 08/16/16 Page 29 of 29